

# Delivering Value.

**September 2025**

KINROSS

# Cautionary Statement on Forward-Looking Information

All statements, other than statements of historical fact, contained in this presentation including, but not limited to, any information as to the future financial or operating performance of Kinross, constitute “forward-looking information” or “forward-looking statements” within the meaning of certain securities laws, including the provisions of the Securities Act (Ontario) and the provisions for “safe harbor” under the United States Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995 and are based on expectations, estimates and projections as of the date of this presentation. Forward-looking statements contained in this presentation include, without limitation, statements with respect to: the calculation of mineral resources at the Company’s assets and the possibility of eventual economic extraction of minerals; the identification of future mineral reserves or resources; the Company’s ability to convert existing mineral resources into categories of mineral resources or mineral reserves of increased geological confidence; inflation and its potential impact on our costs; our guidance for production, cost guidance, including production costs of sales, all-in sustaining cost of sales, and capital expenditures, mill throughput and average grades; future plans for exploration drilling; greenhouse gas reduction initiatives and targets; the implementation and effectiveness of the Company’s ESG and climate strategy; the completion of, or anticipated future production from, the Company’s development projects; project development budgets and timelines for development and production; statements with respect to our forecasts for cash flow and free cash flow; anticipated returns of capital to shareholders, including the declaration, payment and sustainability of the Company’s dividends; the size, scope and execution of the proposed share buybacks and the anticipated timing thereof, including the Company’s statement targeting share buybacks for 2025 of at least $500 million; the Company’s debt levels; the forecast impacts to production and costs of the Tasiast mill fire; the projected economics of the Great Bear project, including total gold sales, margins, taxes, average annual production, the net present value of the project, the internal rate of return on the project, project payback period, average yearly free cash flow, life of mine unit costs, projected mine life, the total initial capital and sustaining capital required; the Great Bear project development timeline to production including the Company’s work relating to its Impact Statement and permitting future phases of the project and development and construction of and production at the Great Bear project, including the possibility of constructing either or both of an open pit and underground mines; the timing of and future prospects for exploration and any expansion of the project, including upside associated with the project’s land package and via exploration at depth beneath the proposed underground mine; the Company’s balance sheet and liquidity outlook, as well as references to other possible events including, the future price of gold and silver, costs of production, operating costs, price inflation, capital expenditures, costs and timing of the development of projects and new deposits, estimates and the realization of such estimates (such as mineral or gold reserves and resources or mine life), success of exploration, development and mining, currency fluctuations, capital requirements, project studies, government regulation, permit applications, environmental risks and proceedings, and resolution of pending litigation. The words “2025E”, “advance”, "anticipate", "approach", "beyond", “conceptual”, “continue”, “envision”, “expect”, “focus”, “forecast”, “forward”, “future”, “growth”, “guidance”, “initial”, "milestone", “on schedule”, “opportunity”, “option”, “optionality”, “outlook”, “on plan”, “on track”, "path", "phase", “plan”, "pipeline", “potential”, “priority”, “progress’, “target”, and “upside” or variations of or similar such words and phrases or statements that certain actions, events or results “may”, “could”, “will” or “would” occur, and similar expressions identify forward-looking statements. Forward-looking statements are necessarily based upon a number of estimates and assumptions that, while considered reasonable by Kinross as of the date of such statements, are inherently subject to significant business, economic, legislative and competitive risks and uncertainties and contingencies. Known and unknown factors could cause actual results to differ materially from those projected in the forward-looking statements. Such factors include, but are not limited to: the inaccuracy of any of the foregoing assumptions; fluctuations in the currency markets; fluctuations in the spot and forward price of gold or certain other commodities (such as fuel and electricity); price inflation of goods and services; changes in the discount rates applied to calculate the present value of net future cash flows based on country-specific real weighted average cost of capital; changes in the market valuations of peer group gold producers and the Company, and the resulting impact on market price to net asset value multiples; changes in various market variables, such as interest rates, foreign exchange rates, gold or silver prices and lease rates, or global fuel prices, that could impact the mark-to-market value of outstanding derivative instruments and ongoing payments/receipts under any financial obligations; risks arising from holding derivative instruments (such as credit risk, market liquidity risk and mark-to-market risk); changes in national and local government legislation, taxation (including but not limited to income tax, advance income tax, stamp tax, withholding tax, capital tax, tariffs, value-added or sales tax, capital outflow tax, capital gains tax, windfall or windfall profits tax, production royalties, excise tax, customs/import or export taxes/duties, asset taxes, asset transfer tax, property use or other real estate tax, together with any related fine, penalty, surcharge, or interest imposed in connection with such taxes), controls, policies and regulations; the security of personnel and assets; political or economic developments in Canada, the United States, Chile, Brazil, Mauritania, or other countries in which Kinross does business or may carry on business; business opportunities that may be presented to, or pursued by, us; our ability to successfully integrate acquisitions and complete divestitures; operating or technical difficulties in connection with mining, development or refining activities; employee relations; litigation or other claims against, or regulatory investigations and/or any enforcement actions, administrative orders or sanctions in respect of the Company (and/or its directors, officers, or employees) including, but not limited to, securities class action litigation in Canada and/or the United States, environmental litigation or regulatory proceedings or any investigations, enforcement actions and/or sanctions under any applicable anti-corruption, international sanctions and/or anti-money laundering laws and regulations in Canada, the United States or any other applicable jurisdiction; the speculative nature of gold exploration and development including, but not limited to, the risks of obtaining necessary licenses and permits; diminishing quantities or grades of reserves; adverse changes in our credit ratings; and contests over title to properties, particularly title to undeveloped properties. In addition, there are risks and hazards associated with the business of gold exploration, development and mining, including environmental hazards, industrial accidents, unusual or unexpected formations, pressures, cave-ins, flooding and gold bullion losses (and the risk of inadequate insurance, or the inability to obtain insurance, to cover these risks).

# Cautionary Statement on Forward-Looking Information Continued

Many of these uncertainties and contingencies can directly or indirectly affect, and could cause, Kinross' actual results to differ materially from those expressed or implied in any forward-looking statements made by, or on behalf of, Kinross, including but not limited to resulting in an impairment charge on goodwill and/or assets. There can be no assurance that forward-looking statements will prove to be accurate, as actual results and future events could differ materially from those anticipated in such statements. Forward-looking statements are provided for the purpose of providing information about management's expectations and plans relating to the future. All of the forward-looking statements made in this presentation are qualified by this cautionary statement and those made in our other filings with the securities regulators of Canada and the United States including, but not limited to, the cautionary statements made in the "Risk Analysis" section of our Management's Discussion and Analysis for the year ended December 31, 2024, and the "Risk Factors" set forth in the Company's Annual Information Form dated March 27, 2025, and the "Cautionary Statement on Forward-Looking Information" in our news release dated July 30, 2025, to which readers are referred and which are incorporated by reference in this presentation, all of which qualify any and all forward-looking statements made in this presentation. These factors are not intended to represent a complete list of the factors that could affect Kinross. Kinross disclaims any intention or obligation to update or revise any forward-looking statements or to explain any material difference between subsequent actual events and such forward-looking statements, except to the extent required by applicable law.

Certain forward-looking statements in this presentation may also constitute a "financial outlook" within the meaning of applicable securities laws. A financial outlook involves statements about the Company's prospective financial performance, financial position or cash flows and is based on and subject to the assumptions about future economic conditions and courses of action and the risk factors described above in respect of forward-looking information generally, as well as any other specific assumptions and risk factors in relation to such financial outlook noted in this presentation. Such assumptions are based on management's assessment of the relevant information currently available, and any financial outlook included in this presentation is provided for the purpose of helping viewers understand the Company's current expectations and plans for the future. Viewers are cautioned that reliance on any financial outlook may not be appropriate for other purposes or in other circumstances and that the risk factors described above, or other factors may cause actual results to differ materially from any financial outlook. The actual results of the Company's operations will likely vary from the amounts set forth in any financial outlook and such variances may be material.

**Other information**

Where we say "we", "us", "our", the "Company", or "Kinross" in this presentation, we mean Kinross Gold Corporation and/or one or more or all of its subsidiaries, as may be applicable.

The technical information about the Company's mineral properties contained in presentation has been prepared under the supervision of Mr. Nicos Pfeiffer who is a "qualified person" within the meaning of National Instrument 43-101.

The Great Bear preliminary economic assessment referenced in this presentation is preliminary in nature and is based, in part, on inferred mineral resources. Inferred mineral resources are considered too geologically speculative to have the economic considerations applied to them that would enable them to be categorized as mineral reserves. There is no certainty that the economic forecasts on which the preliminary economic assessment is based will be realized.

This presentation references attributable production cost of sales per equivalent ounce sold, attributable all-in sustaining cost per equivalent ounce sold, attributable free cash flow and attributable capital expenditures, which are non-GAAP financial measures and ratios, with no standardized meaning under IFRS and therefore, may not be comparable to similar measures presented by other issuers.

All dollar amounts are expressed in U.S. dollars, unless otherwise noted.

# Table of Contents


| | |
|---|---|
| Company Overview | 5 |
| Great Bear | 22 |
| Operations & Projects | 32 |
| Sustainability | 52 |
| Appendix | 57 |

# Kinross: A Top Performing Senior Gold Producer



## OPERATIONAL EXCELLENCE

- **Forecast Production:**
  - **~2Moz** per year(1)
  - **6 mines** across **attractive jurisdictions**
  - **Consistent execution** underpinned by **operational** and **technical excellence**
- **Sizeable Gold Reserve(2) & Resource base(2):**
  - **~22Moz** of Reserves,
  - **~26Moz** of M&I,
  - **~13Moz** of Inferred
- **Pipeline** of projects including **world class Great Bear and Lobo-Marte**



## FINANCIAL STRENGTH

- **Rigorous Cost** and **Capital discipline**
- **Record Margins, Free Cash Flow Generation** and **Strong Outlook**
- **Growing per share metrics**
- **Robust Investment Grade** balance sheet
- Attractive **Return of Capital** yield of ~2.5%(3)
  - **~$150 million** dividend
  - **~$500 million** buyback(4)



## COMPELLING VALUE

- **Peer leading leverage to gold** and **shareholder returns**
- **Compelling relative valuation** and **strong Free Cash Flow yield** of >7%(5)
- **Buy Recommendation** from Majority of Research Analysts(6)
- **Highly liquid stock** trading ~US$400M(7) of daily volume across NYSE: KGC & TSX:K
- 2025 **TSX Top 30** ranking for 3-year Total Shareholder Returns




## LEADING SUSTAINABILITY PERFORMANCE

- **Consistent top performer** in Sustainability by key rating agencies
- On track for **30% reduction** in GHG intensity by 2030
- **99% of employees** hired within the host countries
- **$54 billion** in Cumulative Benefit Footprint since 2010(8)
- Strong independent Board of Directors:
  - **6 new directors since 2019:** Proactive Board Refresh Program

1) Gold equivalent ounces (AuEq, oz) through guidance timeframe out to 2027. Refer to endnote #1
2) Mineral Reserves & Resources as at December 31st, 2024. See Appendix B
3) Total Return of Capital yield calculated as US$150 million annual dividend plus US$500 million minimum share buyback amount for 2025 / total market capitalization (USD) as of September 9th, 2025
4) Targeted to be a minimum of $500 million in 2025 assuming recent gold prices are sustained, and operations continue to deliver on plan
5) FCF Yield Calculated as 2025E Free Cash Flow Per Share estimate per consensus / Share Price. Source: S&P Capital IQ (incl. Kinross) of September 9th, 2025. Value for Free Cash Flow Per Share based on 2025E consensus as of September 9th, 2025
6) As per Broker ratings available on Bloomberg as of September 9th, 2025. See Pg. 8
7) Average daily dollar (USD) volume traded over last 30-days as of September 9th, 2025, across TSX and NYSE
8) Spending on procurement, payments to governments, wages and benefits and community investments Kinross-wide (see 2024 Sustainability Report on www.kinross.com)

# High Quality Portfolio of Assets

## Backed by Top Tier(1) Mines and World Class Development Projects in Attractive Jurisdictions




| | FY2025 Guidance (+/- 5%) | FY2026 Guidance (+/- 5%) | FY2027 Guidance (+/- 5%) |
|---|---|---|---|
| **Attributable Production** (Au eq. oz.)(2) | 2.0 million | 2.0 million | 2.0 million |
| **Attributable Production Cost of Sales** (per Au eq. oz. sold)(2)(3) | $1,120 | - | - |
| **Attributable All-in Sustaining Cost** (per Au eq. oz. sold)(2)(3) | $1,500 | - | - |
| **Attributable Capital Expenditures**(2)(3) (millions) | $1,150 | $1,150(4) | $1,150(4) |

1) Top tier defined as assets with Life of Mine (LOM) into the next decade and annual production averaging greater than 500koz and LOM AISC <$1,000/oz (2025 nominal dollars)
2) Forecast 2025-2027 production, costs and capital expenditures is attributable and includes Kinross' share of Manh Choh (70%). See Appendix A for 2024 Actuals.
3) Refer to endnote #3.
4) Subject to ongoing inflation and project advancement
5) See Appendix B
6) See endnote #2.
7) Per metrics outlined in PEA published on September 10th, 2024
8) See endnote #6.

KINROSS


# Investment Case: Why Kinross?

## Delivering Shareholder Value

- Consistent Operational Excellence ✓
- Rigorous Cost & Capital Discipline ✓
- Strong Margins & Record Free Cash Flow ✓
- Robust Investment Grade Balance Sheet ✓
- Attractive Dividend & Share Buyback ✓
- Significant Organic Growth Pipeline ✓
- Growing per share metrics ✓

## Compelling Value

**2025E Free Cash Flow Yield %(1)**





## Attractive Portfolio

Diversified **Operational Base** and **World Class Development Projects**

**High margin production** driving **record Free Cash Flow**

**Strong Reserve** & **Resource Base** and **Pipeline** of **Projects**

## Share Price Outperformance





1) FCF Yield Calculated as 2025E Free Cash Flow Per Share estimate per consensus / Share Price. Source: S&P Capital IQ (incl. Kinross) of September 9th, 2025. Value for Free Cash Flow Per Share based on 2025E consensus as of September 9th, 2025.


# Strong Capital Markets Presence

## Ownership Summary[1]

### Total Ownership




### Institutional Geographic Ownership




## Stock Information

| | |
|---|---|
| New York Stock Exchange | NYSE:KGC |
| Toronto Stock Exchange | TSX:K |
| Number of Common Shares Outstanding (billion) | 1.219 |
| Average Daily Trading Volume (TSX & NYSE) | ~$400 million[2] |
| Market Capitalization \| Enterprise Value (billion USD) | $27.3 \| $27.5[3] |
| Annual Dividend Yield | ~0.6%[3] |
| Total Return of Capital Yield | ~2.5%[4] |

## Top 10 Shareholders[1]

| Investor | % of S/O |
|---|---|
| Van Eck Associates Corporation | 8.8% |
| BlackRock Investment Management (U.K.), LTD | 6.2% |
| The Vanguard Group, Inc. | 3.9% |
| Arrowstreet Capital, L.P. | 3.2% |
| Boston Partners Global Investors, Inc. | 3.1% |
| BlackRock Fund Advisors | 3.0% |
| Dimensional Fund Advisors, L.P. (U.S.) | 2.9% |
| Renaissance Technologies, LLC | 2.6% |
| Norges Bank Investment Management (Norway) | 2.5% |
| RBC Global Asset Management, Inc. | 2.1% |
| **Total** | **38.5%** |

## Strong Equity Research Coverage[5]

| | Firm | Analyst | Rating |
|---|---|---|---|
| *1* | Bank of America | Lawson Winder | BUY |
| *2* | BMO Capital Markets | Matthew Murphy | BUY |
| *3* | Beacon Securities | Michael Curran | BUY |
| *4* | Canaccord Genuity | Carey MacRury | BUY |
| *5* | CIBC Capital Markets | Anita Soni | BUY |
| *6* | Cormark Securities | Richard Gray | BUY |
| *7* | Jefferies | Fahad Tariq | BUY |
| *8* | National Bank Financial | Shane Nagle | BUY |
| *9* | Raymond James | Judith Elliot | HOLD |
| *10* | RBC Capital Markets | Josh Wolfson | HOLD |
| *11* | Scotiabank GBM | Tanya Jakusconek | BUY |
| *12* | Stifel Canada | Ralph Profiti | BUY |
| *13* | TD Securities | Steven Green | BUY |
| *14* | UBS | Daniel Major | BUY |
| | | **Average Rating** | BUY |

1) Ownership as at June 30th, 2025 per S&P Global
2) Average daily dollar (USD) volume traded over last 30-days across TSX and NYSE
3) As of September 9th, 2025
4) Total Return of Capital yield calculated as US$150 million annual dividend plus US$500 million minimum share buyback amount for 2025 / total market capitalization (USD) as of September 9th, 2025
5) As per Broker ratings available on Bloomberg as of September 9th, 2025

# Exceptional Operating Track Record


## Kinross has a longstanding track record of meeting or exceeding annual guidance metrics

| | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 | 2020 | 2021[1] | 2022[1] | 2023 | 2024 | Kinross Average | Peer[2] Average |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| **Met** production guidance | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | – | – | ✓ | ✓ | ~85% | ~71% |
| **Met** cost guidance | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | – | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ~92% | ~68% |
| **Met** capital expenditures guidance | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | 100% | - |

## Kinross has a robust annual planning and guidance setting process




## 2025 Guidance: On Track[(3)]




1) 2021 Annual production and cost guidance miss is due to the Tasiast Mill Fire; 2022 Annual production miss is due to La Coipa ramp up delays
2) Per Scotiabank Equity Research published March 2025 (Peer Averages from 2011-2024 excluding Kinross; Cost guidance includes both TCC and AISC, See Equity Research report for full peer list)
3) Refer to endnotes #1 and #3. See Appendix A for 2024 Actuals.

# Q2/25 & H1/25 Highlights

## Strong Q2 Performance

- Production on plan with strong ongoing cost management
- Record operating margins and cash flow

## Operations Delivering

- Strong contribution and cash flow from Tasiast and Paracatu
- La Coipa on track to meet production guidance
- US operations delivering on plan


## Well Positioned for H2

- On track to meet FY2025 guidance
- Strong financial position and cash flow outlook
- Return of capital progressing on plan


## Project Pipeline Advancing

- Brownfields – positive exploration updates from Curlew and Phase X
- Greenfields – Great Bear and Lobo-Marte progressing well
- Strong resource optionality

### Total Attributable Production (Au eq. Moz)(1)




### Adj. Net Earnings Per Share(2)







1) Refer to endnote #1.
2) Adjusted net earnings per share is a non-GAAP financial ratio with no standardized meaning under IFRS and therefore, may not be comparable to similar measures presented by other issuers. Refer to endnote #3.


# Cost Discipline Driving Strong Cash Flow

## Attractive Relative Cost Position AND Strong AISC Margin %

### 2025 AISC Guidance(1)





### AISC Margin %(2)





## Strong Cash Flow Growth AND Increasing Return on Capital

### Attributable Cash Flow Results(4)





### Return on Capital(5)





1) 2025 AISC guidance based on mid-point value of Company guidance ranges. Refer to Appendix A for 2024 Actuals
2) Refer to endnote #7
3) AISC margin for peers includes average for Agnico, AngloGold, Barrick, Evolution, Gold Fields, Newmont & Northern Star
4) OCF represents Attributable Adjusted Operating Cash Flow as reported. FCF represents Attributable Free Cash Flow as reported. Financial figures are non-GAAP financial measures or ratios, as applicable, with no standardized meaning under IFRS and therefore, may not be comparable to similar measures presented by other issuers. Refer to endnote #3. "Attributable" includes Kinross' 70% share of Manh Choh production, costs, cash flow, capital expenditures,
5) Per S&P Capital IQ calculations: Annualized NOPAT / Average Total Capital (i.e., Total Common Equity + Minority Interest + Long Term Debt + Long Term Leases)

# Strong Free Cash Flow Metrics

## H1 2025 Free Cash Flow(1) vs. Total Gold Production(2)




## H1 2025 Free Cash Flow(1) Per Ounce of Total Gold Production(2)(3)




1) Free Cash Flow calculated as Operating Cash Flow (OCF) minus Capital Expenditures (CAPEX). Source: S&P Capital IQ (incl. Kinross). Value for Operating Cash Flow and Capital Expenditures based on calendar six months ended June 30th, 2025 period values per S&P Capital IQ (incl. Kinross).
2) "Total Gold Production" defined as Total Attributable Gold Equivalent (GEO) Production as per Total Reported GEO sales for calendar six months ended June 30th, 2025 S&P Capital IQ values and as per reported Company Disclosure (incl. Kinross).
3) Free Cash Flow per Ounce of Production calculated as Free Cash Flow divided by Total Attributable Gold Equivalent Production (GEO) based on calendar six months ended June 30th, 2025 period values per S&P Capital IQ (incl. Kinross).

# Excellent Financial Position

## Financial Strength


- **$1.1 billion** of cash and cash equivalents and **$2.8 billion** of total liquidity(1)
- **Net Debt(2) reduced** to $100 million as of June 30th
- Expect to be **Net Cash** in Q3/25
- **Repurchased $225 million in shares(3)** and **on track** for target of **~$650 million** in **total capital returns** for 2025

## Manageable Debt


- Senior Notes are **rated Investment Grade** by all three ratings Agencies

| Agency | Rating |
|---|---|
| Moody's | Baa3 (Positive) |
| Fitch | BBB (Stable) |
| S&P | BBB- (Positive) |

### Cash and Net Debt (US$M)(4)




### Debt Maturities (US$M)(4)





1) "Total liquidity" is defined as the sum of cash and cash equivalents, as reported on the interim condensed consolidated balance sheets as at June 30, 2025, and available credit under the Company's credit facilities (as calculated in Section 6 Liquidity and Capital Resources of Kinross' MD&A for the three and six months ended June 30, 2025).
2) Net debt is a non-GAAP financial measure with no standardized meaning under IFRS and therefore, may not be comparable to similar measures presented by other issuers. Refer to endnote #5.
3) As of July 30th, 2025
4) As at June 30th, 2025


# Peer Leading Leverage to Gold

## Superior Leverage to Gold

### 2024 Revenue by Commodity (% of Total)[1]





### 2-Year Beta to Gold & GDX[2]





## Leading Value Accretion Per Share and Shareholder Returns

### 2-Year Consensus Net Asset Value Per Share Growth[3]





### 2-Year Total Shareholder Return[4]





1) Per RBC Equity Research
2) Per Bloomberg data
3) Calculated as % change of consensus Net Asset Value per share estimates. Source: monthly data per S&P Capital IQ (incl. Kinross).
4) 2-Year Total Shareholder Return (TSR) or price increase as of September 9th, 2025. Source S&P Capital IQ (incl. Kinross)

# Attractive Valuation – Trading at Compelling P/NAV and EV/EBITDA Multiples

## Price / Net Asset Value[1]




## EV / EBITDA 2025[2]




1) Calculated as Share Price / Net Asset Value (NAV) Per Share. Source: S&P Capital IQ (incl. Kinross) of September 9th, 2025. Values for NAV Per Share based on consensus as of September 9th, 2025
2) Calculated as Enterprise Value / 2025E EBITDA. Source: S&P Capital IQ (incl. Kinross) of September 9th, 2025. Values for EBITDA based on 2025E consensus as of September 9th, 2025
3) Per most recent available broker research NAV sensitivities at spot gold prices (Brokers include CIBC, RBC, Scotiabank, UBS – average spot price across brokers of ~$3,400/oz)

# Disciplined Capital Allocation Strategy

## 1 Reinvesting in our Business



- **Sustaining Capex**(1) – maintaining operations safely and to world-class environmental standards
- **Growth Projects**(1) – pursuing attractive returns by leveraging our infrastructure and experience
- **Exploration** – capitalizing on opportunities to extend or grow production

## 2 Maintaining Financial Strength



| | H1/25 Actual | 2025 Target |
|---|---|---|
| • In a strong financial position with three investment grade credit ratings | $724M Net Debt(2) reduction | Continue to strengthen the balance sheet; expecting to be Net Cash in Q3/25 |

## 3 Returning Capital to Shareholders



| | H1/25 Actual | 2025 Target |
|---|---|---|
| • Sustainable quarterly dividend | $74M(2) | ~$150M |
| • Share buyback plan | $170M(2) | ~$500M |
| | **$244M(2) Total** | **~$650M(3) Total** |
| | **$1.3 billion** total returned since 2020(4) | **~$1.7 billion** total returned since 2020(5) |

**Excess Free Cash Flow reallocated to enhance Shareholder Value**

1) See Capital Expenditure Guidance table in Appendix A.
2) Year-to-date through to June 30th, 2025 in USD. Net debt is a non-GAAP measure, refer to endnote #5.
3) ~$150M in Dividends and targeting a minimum of ~$500M in Share Buybacks assuming recent gold prices are sustained, and operations continue to deliver on plan
4) Sum of dividends and share buybacks in USD from January 1st, 2020 to June 30th, 2025
5) Sum of dividends and share buybacks in USD from January 1st, 2020 to June 30th, 2025 plus remaining target for H2/25.

# Expected Milestones[1]

| 2025 | | 2026 | | 2027 - 30 | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| **Balance Sheet** Repaid Term loan and Net Cash Position | **Bald Mtn** Pre-stripping at Redbird Phase 1 | **Great Bear** AEX Construction, Detailed Eng., and submitting the Final Phase of IS | **Round Mtn** Phase X Initial Resource, Study Update, and Construction | **Great Bear** Construction and Production | **Round Mtn** Phase X Construction and Production |
| **Return of Capital** Reinstate Share Buyback Plan | **Round Mtn** Initial production from Phase S open pit | **Bald Mountain** Redbird Phase 2 Study Update, and Initiate Construction | **Paracatu** Updated Technical Report | **Bald Mountain** Redbird Phase 2 Construction and Production | **La Coipa** Transition to Oxide Extensions |
| **Advancing Permitting** Great Bear, Curlew, La Coipa & Lobo-Marte | **Great Bear** AEX construction and submission of Phase 1 of the Impact Statement (“IS”) | **Lobo-Marte** Project Study Update | **Curlew** Resource Update, Study Update, and Construction | **Lobo-Marte** Progress Permitting and Initiate Construction | **Curlew** Construction and Production |
| **Tasiast** Updated Technical Report and Satellite mining at Fennec | **Round Mtn** Phase X initial resource drilling complete | **Tasiast** Exploration update for satellite and UG mining opportunities | **Advancing Permitting** Great Bear, Curlew, La Coipa, & Lobo-Marte | **Balance Sheet** Repay 2027 $500M Senior Notes | **Tasiast** Study for UG mining opportunity |

**Ongoing Greenfield and Brownfield Exploration to Bring in New Projects and Mine Life Extensions**

1) Expected timing are estimates that are based on several assumptions and external impacts. Please see our Cautionary Statement on Forward-Looking Information on slide 2 and 3.

# Significant Resource Optionality

## Significant resource base for potential extensions at existing operations

## New growth projects that could potentially contribute to the production profile through the end of the decade and beyond

| | | $1,600/oz Au | $2,000/oz Au | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | Reserves[3] | Resources[4] | | Growth Opportunities | Potential 2027-30 Impact | Potential 2030+ Impact |
| | | 2P | M&I | Inferred | | | |
| Extensions at Current Operations | Paracatu | 4.9 | 3.2 | 0.0 | Resource extensions via NW layback and footwall extensions | | ✓ |
| | Tasiast | 4.7 | 2.4 | 1.6 | West Branch 6, Satellite Open Pits, Underground Optionality | | ✓ |
| | Round Mtn | 1.9 | 3.6 | 1.7 | Phase W3 & W4; Phase X Underground | ✓ | ✓ |
| | Fort Knox | 1.3 | 0.8 | 0.2 | Phase 11 Open Pit Extension; Gil Extensions | | ✓ |
| | Bald Mtn | 1.2 | 2.7 | 0.6 | Redbird Phase 1 and 2; Top Pit; Satellite Pits | ✓ | ✓ |
| | La Coipa | 0.6 | 1.4 | 0.1 | Oxide Open Pit Extensions | ✓ | ✓ |
| Growth Project Optionality | Curlew | - | 0.4 | 0.8 | Potential to contribute low-cost production within late 20s and into the 30s | ✓ | ✓ |
| | Great Bear | - | 2.7 | 3.9 | Expected to drive meaningful production and cash flow through the 2030s | ✓ | ✓ |
| | Lobo-Marte | 6.7 | 2.4 | 0.4 | Open Pit Heap Leach with strong heap leach grade (1.3 g/t) and low strip ratio (2:1) | | ✓ |
| | Maricunga | - | 6.3 | 3.9 | Open Pit Heap Leach with significant scale and potential margin at current gold prices | | ✓ |
| | Total Gold[1,2] (Moz) | 21.9 | 25.9 | 13.2 | | | |

1) Total Gold includes Manh Choh at 70% attributable: 558koz of 2P; 23koz of M&I; 0koz of Inferred
2) See Appendix B
3) Company's mineral reserves are estimated using appropriate cut-off grades based on an assumed gold price of $1,600 per ounce and a silver price of $20.00 per ounce.
4) Company's mineral resources are estimated using appropriate cut-off grades based on an assumed gold price of $2,000 per ounce and a silver price of $25.00 per ounce

# Attractive Project Pipeline


**Potential 2027-2030 Production Impact**

| | Bald Mtn Extensions<br>*Nevada, USA* | Round Mtn Phase X<br>*Nevada, USA* | Curlew<br>*Washington, USA* | Great Bear<br>*Ontario, Canada* |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| |  |  |  |  |
| **Project Overview** | **Extensive opportunity on prolific land package for additional pits and mine life extensions, including Redbird 1 & 2** | **Potential bulk tonnage underground operation, extending Round Mountain through the mid-30s.** | **High-grade underground resource leveraging existing plant infrastructure, providing potential high-margin production.** | **World-class resource with a highly prospective PEA outlining 518koz/yr(1) at a $812/oz AISC.** |
| **2025-2026 Focus** | • Redbird 1 and 2 mining<br>• Final design and project approval for Redbird 2<br>• Progress additional satellite pit opportunities to augment production profile<br>• Heap leach pad construction | • Establish initial resource<br>• Mine design, detailed engineering and state permitting<br>• Continued UG development and infrastructure construction<br>• Continued exploration | • Resource update<br>• Final permitting for TSF height increase<br>• Detailed engineering<br>• Tailings dewatering facility construction<br>• Mill refurbishment<br>• Continued exploration | • Advanced exploration decline development<br>• Main project detailed engineering and permitting<br>• Continue exploration from underground and on broader land package<br>• Main project construction |
| **Next Milestone** | **Q1 2026:** Study Update | **Q1 2026:** Study and Initial Resource | **Q1 2026:** Study and Resource Update | **2026:** Submission of final phases of the Impact Statement |

1) Over the first 8 years


# Attractive Project Pipeline Continued

**Potential 2031+ Production Impact**

| | Lobo-Marte<br>*Chile* | Maricunga<br>*Chile* | Tasiast UG and Satellites<br>*Mauritania* | Additional Open Pit Laybacks at Operations |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| |  |  |  |  |
| **Project Overview** | **Potential long-life, low cost open pit with strong heap leach grade (1.3 g/t) and low strip ratio (2:1)** | **Historical open pit heap leach operation with potential for restart and large resource base (6.3 Moz M&I & 3.9 Moz Inf.)** | **Bulk-tonnage underground and open pit satellite potential to extend Tasiast mine life** | **Further open pit extension opportunity in our resource at Paracatu, Fort Knox and La Coipa** |
| **2025-2026 Focus** | • Project study update<br>• Baseline studies<br>• Progress permitting<br>• Progress technical and engineering work | • Refresh mine plan and economics<br>• Progress technical studies<br>• Progress baseline and water studies | • Exploration drilling of UG target extensions<br>• Exploration for additional OP satellite opportunities<br>• Progress technical studies for both UG and OP extensions | • Exploration drilling targeting optimization of next layback<br>• Progress technical studies<br>• Environmental baseline studies |

# Kinross Exploration Highlights

## Kinross Exploration – Recent Spend History




## Recent Exploration Successes is Driving Future Production Potential

- ✓ **Reserve additions –** recent high margin reserve additions at **Tasiast** and **Paracatu** through drilling
- ✓ **La Coipa Phase 7 –** restart of operation on back of high grade exploration discovery
- ✓ **Round Mountain Phase X –** exploration efforts unlocked opportunity to transition to higher grade UG
- ✓ **Curlew –** significantly expanded resource in higher grade, wider areas of mineralization
- ✓ **Great Bear –** extensive directional drill program outlined robust 12 year high grade mine life (per '24 PEA)

## 2025 Exploration Priorities

| | Property / Jurisdiction | Exploration Thesis |
|---|---|---|
| Brownfields | Great Bear, Ontario, Canada | Large high-grade resource with additional regional exploration potential on ~120 sq. km land package |
| | Curlew Basin, Washington, USA | Under-explored, high-grade historical producer with existing nearby process infrastructure |
| | Round Mountain, Nevada, USA | Higher-margin underground opportunities at Phase X and Gold Hill |
| | Alaska, USA | Potential pit growth at Fort Knox and Gil; Testing under-explored large land package at Manh Choh |
| | Bald Mountain, Nevada, USA | Prolific land package with numerous historical open pits and significant resource |
| | Tasiast, Mauritania | Determine extent of mineralization at depth for potential future underground scenario, and drill testing satellite targets |
| | Chile | Oxide Extensions at existing La Coipa pits and testing new nearby targets |
| | Paracatu, Brazil | Systematically testing extensive land package for similar style grade and mineralization |
| Greenfields | Snow Lake, Manitoba, Canada | High-grade narrow-veining, similar to nearby past producer |
| | Nevada, USA | Targeting low sulphidation epithermal, Carlin and Porphyry-style deposits |
| | Finland | High-grade orogenic gold targets on underexplored greenstone belt |



# Great Bear

**National Indigenous Peoples Day**

*Kinross' Great Bear team partnered with the First Nations to participate in a learning opportunity on land-based teachings and knowledge sharing.*

# Project Location – Premier Mining Jurisdiction with Strong Infrastructure

## Highly Attractive Jurisdiction

- 24 km southeast of town of Red Lake, Ontario
- Stable operating jurisdiction

## Established Existing Footprint


- Host to several existing mining operations
- Close to strong skilled labour pool

## Significant Regional Infrastructure

- Site accessible via paved Highway 105
- Existing provincial transmission powerline and Enbridge Natural gas line

## Strong Culture

- Continued engagement with local stakeholders and Indigenous partners.



# Project Location Today – Surface Works are Progressing on the AEX(1)




1. Advanced Exploration Decline ("AEX")

# Great Bear – PEA(4) Highlights Both, Strong Economics and Positive Technical Attributes

## Positive Technical Attributes

- ✓ Clean metallurgy – no deleterious elements
- ✓ High metallurgical recoveries of 95.7%
- ✓ Straightforward conventional mill circuit
- ✓ Right-sized 10ktpd mill reducing project risk
- ✓ Highly competent geotechnical conditions
- ✓ Strong underground widths for high-productivity long-hole mining
- ✓ Significant production flexibility from high-grade open pit combined with underground
- ✓ Robust tailings and water management strategy
- ✓ >500km of drilling to date including ~16km of geotechnical drilling and 35km of RC drilling increasing resource confidence

**518koz / Year**
**Avg. Annual Production over the first 8 Years**

**$970 FCF(1)(2)/Year**
**@ $3,300/oz**

**$812/oz AISC(1) Life of Mine**
**$594/oz LOM Cash Cost(3)**

**12 Year Initial Mine Life**
**Strong Underground Expansion Potential**

**$5,184M NPV**
**@ $3,300/oz**

**47.8% IRR**
**@ $3,300/oz**


**1.1 Year Payback**
**@ $3,300/oz**


**Manageable Capex**
**$1.4B Initial Capital including contingencies**

Note: Metrics calculated after-tax at $3,300/oz and are discounted at 5% where applicable; All dollar amounts are expressed in U.S. dollars;
1) Free cash flow (FCF) and All-in sustaining cost (AISC) are Non-GAAP measures. Refer to endnote #6.
2) Average FCF over the first 8 years of production excluding stump years.
3) Refer to endnote #2.
4) The PEA is preliminary in nature and is based, in part, on Inferred Mineral Resources. Inferred Mineral Resources are considered too geologically speculative to have the economic considerations applied to them that would enable them to be categorized as Mineral Reserves. There is no certainty that the economic forecasts on which the PEA is based will be realized.

# Top Tier Production Profile(1)

**~500koz per year production from the combined Open Pit and Underground operations**
**>4 g/t Mill Grade**





1) Per Great Bear PEA published September 10th, 2024

# Continued High Grade Intercepts at Depth


## Drilling Beyond the PEA Inventory Shows Upside Potential for Resource Additions




**Recent deep drilling from surface shows continued high grade mineralization at depth, highlighting potential for resource additions**


# Regional Exploration

## Large 18km Trend With Limited Drilling to Date
## ~50km drill program ongoing




# AEX Decline – Enables Efficient Drilling of Underground Material


## Conceptual Exploration Timeline




## Advanced Exploration Underground Design




## Advanced Exploration Surface Design



# Great Bear Update – AEX Surface Works and Main Project engineering are progressing well



*AEX Camp Site*




*AEX Portal Boxcut*

- AEX surface works ongoing:
  - AEX earthworks progressing well, camp is complete and operational
  - Foundation and primary steel for Effluent treatment Plant complete and building cladding in progress
  - Installation of natural gas pipeline commenced
  - Portal highwall support and concrete lining advancing
- Detailed engineering of mill, tailings facility and other critical site infrastructure for Main Project continue to advance
- Procurement activities for major process equipment has commenced
- Work on Federal permitting for Main Project continues to advance

# Targeted Path to Production at Great Bear

## Conceptual Project Development Timeline





*(1) Three phased submission of Impact Statement expected to occur in September, December 2025 and by end of Q1, 2026.*
*(2) Average timelines consider Impact Assessment Agency of Canada ("IAAC") process. Permitting timelines are estimated and subject to Government process, consultation and engagement.*
*(3) Remaining construction and operating permits are to be obtained in the ordinary course during construction prior to First Production.*




# Operations & Projects

# Mauritania

## 2025E Gold Equivalent Production(1)





| Asset | Highlights |
|---|---|
| **Tasiast** | • Top-tier(2) asset<br>• Low-cost mine<br>• Strong production profile supported by a large gold reserve in a prospective district<br>• Expected to deliver low-cost production and significant cash flow into the next decade<br>• Solar power facility supplying ~20% of site power |

1) Refer to endnote #1
2) Top tier defined as assets with Life of Mine (LOM) into the next decade and annual production averaging greater than 500koz and LOM AISC <$1000/oz (2025 nominal dollars)

# Mauritania – A World Class Mining Jurisdiction

- Politically stable democracy which gained independence in 1960
- Safe, secure jurisdiction with positive regional relations and strong US, French, and NATO presence
- Actively promotes foreign investment with pro-mining policies and a competitive fiscal and regulatory framework
- Foreign investment is robust and steadily increasing, with investments from BP, Total and First Quantum among others
- Mining is a major industry and critical to the economy alongside fishing and oil & gas, with the mining sector contributing:
  - 19% to national GDP in 2023
  - 23% of government revenue in 2023
- Tasiast operation now income taxable, strengthening economic benefits in country
- Kinross continues to maintain a strong relationship with the Government of Mauritania and local communities around the Tasiast mine






# Tasiast – Low cost Mine Backed by a Substantial Reserve and Exploration Potential

- Achieved budgeted production in Q2
- Strong mill performance and recoveries
- Satellite mining commenced at Fennec pit to the north
- On track to meet 2025 guidance
- Production in 2025 expected to be lower than 2024 on lower planned grades due to mine sequencing
- Ongoing exploration highlighting the potential to extend mine life on satellite mineralization and/or open-pit extensions



## Operating Results

| | 2023A | 2024A | H1/25A | 2025E[1] |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| **Production** (Au eq. oz.) | 620,793 | 622,394 | 256,870 | 500,000 |
| **Production cost of sales** ($/oz.)[2] | $661 | $681 | $826 | $860 |


## 2024 Gold Reserve & Resource Estimates[3]

| | Tonnes (kt) | Grade (g/t) | Ounces (koz) |
|---|---|---|---|
| **2P Reserves** | 102,831 | 1.4 | 4,705 |
| **M&I Resources** | 74,550 | 1.0 | 2,365 |
| **Inferred Resources** | 21,047 | 2.4 | 1,632 |

1) Represents 2025 Guidance and reflects a range of +/- 5%. Refer to endnote #1
2) Refer to endnote #2
3) See Appendix B

# Tasiast – Reserve and Mine Plan Update


- Tasiast life-of-mine plan has been updated on the back of the 2024 reserve update, has added ~100koz to the 2025-27 window
- Updated mine plan reflects continued success increasing 2025-2027 production profile via operational improvements, mine design, and satellite mining opportunities
- Drilling at depth continues to show continuity of mineralization and potential for underground production in the longer term at Tasiast




1) As per October 2023 Investor site visit

# Brazil

## 2025E Gold Equivalent Production(1)




| Asset | Highlights |
|---|---|
| **Paracatu** | • Top-tier(2) asset<br>• Largest gold mine in Brazil, and one of the world's largest gold mining operations<br>• Attractive cost structure and stable long mine life extending into the next decade<br>• Track record of strong operating performance with seven consecutive years >500koz of production |

1) Refer to endnote #1
2) Top tier defined as assets with Life of Mine (LOM) into the next decade and annual production averaging greater than 500koz and LOM AISC <$1000/oz (2025 nominal dollars)

# Paracatu – Top-tier Gold Mine with Production extending into the Next Decade

- Strongest contributor in Q2 driving significant cash flow
- Higher throughput quarter over quarter and continued strong recoveries
- Cost of sales inline with prior quarter
- On track to meet 2025 guidance







## Operating Results

| | 2023A | 2024A | H1/25A | 2025E[1] |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| **Production** (Au eq. oz.) | 587,999 | 528,574 | 295,903 | 585,000 |
| **Production cost of sales** ($/oz.)[2] | $909 | $1,039 | $955 | $1,025 |


## 2024 Gold Reserve & Resource Estimates[3]

| | Tonnes (kt) | Grade (g/t) | Ounces (koz) |
|---|---|---|---|
| **2P Reserves** | 377,268 | 0.4 | 4,887 |
| **M&I Resources** | 290,341 | 0.3 | 3,165 |
| **Inferred Resources** | 2,275 | 0.3 | 21 |

1) Represents 2025 Guidance and reflects a range of +/- 5%. Refer to endnote #1
2) Refer to endnote #2
3) See Appendix B

# Chile

## 2025E Gold Equivalent Production(1)




| Asset | Highlights |
|---|---|
| **La Coipa** | • High-margin production with strong cash flow<br>• La Coipa oxide extensions show promising opportunities to extend mine life |
| **Lobo-Marte** | • World Class Development Project with excellent long-life production potential |
| **Maricunga** | • Past producer with significant resource |



1) Refer to endnote #1

# La Coipa – On Track to Meet Production Guidance

- Lower ore mined in Q2 due to higher than anticipated groundwater inflows in the pit
- Higher tonnes processed from lower grade stockpiles – resulting in higher costs in Q2
- Transitioning to higher grades from Phase 7 ore in the second half of the year
- On track to meet 2025 production guidance



## Operating Results

| | 2023A | 2024A | H1/25A | 2025E[1] |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| **Production** (Au eq. oz.) | 260,138 | 246,131 | 106,454 | 230,000 |
| **Production cost of sales** ($/oz.)[2] | $681 | $959 | $1,266 | $1,060 |

## 2024 Gold Reserve & Resource Estimates[3]


| | Tonnes (kt) | Grade (g/t Au) | Ounces (koz Au) | Grade (g/t Ag) | Ounces (koz Ag) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| **2P Reserves** | 10,526 | 1.9 | 642 | 47.0 | 15,890 |
| **M&I Resources** | 27,188 | 1.6 | 1,366 | 40.5 | 35,426 |
| **Inferred Resources** | 2,190 | 1.3 | 92 | 53.8 | 3,790 |

1) Represents 2025 Guidance and reflects a range of +/- 5%. Refer to endnote #1
2) Refer to endnote #2
3) See Appendix B
4) 80:1 Gold Silver Ratio (GER)

# Strong Production Outlook in Chile

## Mined in succession, La Coipa and Lobo-Marte could enable production in Chile beyond 2040

- La Coipa and Lobo-Marte are located in Chile's Atacama (Region III), approximately 650 km north of Santiago
- Both deposits are in the Maricunga Belt with access to infrastructure, and just 50km apart.
- Lobo-Marte has the potential to be a long-life asset with a sizeable production profile
- Opportunity to share infrastructure and equipment from Kinross' projects in the region (e.g., water source, processing equipment and camp)



# Lobo-Marte – Potential to be a long-life, cornerstone asset with significant production and low costs

- Offers excellent long-life production potential in Chile
- Significant mineral reserve estimate(1), with attractive grades and a low estimated strip ratio
- Planned to be an open pit heap leach operation
- Environmental Baseline studies advancing
- Project update in 2026

**2024 Reserve & Resource Estimates(1)**


| | Tonnes (thousands) | Grade (Au g/t) | Ounces (thousands) |
|---|---|---|---|
| **Probable Reserves** | 160,702 | 1.3 | 6,733 |
| **Indicated Resources** | 99,440 | 0.7 | 2,366 |
| **Inferred Resources** | 18,474 | 0.7 | 445 |

| Metric | Kinross Estimates(2) (Approximate) |
|---|---|
| Life of mine production (Au eq. oz.) | 4.7 million |
| Annual production (Au eq. oz.) | ~300 koz |
| Life of mine ore processed (tonnes) | 160 million |
| Average grade processed (Au) | 1.3 g/t |
| Strip ratio | 2.0 |
| Average recovery rate Au | 70% |

1) See Appendix B
2) Per Kinross estimates and Feasibility Study (FS) results published November 10th, 2021

# United States

## 2025E Gold Equivalent Production(1)




| Asset | Highlights |
|---|---|
| **Fort Knox, Alaska** | • Near-term production growth through contribution from Manh Choh |
| **Round Mountain, Nevada** | • Clear path to production through the decade with potential underground optionality |
| **Bald Mountain, Nevada** | • Open-pit mining with a large mineral resource base |

| United States Total | 2023A | 2024A | H1/25A | 2025E(1) |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| **Attributable(2) Production** (Au eq. oz.) | 684,090 | 730,953 | 365,435 | 685,000 |
| **Production cost of sales** ($/oz.)(3) | $1,318 | $1,295 | $1,236 | - |
| **Attributable(2) Production cost of sales** ($/oz.)(4) | $1,318 | $1,313 | $1,237 | $1,420 |

1) Refer to endnote #1
2) "Attributable" includes Kinross' 70% share of Manh Choh production and costs, as applicable.
3) Refer to endnote #2.
4) Refer to endnote #3

# Fort Knox – Near Term Production Growth Through Manh Choh

- Strong contributions from both Fort Knox and Manh Choh
- Production largely inline over prior quarter
- Cost of sales increased due to higher processing costs
- Current production life is expected to extend to 2030
- Significant mill capacity provides optionality for future production growth



## Operating Results


| | 2023A | 2024A | H1/25A |
|---|---|---|---|
| **Attributable[1] Production** (Au eq. oz.) | 290,651 | 334,519 | 191,842 |
| **Production cost of sales** ($/oz.)[2] | $1,195 | $1,205 | $1,212 |
| **Attributable[1] Production cost of sales** ($/oz.)[3] | $1,195 | $1,233 | $1,211 |

## 2024 Gold Reserve & Resource Estimates[4]

| | | Tonnes (kt) | Grade (g/t) | Ounces (koz) |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| Fort Knox | 2P Reserves | 103,257 | 0.4 | 1,276 |
| | M&I Resources | 67,938 | 0.4 | 810 |
| | Inferred Resources | 15,203 | 0.4 | 187 |
| Manh Choh | 2P Reserves | 2,318 | 7.5 | 558 |
| | M&I Resources | 257 | 2.7 | 23 |
| | Inferred Resources | 0 | 3.2 | 0 |

1) "Attributable" includes Kinross' 70% share of Manh Choh production and costs, as applicable.
2) Refer to endnote #2.
3) Refer to endnote #3.
4) Refer to Appendix B.

# Round Mountain – Planned Future of Open-pit Mining, Underground Work Advancing




- Nearing completion of **Phase W2** mining
- **Phase S** – Waste stripping in progress and on-schedule. Planned production ramping-up in second half of 2025
- Construction of **Phase X** exploration decline is progressing well with over 4,500m developed to date
- Potential to exploit from UG at higher margins

## Operating Results

| | 2023A | 2024A | H1/25A |
|---|---|---|---|
| **Production** (Au eq. oz.) | 235,690 | 215,387 | 74,351 |
| **Production cost of sales** ($/oz.)[1] | $1,528 | $1,527 | $1,478 |


## 2024 Gold Reserve & Resource Estimates[2]

| | Tonnes (kt) | Grade (g/t) | Ounces (koz) |
|---|---|---|---|
| **2P Reserves** | 75,102 | 0.8 | 1,883 |
| **M&I Resources** | 154,965 | 0.7 | 3,625 |
| **Inferred Resources** | 112,844 | 0.5 | 1,669 |

1) Refer to endnote #2
2) See Appendix B

# Round Mountain – Expected Production Through The Decade and Beyond


## Round Mountain Open Pit Production Profile Forecast



# Round Mountain – Phase X

## Drilling at Phase X Demonstrating Strong Grades Within Exploration Target and Potential for Extensions

- Underground development progressing well, with over 4.5 km completed to date
- Geological interpretations and preliminary mine designs confirming potential for **high-productivity low-cost underground operation** at Phase X given wide and consistent nature of mineralization: +10m wide mineralized zones at average grade of 3 to 4 g/t
- Initial year-end underground resource anticipated




| Hole ID | Length (m) | Au Eq (g/t)[1] |
|---|---|---|
| **DX-0162** | **67** | **3.2** |
| *Including* | *5* | *11.0* |
| **DX-0163** | **88** | **2.7** |
| *Including* | *6* | *8.8* |

| Hole ID | Length (m) | Au Eq (g/t)[1] |
|---|---|---|
| **DX-0146** | **43** | **4.6** |
| *Including* | *8* | *11.4* |
| **DX-0147** | **82** | **3.1** |
| *Including* | *8* | *8.1* |
| **DX-0162** | **67** | **3.2** |
| *Including* | *5* | *11.0* |
| **DX-0163** | **88** | **2.7** |
| *Including* | *6* | *8.8* |
| **DX-0170** | **105** | **5.1** |
| *Including* | *15* | *7.8* |
| **DX-0175** | **71** | **3.4** |
| *Including* | *6* | *7.7* |

| Hole ID | Length (m) | Au Eq (g/t)[1] |
|---|---|---|
| **DX-0115** | **114** | **3.6** |
| *Including* | *6* | *13.5* |
| **DX-0116** | **76** | **4.6** |
| *Including* | *3* | *13.4* |
| **DX-0128** | **77** | **4.0** |
| *Including* | *6* | *13.0* |
| **DX-0129** | **85** | **5.4** |
| *Including* | *8* | *25.5* |
| **DX-0132** | **165** | **4.0** |
| *Including* | *6* | *31.4* |
| **DX-0139** | **75** | **3.1** |
| *Including* | *5* | *13.6* |

1) AuEq calculated using an Ag : Au ratio of 80:1

# Bald Mountain – Extending Production

- Production improved over prior quarter due to stronger grades as mining finished at the higher-grade LBM pit
- Mine plan currently optimized for near term cash flow
- Redbird Phase 1 mining activity ramping up on schedule
- Substantial mineral resource base offers optionality for mine life extension across multiple open pit pushbacks








## Operating Results

| | 2023A | 2024A | H1/25A |
|---|---|---|---|
| **Production** (Au eq. oz.) | 157,749 | 181,047 | 99,242 |
| **Production cost of sales** ($/oz.)(1) | $1,241 | $1,205 | $1,108 |

## 2024 Gold Reserve & Resource Estimates(2)


| | Tonnes (kt) | Grade (g/t) | Ounces (koz) |
|---|---|---|---|
| **2P Reserves** | 55,772 | 0.7 | 1,173 |
| **M&I Resources** | 179,261 | 0.5 | 2,683 |
| **Inferred Resources** | 51,303 | 0.3 | 571 |

KINROSS

1) Refer to endnote #2
2) See Appendix B

# Bald Mountain – Redbird Advancing


- With Juniper permit received, **Redbird to proceed with phased approach**
  - Phased approach lowers and de-risks initial capital and pulls production earlier into 2027
  - Redbird 1 extends production into 2028; another ~690 koz in Redbird 2 could extend production to 2031
- ~1Moz converted to Reserves at Redbird; Redbird 1 approved and mining underway




**Redbird Reserves[1] by Phase**

| | Ore Tonnes (000's) | Grade (g/t Au) | Contained Ounces (000's) |
|---|---|---|---|
| Phase 1 | 12,086 | 0.70 | 273 |
| Phase 2 | 30,501 | 0.70 | 688 |
| **Total** | **42,587** | **0.70** | **961** |

**Conceptual Production Profile**




| Redbird Phase 1 | Kinross Estimates *($2,500/oz \| $2,800/oz)* (Approximate) |
|---|---|
| Net Present Value | $40 million \| $75 million |
| Internal Rate of Return | 17% \| 26% |
| Initial capital spend | $120 million |
| Life of mine production (Au eq. oz.) | 175 koz |
| All-in Sustaining Cost per Ounce(2) | $1,500 / oz |
| Payback | 2027 |
| Strip Ratio | 6.4 |

KINROSS

Note: Financial metrics calculated after-tax at $2,500/oz and $2,800/oz; discounted at 5% where applicable; All dollar amounts are expressed in U.S. dollars.
1) Contained ounces exclude Inferred Resource. 2025 drilling focused on conversion.
2) See endnote #6.


# Curlew – Building on a Strong Mining District in Washington State

## Buckhorn Mine

- *Most recent producing mine in district*
- *1.3Moz produced between 2008-17 (avg. ~130koz at ~US$650/oz Cost of Sales)*
- *Currently in closure*



## District Map – 2.8Moz produced





## Curlew Restart & K2 Mine

- *1.2 Moz of resource*
- *Curlew exploration focused on expanding resource at K2 area (467koz of historic production)*
- *Development mining progressing to target Road Runner area*
- *Adjacent to the historic K1 mine (mined 1990-93)*





## Kettle River Mill

- *Put into care & maintenance in 2017*
- *Maintenance inspections and engineering ongoing*
- *Final permitting step – raise on the dry-stack tailings facility*



# Curlew – High Grade Resource Growth

- **High-grade growth in 2024 update:** Delineation of a new high-grade zone, **leading to +125koz @ 9.0 g/t averaging just over 5m widths**
- **Further opportunity in 2025:** new zone remains **open along both strike and dip** – drilling to continue in 2025 targeting further higher-grade opportunities
- Total resource now **~410koz of indicated at 6.4 g/t and ~840koz of inferred at 6.3 g/t**
- Continuing mine plan optimization to improve mine designs and increase margins, with a focus on the higher margin, wider material at Stealth (ST) and K5





# Sustainability

# Top Performer in Sustainability[1]

## Environment

### GHG INTENSITY

- **GHG intensity 677 kg $CO_2e$/Au eq.oz** in 2024; on track towards 2030 target
- Scope 3 emissions for 2024 were 1.79 Mt CO2e, an increase of 36% compared to Scope 3 emissions in 2023, due to improvements in the availability of travel data.

### NATURAL CAPITAL

- **95 ha of land reclaimed in 2024**

### WATER MANAGEMENT

- **75% water recycled** of total water withdrawn, representing approximately 227 million $m^3$ of water
- **Zero fresh water** consumed at sites in water stress regions (Tasiast and La Coipa)

### COMPLIANCE

- **Strong record** with zero significant spills; strengthened governance with modernized environment management system

## Social

**99%**
Host Country Workforce

**$4.0 billion**
Benefit footprint


**0.33**
TRIFR (employees & contractors per 200,000 hrs worked)

**22%**
Women in junior and mid-management

**14%**
Females in workforce

**$13 million**
Social investment (monetary and in kind)

### EMPLOYEE TURNOVER %




## Governance

### BOARD SUCCESSION

- **Strong Board Succession** has brought in six new directors since 2019[2]
- **New Independent Chair** effective May 2025

### STRONG ESG SCORES

- External **Board governance** ratings (e.g., Globe & Mail) and **ESG assessments** (e.g., S&P CSA, MSCI, LSEG)

### ALIGNED WITH RESPONSIBLE MINING

- UN Guiding Principles; UN Global Compact; Voluntary Principles on Security & Human Rights
- **Responsible Gold Mining Principles**; Conflict-free gold (World Gold Council)
- Cyanide Code, MAC tailings standard and GISTM
- Limited assurance for 50 ESG metrics

KINROSS

1) All statistics shown are 2024 figures unless otherwise noted. For further details see 2024 Sustainability Report as published on www.kinross.com
2) See 2025 Management Information Circular as published on www.kinross.com

# Our approach to Sustainability(1)

***"Sustainability and mining responsibly are at the core of our Kinross culture… you need an "on-the-ground," people-focused approach, along with a clear strategy, strong governance and visible leadership at all levels of the company."***

***J. Paul Rollinson, CEO.***

## Strong Foundations

- **Kinross DNA -** Values, policies, and systems; people
- **Sustainability is embedded in our business** – we consider impact, risk, and opportunity across:
  - **Governance**
  - **Environment**
  - **Social**
  - **Integrated mine closure**
- **Two-way perspective to assess risk, impact and opportunity -** enterprise value and society / environment ("double materiality")

## Strategy

- **Partner of choice** – trust-based relationships
- **Priority focus areas** – workforce, communities, nature, climate and energy
- **Robust governance**
- **Forward-looking**

## Our responsibilities

- **Commitments** (e.g., Cyanide Code, etc.)
- **Membership obligations** (e.g., World Gold Council's Responsible Gold Mining Principles)
- **Reporting** obligations to our stakeholders

## Sustainability report

- Balanced and comprehensive reporting, adapting to evolving requirements
- Rigor - independent assurance of ~ 50 key metrics






1) For further details see 2024 Sustainability Report as published on www.kinross.com

# Consistently Earning Top-Quartile ESG Results

## Our performance depends on our people, united by our four core values, and who are the heart of our success

**Environment** – Strong track record on environmental compliance: water management, tailings stewardship, closure and reclamation

**Social** – Putting people first for workplace safety and inclusive culture, human capital development, and local community development

**Governance** – Robust corporate programs to ensure supply chain integrity, anti-corruption, tax, Board accountability and transparency & disclosure

### Kinross Performance on Key ESG Ratings

| Year | S&P Global | Sustainalytics* | MSCI | LSEG | ISS ESG | CDP Climate | CDP Water |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| **2020** | 65 | 29.1 | A | 79.4 | C | C | C |
| **2021** | 71 | 34.2 | A | 78.5 | C | C | C |
| **2022** | 74 | 24.9 | A | 80.9 | C+ | C | C |
| **2023** | 70 | 26.3 | A | 83.6 | C+ | C | C |
| **2024** | 67 | 25.9 | A | 81.0 | C+ | C | B- |
| **2025** | 72 | 25.9 | A | 80.0 | C+ | C | B- |

* Low score represents positive assessment of ESG and risk management

# Reducing our Carbon Footprint

## We are making green investments and constantly seeking efficiencies to help reduce our emissions profile

| | |
|---|---|
| LOW CARBON FOOTPRINT | • Committed to 30% GHG intensity reduction in Scope 1 and Scope 2 emissions by 2030(1) |
| **67%** renewable | • Of energy that is sourced from the grid and self-generation(2) |
| **45,000** $tCO_2e$ reduction in emissions | • Implementation of 19 energy efficiency projects across the Company in 2024, leading to ~$13M savings |
| **2** Hydro-electric power plants in Brazil; **1** Solar Power Plant in Mauritania | • Activated our new solar plant at Tasiast, providing 20% of annual power needs at the site |
| **100%** renewable | • Secured renewable power for La Coipa operations. |

- **More than 80% of our Scope 1 and Scope 2 emissions come from the fleets we deploy in our operations and the power grids we rely on:**
  - We are committed to our GHG reduction targets and will work with our host governments, equipment manufacturers, and power utilities to help achieve these goals
- **Our estimated Scope 3** emissions are similar in scale to our combined Scope 1 and 2 emissions. We are engaging with suppliers to seek quantity-based emission factors and opportunities for greenhouse gas reduction.
- We have been reporting on our climate performance to CDP since 2005 and in line with the Task Force for Climate Related Financial Disclosures since 2021.
- Our **2024 Sustainability Report** begins our alignment with the European Union's Corporate Sustainability Reporting Directive (CSRD) and underscores our longstanding commitment to the principles of completeness, balance and transparency in our reporting.

KINROSS

1) Kinross is using 2021 as the baseline year as part of its Climate Change Strategy objectives.
2) Data are from the Kinross 2024 Sustainability report as published on www.kinross.com



# Appendix

# Board of Directors and Executive Team

## Independent Directors



**Kelly J. Osborne**

Independent Chairman



**George Albino**

Director



**Kerry D. Dyte**

Director

Chair Corporate Governance & Nominating Committee



**Glenn A. Ives**

Director

Chair Audit & Risk Committee



**Ave G. Lethbridge**

Director



**Michael A. Lewis**

Director



**Elizabeth D. McGregor**

Director



**George Paspalas**

Director

Chair Human Resources & Compensation



**David A. Scott**

Director

Chair Corporate Responsibility & Technical Committee

## Senior Leadership Team



**J. Paul Rollinson***

CEO



**Geoffrey P. Gold**

President



**Andrea S. Freeborough**

EVP, CFO



**Ryan Latinovich**

EVP, Corporate Development



**Claude Schimper**

EVP, COO



**William D. Dunford**

SVP, Technical Services



**Kathleen Grandy**

SVP, Human Resources



**Ben Little**

SVP, External Affairs



**David Shaver**

SVP, Investor Relations and Communications



* J. Paul Rollinson is also a member of the Board of Directors


# Senior Leadership Team Incentives(1)

## Total Direct Compensation


**Executive Compensation has four key objectives:**

- **Align executive interests** with Kinross' long-term strategy and those of shareholders
- **Reinforce** Kinross' operating performance and execution of strategic objectives
- **Enable** Kinross to attract and retain high performing executives
- **Align** pay and performance in a way that is transparent and understood by all stakeholders
- Our **"Say on pay" results reached over 93%** support in 2024 and 2025, and an average of 90% over the last ten years

**At Risk Pay: ~80%** (1) of CEO and aggregate NEO Total Direct Compensation is "at risk" and tied to company performance




## Short-Term Incentive Plan – One Year




| Key Strategic Area | Metric | % Weight[2] |
|---|---|---|
| **Safety & Sustainability** | Corporate Responsibility Performance | 20% |
| | Sustainability Initiatives | 5% |
| **Operational & Financial Performance** | Delivering against guidance | 15% |
| | Total cost | 10% |
| **Balance Sheet** | Attributable free cash flow per share | 10% |
| **Shareholder Returns** | Relative total shareholder returns (TSR) | 10% |
| **Building for the Future** | Deliver targeted strategic accomplishments | 30% |

## Long-Term Incentive Plan – Three Year

- **At least 50%** of Total Direct Compensation is Equity with:
  - **55%** RPSUs and **45%** RSUs
- Large equity component, heavy weighting on RPSUs, and inclusion of TSR in the vesting conditions aligns with the four key objectives to create long-term value for the shareholders

| Key Strategic Area | Metric (3-year period) | % Weight[3] |
|---|---|---|
| **Shareholder Returns** | **Relative total shareholder returns** | 50% |
| **Operational & Financial Performance** | **Production** | 25% |
| | **All-in sustaining cost** | 25% |

## Minimum Share Ownership

CEO Equity Ownership is approximately **27x his salary**(4)




1) For in-depth details refer to the "Executive Compensation" section of the 2025 Management Information Circular as published on www.kinross.com
2) Each measure is evaluated between 0-200% to determine the final "Company Performance Multiplier" for the 75% weighting of the Senior Leadership Team's Short-Term Incentive
3) Each measure is evaluated between 0-200% to determine the "RPSU vesting multiplier", which determines the number of RPSU's that will vest
4) The CEO's ownership reflects the value on December 31, 2024

# Endnotes

1) Kinross' outlook, which is reported on an attributable basis including Kinross' share of Manh Choh (70%), represents forward-looking information and users are cautioned that actual results may vary. Please refer to the Cautionary Statement on Forward-Looking Information on pages 2 and 3.

2) Production cost of sales, cost of sales, or cash cost per equivalent ounce sold is calculated as production cost of sales, as reported on the Company's consolidated statements of operations for the six month period ended June 30, 2025 and years ended December 31, 2023 and 2024 divided by total gold equivalent ounces sold, as applicable.

3) Attributable production cost of sales per equivalent ounce sold, attributable all-in sustaining cost per equivalent ounce sold, adjusted net earnings per share, attributable free cash flow and attributable capital expenditures are non-GAAP financial measures and ratios, as applicable, with no standardized meaning under IFRS and therefore, may not be comparable to similar measures presented by other issuers. Attributable production cost of sales per equivalent ounce sold is calculated as attributable production cost of sales divided by attributable gold equivalent ounces sold. Attributable production cost of sales or attributable cost of sales is a non-GAAP financial measure and attributable gold equivalent ounces sold includes Kinross' share of Manh Choh (70%) sales. Attributable all-in sustaining cost per equivalent ounce sold is calculated as attributable all-in sustaining cost divided by attributable gold equivalent ounces sold. Attributable all-in sustaining cost is a non-GAAP financial measure. Adjusted net earnings per share is calculated as adjusted net earnings divided by basic weighted average number of common shares outstanding. Adjusted net earnings is a non-GAAP financial measure. Attributable free cash flow and attributable capital expenditures include Kinross' share of Manh Choh (70%) free cash flow and capital expenditures, respectively. For definitions, purpose and reconciliations of these non-GAAP financial measures and ratios, please refer to Section 11 - *Supplemental Information* of Kinross' MD&A for the periods ended June 30, 2025, March 31, 2025, December 31, 2024 and December 31, 2023, which sections are incorporated by reference herein and as filed on the Company's website at www.kinross.com, on SEDAR+ at www.sedarplus.ca and on EDGAR at www.sec.gov.

4) "Capital expenditures" for the six months ended June 30, 2025 is as reported on the Company's consolidated statements of cash flows for the six months ended June 30, 2025 as "Additions to property, plant and equipment".

5) "Net Debt", is a non-GAAP financial measures and ratios, as applicable, with no standardized meaning under IFRS and therefore, may not be comparable to similar measures presented by other issuers. "Net Debt" is calculated as long-term debt (current and long-term portion) less cash and cash equivalents, as reported on the Company's consolidated balance sheet as at June 30, 2025, March 31, 2025, September 30, 2024, June 30, 2024 and March 31, 2024."

6) Free cash flow and all-in sustaining cost are non-GAAP financial measures and ratios that have the same definition and purpose as attributable free cash flow and attributable all-in sustaining cost, respectively, as Great Bear, Round Mountain and Bald Mountain are 100% owned by Kinross. Refer to endnote #3.

7) AISC margin %, defined as AISC margin divided by attributable average realized gold price, is a non-GAAP financial ratio. AISC margin, defined as attributable average realized gold price per ounce less attributable all-in sustaining cost per equivalent ounce sold, is a non-GAAP financial measure. Non-GAAP financial ratios and measures have no standardized meaning under IFRS and therefore, may not be comparable to similar ratios or measures presented by other issuers. Attributable average realized gold price per ounce and attributable all-in sustaining cost per equivalent ounce sold are non-GAAP financial ratios and are equivalent to average realized gold price per ounce and all-in sustaining cost per equivalent ounce sold for the periods ending March 31, 2024 and June 30, 2024. For definitions, purpose and reconciliation of these ratios, please refer to the Company's MD&A for the periods ended September 30, 2024, December 31, 2024, March 31, 2025 and June 30, 2025.

# 2025 Guidance Summary(1) – Appendix A

## Attributable(2) Production Guidance

| Annual attributable(2) gold equivalent production guidance (+/- 5%) | |
|---|---|
| 2025 | 2.0 million oz. |
| 2026 | 2.0 million oz. |
| 2027 | 2.0 million oz. |

## Attributable(2) Production and Cost Guidance

| Gold equivalent basis | 2025 Guidance(1) (+/- 5%) | 2024 Actual |
|---|---|---|
| Production (Au eq. oz.) | 2.0 million | 2.13 million |
| Production cost of sales per Au eq. oz. sold(5) | - | $1,020 |
| Attributable production cost of sales per Au eq. oz. sold(3) | $1,120 | $1,021 |
| Attributable all-in sustaining cost per Au eq. oz. sold(3) | $1,500 | $1,388 |

## 2025 Attributable(2) Production and Cost Guidance by Country

| Country | 2025 attributable production guidance (Au eq. oz.)(1) (+/-5%) | Percentage of total forecast production (4) | 2025 attributable production cost of sales guidance (per Au eq. oz. sold)(1)(3) (+/-5%) | 2024 production cost of sales (per Au eq. oz. sold) (5) | 2024 attributable production cost of sales (per Au eq. oz. sold) (3) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Mauritania | 500,000 | 25% | $860 | $681 | $681 |
| Brazil | 585,000 | 29% | $1,025 | $1,039 | $1,039 |
| Chile | 230,000 | 12% | $1,060 | $959 | $959 |
| United States | 685,000 | 34% | $1,420 | $1,295 | $1,313 |
| **TOTAL** | **2.0 million** | **100%** | **$1,120** | **$1,020** | **$1,021** |

1) Refer to endnote #1
2) Attributable guidance includes Kinross' share (70%) of Manh Choh production and costs
3) Attributable production cost of sales per equivalent ounce sold and attributable all-in sustaining cost per equivalent ounce sold are non-GAAP ratios with no standardized meaning under IFRS and therefore, may not be comparable to similar measures presented by other issuers. Refer to endnote #3.
4) The percentages are calculated based on the mid-point of country 2025 forecast production
5) Refer to endnote #2.

# 2025 Guidance Summary[1] – Appendix A

## Attributable Capital Expenditures[2][3] Guidance Breakdown

| Country | Forecast 2025 Sustaining Capital [1][2][3] *(+/-5%) (attributable) (million)* | Forecast 2025 Non-Sustaining Capital [1][2][3] *(+/-5%) (attributable million)* | Total 2025 Forecast Capital [1][3] *(+/-5%) (attributable million)* | 2024 Sustaining Capital [2][3] *(million)* | 2024 Non-Sustaining Capital [2][3] *(million)* | 2024 Total Capital [2][3] *(consolidated million)* | 2024 Total Capital [2][3] *(attributable million)* |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Mauritania | $105 | $255 | **$360** | $64 | $280 | **$344** | $344 |
| Brazil | $195 | $0 | **$195** | $141 | $0 | **$141** | $141 |
| Chile | $50 | $10 | **$60** | $66 | $15 | **$81** | $81 |
| United States | $185 | $200 | **$385** | $257 | $211 | **$468** | $443 |
| Canada & Other | $0 | $150 | **$150** | $(1) | $43 | **$42** | $42 |
| **TOTAL** | **$535** | **$615** | **$1,150** | **$527** | **$549** | **$1,076** | **$1,051** |

| **2025 sustaining capital[2][3] includes the following forecast spending estimates** | |
|---|---|
| Mine development: | $55 million (United States), $10 million (Chile), $20 million (Mauritania) |
| Mobile equipment: | $65 million (United States), $90 million (Brazil), $5 million (Chile), $35 million (Mauritania |
| Mill facilities: | $5 million (United States), $25 million (Brazil), $20 million (Chile), $10 million (Mauritania) |
| Leach facilities: | $25 million (United States), $5 million (Chile) |
| Tailings facilities: | $5 million (United States), $75 million (Brazil), $5 million (Chile), $10 million (Mauritania) |

| **2025 non-sustaining capital[2][3] includes the following forecast spending estimates** | |
|---|---|
| Tasiast West Branch Stripping: | $255 million |
| Great Bear AEX construction, detailed engineering and other: | $150 million |
| Bald Mountain Redbird 1 | $75 million |
| Round Mountain Phase S | $75 million |

1) Refer to endnote #1
2) Sustaining, non-sustaining and attributable capital expenditures are on an attributable basis and are non-GAAP financial measures with no standardized meaning under IFRS and therefore, may not be comparable to similar measures presented by other issuers. Refer to endnote #3.
3) Forecast 2025 and actual 2024 sustaining, non-sustaining and total capital expenditures are attributable and include Kinross' share (70%) of Manh Choh capital expenditures. Actual results as reported for the year ended December 31, 2024, for sustaining, non-sustaining and total capital expenditures (refer to footnote 3) are on a total basis and include 100% of Manh Choh capital expenditures.

# 2025 Guidance Summary[1] – Appendix A

**Material assumptions used to forecast 2025 guidance, most notably relating to production cost of sales, are as follows:**

- a gold price of $2,500 per ounce;
- a silver price of $30 per ounce;
- an oil price of $80 per barrel;
- foreign exchange rates of:
  - 5.25 Brazilian reais to the U.S. dollar;
  - 900 Chilean pesos to the U.S. dollar;
  - 37.50 Mauritanian ouguiyas to the U.S. dollar; and
  - 1.35 Canadian dollars to the U.S. dollar;

**Taking into account existing currency and oil hedges:**

- a 10% change in foreign currency exchange rates would be expected to result in an approximate $25 impact on attributable production cost of sales per equivalent ounce sold[2][3];
- specific to the Brazilian real, a 10% change in this exchange rate would be expected to result in an approximate $45 impact on Brazilian attributable production cost of sales per equivalent ounce sold[3];
- specific to the Chilean peso, a 10% change in this exchange rate would be expected to result in an approximate $50 impact on Chilean attributable production cost of sales per equivalent ounce sold[3];
- a $10 per barrel change in the price of oil would be expected to result in an approximate $3 impact on fuel consumption costs on attributable production cost of sales per equivalent ounce sold[3]; and
- a $100 change in the price of gold would be expected to result in an approximate $5 impact on attributable production cost of sales per equivalent ounce sold[3] as a result of a change in royalties.

1) Refer to endnote #1
2) Refers to all of the currencies in the countries where the Company has mining operations, fluctuating simultaneously by 10% in the same direction, either appreciating or depreciating, taking into consideration the impact of hedging and the weighting of each currency within our consolidated cost structure.
3) Refer to endnote #3

# MINERAL RESERVE AND MINERAL RESOURCE STATEMENT – Appendix B

**MINERAL RESERVE AND MINERAL RESOURCE STATEMENT** **GOLD**
**PROVEN AND PROBABLE MINERAL RESERVES** (1,3,4,5,6,7)
**Kinross Gold Corporation's Share at December 31, 2024**

| | Location | Kinross Interest | Proven | | | Probable | | | Proven and Probable | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | | Tonnes | Grade | Ounces | Tonnes | Grade | Ounces | Tonnes | Grade | Ounces |
| | | (%) | (kt) | (g/t) | (koz) | (kt) | (g/t) | (koz) | (kt) | (g/t) | (koz) |
| **NORTH AMERICA** | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Bald Mountain | USA | 100% | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 55,772 | 0.7 | 1,173 | 55,772 | 0.7 | 1,173 |
| Fort Knox | USA | 100% | 364 | 1.2 | 14 | 102,893 | 0.4 | 1,262 | 103,257 | 0.4 | 1,276 |
| Manh Choh | USA | 70% | 307 | 6.4 | 63 | 2,011 | 7.7 | 495 | 2,318 | 7.5 | 558 |
| Round Mountain [8] | USA | 100% | 7,710 | 0.4 | 103 | 67,392 | 0.8 | 1,780 | 75,102 | 0.8 | 1,883 |
| **SUBTOTAL** | | | **8,381** | **0.7** | **180** | **228,068** | **0.6** | **4,711** | **236,449** | **0.6** | **4,890** |
| **SOUTH AMERICA** | | | | | | | | | | | |
| La Coipa [9] | Chile | 100% | 648 | 1.8 | 38 | 9,878 | 1.9 | 605 | 10,526 | 1.9 | 642 |
| Lobo Marte [2] | Chile | 100% | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 160,702 | 1.3 | 6,733 | 160,702 | 1.3 | 6,733 |
| Paracatu | Brazil | 100% | 264,323 | 0.5 | 3,885 | 112,946 | 0.3 | 1,002 | 377,268 | 0.4 | 4,887 |
| **SUBTOTAL** | | | **264,970** | **0.5** | **3,923** | **283,526** | **0.9** | **8,340** | **548,497** | **0.7** | **12,262** |
| **AFRICA** | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Tasiast | Mauritania | 100% | 57,361 | 1.1 | 2,000 | 45,471 | 1.9 | 2,705 | 102,831 | 1.4 | 4,705 |
| **SUBTOTAL** | | | **57,361** | **1.1** | **2,000** | **45,471** | **1.9** | **2,705** | **102,831** | **1.4** | **4,705** |
| **TOTAL GOLD** | | | **330,712** | **0.6** | **6,103** | **557,065** | **0.9** | **15,755** | **887,777** | **0.8** | **21,857** |

**MINERAL RESERVE AND MINERAL RESOURCE STATEMENT** **SILVER**
**PROVEN AND PROBABLE MINERAL RESERVES** (1,3,4,5,6,7)
**Kinross Gold Corporation's Share at December 31, 2024**

| | Location | Kinross Interest | Proven | | | Probable | | | Proven and Probable | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | | Tonnes | Grade | Ounces | Tonnes | Grade | Ounces | Tonnes | Grade | Ounces |
| | | (%) | (kt) | (g/t) | (koz) | (kt) | (g/t) | (koz) | (kt) | (g/t) | (koz) |
| **NORTH AMERICA** | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Manh Choh | USA | 70% | 307 | 9.9 | 98 | 2,011 | 14.2 | 916 | 2,318 | 13.6 | 1,014 |
| **SUBTOTAL** | | | **307** | **9.9** | **98** | **2,011** | **14.2** | **916** | **2,318** | **13.6** | **1,014** |
| **SOUTH AMERICA** | | | | | | | | | | | |
| La Coipa [9] | Chile | 100% | 648 | 60.3 | 1,254 | 9,878 | 46.1 | 14,635 | 10,526 | 47.0 | 15,890 |
| **SUBTOTAL** | | | **648** | **60.3** | **1,254** | **9,878** | **46.1** | **14,635** | **10,526** | **47.0** | **15,890** |
| **TOTAL SILVER** | | | **955** | **44.1** | **1,352** | **11,889** | **40.7** | **15,551** | **12,844** | **40.9** | **16,903** |

# MINERAL RESERVE AND MINERAL RESOURCE STATEMENT – Appendix B

**MINERAL RESERVE AND MINERAL RESOURCE STATEMENT** **GOLD**
**MEASURED AND INDICATED MINERAL RESOURCES** (3,4,5,6,7,10,11,13)
**Kinross Gold Corporation's Share at December 31, 2024**

| | Location | Kinross Interest | Measured | | | Indicated | | | Measured and Indicated | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | | Tonnes | Grade | Ounces | Tonnes | Grade | Ounces | Tonnes | Grade | Ounces |
| | | (%) | (kt) | (g/t) | (koz) | (kt) | (g/t) | (koz) | (kt) | (g/t) | (koz) |
| **NORTH AMERICA** | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Bald Mountain | USA | 100% | 7,131 | 0.9 | 205 | 172,130 | 0.4 | 2,478 | 179,261 | 0.5 | 2,683 |
| Fort Knox | USA | 100% | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 67,938 | 0.4 | 810 | 67,938 | 0.4 | 810 |
| Great Bear Resources [14] | CAN | 100% | 1,556 | 3.0 | 152 | 28,711 | 2.8 | 2,586 | 30,267 | 2.8 | 2,738 |
| Curlew Basin | USA | 100% | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 1,993 | 6.4 | 409 | 1,993 | 6.4 | 409 |
| Manh Choh | USA | 70% | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 257 | 2.7 | 23 | 257 | 2.7 | 23 |
| Round Mountain [8] | USA | 100% | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 154,965 | 0.7 | 3,625 | 154,965 | 0.7 | 3,625 |
| **SUBTOTAL** | | | **8,687** | **1.3** | **357** | **425,994** | **0.7** | **9,931** | **434,681** | **0.7** | **10,288** |
| **SOUTH AMERICA** | | | | | | | | | | | |
| La Coipa [9] | Chile | 100% | 4,017 | 2.1 | 275 | 23,171 | 1.5 | 1,091 | 27,188 | 1.6 | 1,366 |
| Lobo Marte [12] | Chile | 100% | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 99,440 | 0.7 | 2,366 | 99,440 | 0.7 | 2,366 |
| Maricunga | Chile | 100% | 66,840 | 0.7 | 1,540 | 227,723 | 0.7 | 4,777 | 294,563 | 0.7 | 6,317 |
| Paracatu | Brazil | 100% | 98,886 | 0.5 | 1,537 | 191,455 | 0.3 | 1,628 | 290,341 | 0.3 | 3,165 |
| **SUBTOTAL** | | | **169,743** | **0.6** | **3,353** | **541,790** | **0.6** | **9,862** | **711,532** | **0.6** | **13,214** |
| **AFRICA** | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Tasiast | Mauritania | 100% | 21,295 | 0.7 | 478 | 53,255 | 1.1 | 1,887 | 74,550 | 1.0 | 2,365 |
| **SUBTOTAL** | | | **21,295** | **0.7** | **478** | **53,255** | **1.1** | **1,887** | **74,550** | **1.0** | **2,365** |
| **TOTAL GOLD** | | | **199,725** | **0.7** | **4,187** | **1,021,039** | **0.7** | **21,679** | **1,220,764** | **0.7** | **25,867** |

**MINERAL RESERVE AND MINERAL RESOURCE STATEMENT** **SILVER**
**MEASURED AND INDICATED MINERAL RESOURCES** (3,4,5,6,7,10,11,13)
**Kinross Gold Corporation's Share at December 31, 2024**

| | Location | Kinross Interest | Measured | | | Indicated | | | Measured and Indicated | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | | Tonnes | Grade | Ounces | Tonnes | Grade | Ounces | Tonnes | Grade | Ounces |
| | | (%) | (kt) | (g/t) | (koz) | (kt) | (g/t) | (koz) | (kt) | (g/t) | (koz) |
| **NORTH AMERICA** | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Manh Choh | USA | 70% | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 257 | 10.4 | 86 | 257 | 10.4 | 86 |
| Round Mountain [8] | USA | 100% | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 4,085 | 8.4 | 1,106 | 4,085 | 8.4 | 1,106 |
| **SUBTOTAL** | | | **0** | **0.0** | **0** | **4,341** | **8.5** | **1,192** | **4,341** | **8.5** | **1,192** |
| **SOUTH AMERICA** | | | | | | | | | | | |
| La Coipa [9] | Chile | 100% | 4,017 | 36.6 | 4,727 | 23,171 | 41.2 | 30,699 | 27,188 | 40.5 | 35,426 |
| **SUBTOTAL** | | | **4,017** | **36.6** | **4,727** | **23,171** | **41.2** | **30,699** | **27,188** | **40.5** | **35,426** |
| **TOTAL SILVER** | | | **4,017** | **36.6** | **4,727** | **27,512** | **36.1** | **31,891** | **31,529** | **36.1** | **36,618** |

# MINERAL RESERVE AND MINERAL RESOURCE STATEMENT – Appendix B

| MINERAL RESERVE AND MINERAL RESOURCE STATEMENT<br>INFERRED MINERAL RESOURCES (3,4,5,6,7,10,11,13)<br>Kinross Gold Corporation's Share at December 31, 2024 | | | | | GOLD |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Location | Kinross Interest (%) | Inferred Tonnes (kt) | Grade (g/t) | Ounces (koz) |
| **NORTH AMERICA** | | | | | |
| Bald Mountain | USA | 100% | 51,303 | 0.3 | 571 |
| Fort Knox | USA | 100% | 15,203 | 0.4 | 187 |
| Great Bear Resources [14] | CAN | 100% | 25,480 | 4.7 | 3,884 |
| Curlew Basin | USA | 100% | 4,151 | 6.3 | 838 |
| Manh Choh | USA | 70% | 0 | 3.2 | 0 |
| Round Mountain [8] | USA | 100% | 112,844 | 0.5 | 1,669 |
| **SUBTOTAL** | | | **208,981** | **1.1** | **7,149** |
| **SOUTH AMERICA** | | | | | |
| La Coipa [9] | Chile | 100% | 2,190 | 1.3 | 92 |
| Lobo Marte [12] | Chile | 100% | 18,474 | 0.7 | 445 |
| Maricunga | Chile | 100% | 212,306 | 0.6 | 3,854 |
| Paracatu | Brazil | 100% | 2,275 | 0.3 | 21 |
| SUBTOTAL | | | **235,244** | **0.6** | **4,412** |
| **AFRICA** | | | | | |
| Tasiast | Mauritania | 100% | 21,047 | 2.4 | 1,632 |
| **SUBTOTAL** | | | **21,047** | **2.4** | **1,632** |
| | | | | | |
| **TOTAL GOLD** | | | **465,272** | **0.9** | **13,193** |

| MINERAL RESERVE AND MINERAL RESOURCE STATEMENT<br>INFERRED MINERAL RESOURCES (3,4,5,6,7,10,11,13)<br>Kinross Gold Corporation's Share at December 31, 2024 | | | | | SILVER |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Location | Kinross Interest (%) | Inferred Tonnes (kt) | Grade (g/t) | Ounces (koz) |
| **NORTH AMERICA** | | | | | |
| Manh Choh | USA | 70% | 0 | 45.8 | 1 |
| Round Mountain [8] | USA | 100% | 330 | 1.1 | 12 |
| **SUBTOTAL** | | | **330** | **1.2** | **12** |
| **SOUTH AMERICA** | | | | | |
| La Coipa [9] | Chile | 100% | 2,190 | 53.8 | 3,790 |
| **SUBTOTAL** | | | **2,190** | **53.8** | **3,790** |
| | | | | | |
| **TOTAL SILVER** | | | **2,519** | **46.9** | **3,803** |

# MINERAL RESERVE AND MINERAL RESOURCE STATEMENT NOTES – Appendix B

1) Unless otherwise noted, the Company's mineral reserves are estimated using appropriate cut-off grades based on an assumed gold price of $1,600 per ounce and a silver price of $20.00 per ounce. Mineral reserves are estimated using appropriate process recoveries, operating costs and mine plans that are unique to each property and include estimated allowances for dilution and mining recovery. Mineral reserve estimates are reported in contained units based on Kinross' interest and are estimated based on the following foreign exchange rates:
   - Canadian Dollar to $US 1.35
   - Chilean Peso to $US 900.00
   - Brazilian Real to $US 5.25
   - Mauritanian Ouguiya to $US 37.50
2) The mineral reserve estimates for Lobo Marte assume a $1,200 per ounce gold price and foreign exchange rate assumption of Chilean Peso to $US 800.00 are based on the 2021 Feasibility Study.
3) The Company's mineral reserve and mineral resource estimates as at December 31, 2024 are classified in accordance with the Canadian Institute of Mining, Metallurgy and Petroleum ("CIM") "CIM Definition Standards For Mineral Resources and Mineral Reserves" adopted by the CIM Council (as amended, the "CIM Definition Standards") in accordance with the requirements of National Instrument 43 101 "Standards of Disclosure for Mineral Projects" ("NI 43 101"). Mineral reserve and mineral resource estimates reflect the Company's reasonable expectation that all necessary permits and approvals will be obtained and maintained.
4) Cautionary note to U.S. investors concerning estimates of mineral reserves and mineral resources. These estimates have been prepared in accordance with the requirements of Canadian securities laws, which differ from the requirements of United States' securities laws. The terms "mineral reserve", "proven mineral reserve", "probable mineral reserve", "mineral resource", "measured mineral resource", "indicated mineral resource" and "inferred mineral resource" are Canadian mining terms as defined in accordance with NI 43 101 and the CIM Definition Standards. These definitions differ from the definitions in subpart 1300 of Regulation S K ("Subpart 1300"). While the definitions in Subpart 1300 are similar to the definitions in NI 43 101 and the CIM Definitions Standard, the definitions in Subpart 1300 differ from the requirements of, and the definitions in, NI 43 101 and the CIM Definition Standards. U.S. investors are cautioned that while the above terms are "substantially similar" to CIM Definitions, there are differences in the definitions in Subpart 1300 and the CIM Definition Standards. Accordingly, there is no assurance any mineral reserves or mineral resources that the Company may report as "proven mineral reserves", "probable mineral reserves", "measured mineral resources", "indicated mineral resources" and "inferred mineral resources" under NI 43 101 would be the same had the Company prepared the mineral reserve or mineral resource estimates under the standards set forth in Subpart 1300. U.S. investors are also cautioned that while the United States Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC") recognizes "measured mineral resources", "indicated mineral resources" and "inferred mineral resources" under Subpart 1300, investors should not assume that any part or all of the mineralization in these categories will ever be converted into a higher category of mineral resources or into mineral reserves. Mineralization described using these terms has a greater amount of uncertainty as to its existence and feasibility than mineralization that has been characterized as reserves. Accordingly, investors are cautioned not to assume that any measured mineral resources, indicated mineral resources, or inferred mineral resources that the Company reports are or will be economically or legally mineable. Further, "inferred mineral resources" have a greater amount of uncertainty as to their existence and as to whether they can be mined legally or economically. Therefore, U.S. investors are also cautioned not to assume that all or any part of the "inferred mineral resources" exist. Under Canadian securities laws, estimates of "inferred mineral resources" may not form the basis of feasibility or pre-feasibility studies, except in rare cases. As a foreign private issuer that files its annual report on Form 40 F with the SEC pursuant to the multi jurisdictional disclosure system, the Company is not required to provide disclosure on its mineral properties under the Subpart 1300 provisions and will continue to provide disclosure under NI 43 101 and the CIM Definition Standards. If the Company ceases to be a foreign private issuer or loses its eligibility to file its annual report on Form 40 F pursuant to the multi jurisdictional disclosure system, then the Company will be subject to reporting pursuant to the Subpart 1300 provisions, which differ from the requirements of NI 43 101 and the CIM Definition Standards.
   - For the above reasons, the mineral reserve and mineral resource estimates and related information herein may not be comparable to similar information made public by U.S. companies subject to the reporting and disclosure requirements under the United States federal securities laws and the rules and regulations thereunder.
5) The Company's mineral resource and mineral reserve estimates were prepared under the supervision of and verified by Mr. Nicos Pfeiffer, who is a qualified person as defined by NI 43 101.
6) The Company's normal data verification procedures have been used in collecting, compiling, interpreting and processing the data used to estimate mineral reserves and mineral resource.
7) Rounding of values to the 000s may result in apparent discrepancies.
8) Round Mountain refers to the Round Mountain project, which includes the Round Mountain deposit and the Gold Hill deposit. The Round Mountain deposit does not contain silver and all silver resources at Round Mountain are contained exclusively within the Gold Hill deposit. Disclosure of gold mineral reserves and mineral resources reflect both the Round Mountain deposit and the Gold Hill deposit. Disclosure of silver mineral reserves and mineral resources reflect only the Gold Hill deposit.
9) Includes mineral resources and mineral reserves from the Puren deposit in which the Company holds a 65% interest; as well as mineral resources from the Catalina deposit, in which the Company holds a 50% interest.
10) Mineral resources are exclusive of mineral reserves.
11) Unless otherwise noted, the Company's mineral resources are estimated using appropriate cut-off grades based on a gold price of $2,000 per ounce and a silver price of $25.00 per ounce. Foreign exchange rates for estimating mineral resources were the same as for mineral reserves.
12) The mineral resource estimates for Lobo Marte assume a $1,600 per ounce gold price and are based on the 2021 Feasibility Study.
13) Mineral resources that are not mineral reserves do not have to demonstrate economic viability. Mineral resources are subject to infill drilling, permitting, mine planning, mining dilution and recovery losses, among other things, to be converted into mineral reserves. Due to the uncertainty associated with inferred mineral resources, it cannot be assumed that all or any part of an inferred mineral resource will ever be upgraded to indicated or measured mineral resources, including as a result of continued exploration.
14) The mineral resource estimates for Great Bear assume a $1,700 per ounce gold price and foreign exchange rate assumption of 1.35 CAD per 1.00 USD and are based on the 2024 Preliminary Economic Assessment.




**www.kinross.com**

**Kinross Gold Corporation (TSX: K NYSE: KGC)**

**Investor Relations**
(+1) 416-365-2854
InvestorRelations@Kinross.com