

KINROSS GOLD CORPORATION



ANNUAL INFORMATION FORM

FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2009

Dated March 30, 2010

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
CAUTIONARY STATEMENT	3
CORPORATE STRUCTURE	4
GENERAL DEVELOPMENT OF THE BUSINESS	6
DESCRIPTION OF THE BUSINESS	8
Employees	9
Competitive Conditions	9
Environmental Protection	9
Operations	11
Gold Equivalent Production (Ounces)	11
Marketing	12
Kinross Mineral Reserves and Mineral Resources	15
Kinross Material Properties	21
Fort Knox and Area, Alaska, United States	21
Paracatu, Brazil	27
Maricunga, Chile	33
Kupol mine, Russian Federation	39
Cerro Casale, Chile	47
Fruta del Norte, Ecuador	53
Other Kinross Properties	58
Round Mountain, Nevada	58
La Coipa, Chile	59
Kettle River - Buckhorn, Washington State	60
Lobo Marte, Chile	60
RISK FACTORS	62
DIVIDEND POLICY	73
LEGAL PROCEEDINGS	73
DESCRIPTION OF CAPITAL STRUCTURE	75
MARKET PRICE FOR KINROSS SECURITIES	76
DIRECTORS AND OFFICERS	77
CEASE TRADE ORDERS, BANKRUPTCIES, PENALTIES OR SANCTIONS	82
CONFLICT OF INTEREST	82
INTEREST OF MANAGEMENT AND OTHERS IN MATERIAL TRANSACTIONS	83
TRANSFER AGENT AND REGISTRAR	83
MATERIAL CONTRACTS	83
INTERESTS OF EXPERTS	84
AUDIT COMMITTEE	84
ADDITIONAL INFORMATION	85
GLOSSARY OF TECHNICAL TERMS	86
SCHEDULE "A" - CHARTER OF THE AUDIT COMMITTEE	A-1

IMPORTANT NOTICE ABOUT INFORMATION IN THIS ANNUAL INFORMATION FORM

Unless specifically stated otherwise in this Annual Information Form:

- all dollar amounts are in United States dollars;
 - information is presented as at December 31, 2009; and
 - references to “Kinross”, the “Company”, “its”, “our” and “we”, or related terms, refer to Kinross Gold Corporation and its subsidiaries.
-

CAUTIONARY STATEMENT

All statements, other than statements of historical fact, contained or incorporated by reference in this Annual Information Form including, but not limited to, any information as to the future financial or operating performance of Kinross, constitute “forward-looking information” or “forward-looking statements” within the meaning of certain securities laws, including the provisions of the Securities Act (Ontario) and the provisions for “safe harbour” under the United States Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995 and are based on expectations, estimates and projections as of the date of this Annual Information Form. Forward-looking statements include, without limitation, possible events, statements with respect to possible events, the future price of gold and silver, the estimation of mineral reserves and resources, the realization of mineral reserve and resource estimates, the timing and amount of estimated future production, costs of production, expected capital expenditures, costs and timing of the development of new deposits, success of exploration, development and mining activities, permitting time lines, currency fluctuations, requirements for additional capital, government regulation of mining operations, environmental risks, unanticipated reclamation expenses, title disputes or claims and limitations on insurance coverage. The words “plans”, “expects” or “does not expect”, “is expected”, “budget”, “scheduled”, “estimates”, “targets”, “forecasts”, “intends”, “anticipates”, or “does not anticipate”, or “believes”, or variations of such words and phrases or statements that certain actions, events or results “may”, “could”, “would”, “should”, “might”, or “will be taken”, “occur” or “be achieved” and similar expressions identify forward-looking statements. Forward-looking statements are necessarily based upon a number of estimates and assumptions that, while considered reasonable by Kinross as of the date of such statements, are inherently subject to significant business, economic and competitive uncertainties and contingencies. The estimates and assumptions of Kinross contained or incorporated by reference in this Annual Information Form, which may prove to be incorrect, include, but are not limited to, the various assumptions set forth herein and in our most recently filed Management’s Discussion and Analysis, or as otherwise expressly incorporated herein by reference as well as: (1) there being no significant disruptions affecting operations, whether due to labour disruptions, supply disruptions, power disruptions, damage to equipment or otherwise; (2) permitting, development, operations, expansion and acquisitions at Paracatu (including, without limitation, land acquisitions for and permitting and construction of the new tailings facility) being consistent with our current expectations; (3) development of the Phase 7 pit expansion and the heap leach project at Fort Knox continuing on a basis consistent with Kinross’ current expectations; (4) the viability, permitting and development of the Fruta del Norte deposit being consistent with Kinross’ current expectations; (5) political developments in any jurisdiction in which the Company operates being consistent with its current expectations including, without limitation, the implementation of Ecuador’s new mining law and related regulations and policies being consistent with Kinross’ current expectations; (6) the new feasibility study to be prepared by the joint venture for Cerro Casale, incorporating updated geological, mining, metallurgical, economic, marketing, legal, environmental, social and governmental factors, and permitting, being consistent with the Company’s current expectations; (7) the viability, permitting and development of the Lobo-Marte project, including, without limitation, the metallurgy and processing of its ore, being consistent with our current expectations; (8) the exchange rate between the Canadian dollar, Brazilian real, Chilean peso, Russian rouble and the U.S. dollar being approximately consistent with current levels; (9) certain price assumptions for gold and silver; (10) prices for natural gas, fuel oil, electricity and other key supplies remaining consistent with current levels; (11) production and cost of sales forecasts meeting expectations; (12) the accuracy of our current mineral reserve and mineral resource estimates; and (13) labour and materials costs increasing on a basis consistent with Kinross’ current expectations. Known and unknown factors could cause actual results to differ materially from those projected in the forward-looking statements. Such factors include, but are not limited to: fluctuations in the currency markets; fluctuations in the spot and forward price of gold or certain other commodities (such as diesel fuel and electricity); changes in interest rates or gold or silver lease rates that could impact the mark-to-market value of outstanding derivative instruments and ongoing payments/receipts under any interest rate swaps and variable rate debt obligations; risks arising from holding derivative instruments (such as credit risk, market liquidity risk and mark-to-market risk); changes in national and local government legislation, taxation, controls, regulations and political or economic developments in

Canada, the United States, Chile, Brazil, Russia, Ecuador, or other countries in which we do business or may carry on business in the future; business opportunities that may be presented to, or pursued by, us; our ability to successfully integrate acquisitions; operating or technical difficulties in connection with mining or development activities; employee relations; the speculative nature of gold exploration and development, including the risks of obtaining necessary licenses and permits; diminishing quantities or grades of reserves; adverse changes in our credit rating; and contests over title to properties, particularly title to undeveloped properties. In addition, there are risks and hazards associated with the business of gold exploration, development and mining, including environmental hazards, industrial accidents, unusual or unexpected formations, pressures, cave-ins, flooding and gold bullion losses (and the risk of inadequate insurance, or the inability to obtain insurance, to cover these risks). Many of these uncertainties and contingencies can affect Kinross' actual results and could cause actual results to differ materially from those expressed or implied in any forward-looking statements made by, or on behalf of, Kinross. Many of these uncertainties and contingencies can affect, and could cause, Kinross' actual results to differ materially from those expressed or implied in any forward-looking statements made by, or on behalf of, Kinross. There can be no assurance that forward-looking statements will prove to be accurate, as actual results and future events could differ materially from those anticipated in such statements. Forward-looking statements are provided for the purpose of providing information about management's expectations and plans relating to the future. All of the forward-looking statements made in this Annual Information Form are qualified by these cautionary statements and those made in our other filings with the securities regulators of Canada and the United States including, but not limited to, the cautionary statements made in the "Risk Analysis" section of our most recently filed Management's Discussion and Analysis. These factors are not intended to represent a complete list of the factors that could affect Kinross. Kinross disclaims any intention or obligation to update or revise any forward-looking statements or to explain any material difference between subsequent actual events and such forward-looking statements, except to the extent required by applicable law.

CORPORATE STRUCTURE

Kinross Gold Corporation was initially created in May 1993 by the amalgamation of CMP Resources Ltd., Plexus Resources Corporation, and 1021105 Ontario Corp. In December 2000, Kinross amalgamated with LT Acquisition Inc., in January 2005, Kinross amalgamated with its wholly-owned subsidiary, TVX Gold Inc. ("TVX") and in January 2006, it amalgamated with its wholly-owned subsidiary, Echo Bay Mines Ltd. ("Echo Bay"). Kinross is the continuing entity resulting from these amalgamations. Kinross is governed by the *Business Corporations Act* (Ontario) and its registered and principal offices are located at 25 York Street, 17th Floor, Toronto, Ontario, M5J 2V5.

Each of Kinross' mining operations is a separate business unit managed by its Vice President and General Manager, who in turn, reports to a Regional Vice President, who then reports to the Chief Operating Officer. Exploration strategies, corporate financing, tax planning, additional technical support services, hedging and acquisition strategies are managed centrally. Execution of exploration strategies is managed locally. Kinross' risk management programs are subject to overview by its Audit and Risk Committee and the Board of Directors.

A significant portion of Kinross' business is carried on through subsidiaries. A chart showing the names of the significant subsidiaries of Kinross and their respective jurisdictions of incorporation, is set out below as of December 31, 2009. All subsidiaries are 100% owned unless otherwise noted.

GENERAL DEVELOPMENT OF THE BUSINESS

Overview

Kinross is principally engaged in the mining and processing of gold and, as a by-product, silver ore and the exploration for, and the acquisition of, gold bearing properties in the Americas, the Russian Federation and worldwide. The principal products of Kinross are gold and silver produced in the form of doré that is shipped to refineries for final processing.

Kinross' strategy is to increase shareholder value through increases in precious metal reserves, net asset value, production and long-term cash flow and earnings per share. Kinross' strategy also consists of optimizing the performance, and therefore, the value, of existing operations, investing in quality exploration and development projects and acquiring new potentially accretive properties and projects.

Kinross' operations and mineral reserves are impacted by changes in metal prices. Gold traded above \$700 per ounce for most of 2008 and above \$800 for most of 2009. Kinross used a gold price of \$800 per ounce at the end of 2009 to estimate mineral reserves.

Kinross' share of proven and probable mineral reserves as at December 31, 2009, was 51.0 million ounces of gold, 102.9 million ounces of silver and 2.9 billion pounds of copper¹.

Three Year History

The acquisition of 100% of the outstanding shares of Bema Gold Corporation ("Bema") was completed on February 27, 2007 when a wholly-owned subsidiary of Kinross amalgamated with Bema to continue as EastWest Gold Corporation ("EastWest"). As the result of the acquisition, Kinross acquired: (i) the remaining 50% interest in Compania Minera Maricunga, the owner of the Maricunga mine (which was formerly known as the Refugio mine); (ii) a 75% (less one share) interest in the Chukotka Mining and Geological Company, which owns the Kupol mine in the Russian Federation; (iii) a 90% interest in the Julietta mine in the Russian Federation; and (iv) a 49% interest in Compania Minera Casale, the owner of the Cerro Casale gold-copper deposit in Chile. Kinross filed a business acquisition report dated March 22, 2007 in respect of its acquisition of Bema, a copy of which is available at www.sedar.com.

On March 6, 2007, EastWest gave notice in accordance with the terms of its 3.25% convertible notes due February 26, 2011, that it would redeem all \$70 million principal amount outstanding of those notes at their principal amount together with accrued and unpaid interest to the redemption date. By April 3, 2007, all of those notes had been converted into 6.7 million Kinross common shares.

On December 5, 2007, warrants to purchase Kinross common shares issued in connection with a 2002 equity offering expired. As a result of the exercise of a majority of the expiring warrants by warrant holders, Kinross issued 8,208,241 common shares to warrant holders in exchange for an aggregate exercise price of \$123,123,614.

On December 21, 2007, the Company closed an asset swap transaction with Goldcorp Inc. ("Goldcorp"), pursuant to which Kinross sold its approximate 31.9% interest in the Musselwhite Joint Venture and its 49.0% interest in the Porcupine Joint Venture to Goldcorp in exchange for Goldcorp's 50% interest in Compania Minera Mantos de Oro (thereby giving Kinross a 100% interest), which owns and operates the La Coipa mine in northern Chile. Kinross also received a \$204 million cash payment, after applicable adjustments, in connection with the asset swap transaction.

¹ Kinross' copper reserves are all situated at the Cerro Casale project. Pursuant to an agreement dated February 17, 2010, Kinross has agreed to sell one-half of its 50% interest in the Cerro Casale project to Barrick.

On January 25, 2008, Kinam Magadan Gold Corporation (“Kinam Magadan”), a subsidiary of Kinross, closed a sale to OAO Polymetal (“Polymetal”) pursuant to which Polymetal purchased all of the shares held by Kinam Magadan (representing approximately a 98.1% interest) in OAO Omolon Gold Mining Company (“Omolon”) for a purchase price of \$15 million, plus a variable royalty on future production from the Kubaka gold mine properties.

On January 29, 2008, Kinross completed a private placement of \$460 million of 1.75% unsecured senior convertible notes due March 15, 2028 with a conversion price of \$28.48, subject to adjustment (the “Notes”). Kinross sold the Notes to certain initial purchasers pursuant to a purchase agreement dated January 23, 2008. The Notes are governed by the terms of an indenture entered into between Kinross and Wells Fargo Bank, National Association, as trustee, dated January 29, 2008. Kinross received net proceeds of approximately \$449 million, which are being used to repay approximately \$50 million of outstanding indebtedness under the Company’s term loan facility, with the remainder being used to fund capital expenditures and for general corporate purposes.

On February 21, 2008, the board of directors gave final approval for expenditures of \$103.6 million and \$193 million for the construction of a heap leach processing facility and the completion of the Phase 7 expansion project, respectively, at the Fort Knox mine in Alaska. Construction of both the heap leach processing facility and the Phase 7 expansion commenced in 2007 and continued throughout 2008. The heap leach processing facility was commissioned in 2009.

On July 31, 2008, Kinross completed the sale of its 40% interest in the Hammond Reef project to Brett Resources Inc. (“Brett”). Brett earned an interest in 60% of the Hammond Reef project in March 2008 by incurring Cdn.\$5 million in expenditures on the property and issuing 1 million common shares to Kinross. In exchange for the remaining 40% interest, Brett issued 14 million common shares to Kinross and granted Kinross a 2% net smelter returns royalty on future production. In connection with the transaction, Kinross and Brett entered into a strategic alliance whereby Brett will spend up to Cdn.\$2 million over a three-year period exploring and acquiring properties of interest in British Columbia and the Yukon Territory, in which Kinross may elect to obtain a 50% interest.

On August 16, 2008, Arian Resources Limited (“Arian”), a subsidiary of Kinross, closed a sale to Yanskaya Mining and Geological Company LLC (“Yanskaya”) pursuant to which Yanskaya purchased all of the shares held by Arian (representing a 90% interest) in Closed Joint Stock Company “Omsukchansk Mining and Geological Company” (“OMGC”) for a purchase price of \$20 million (plus a working capital adjustment), plus contingent payments based on production at the Julietta mine and gold price.

The acquisition of 100% of the outstanding shares of Aurelian Resources Inc. (“Aurelian”) was completed on September 30, 2008 for aggregate consideration consisting of approximately 43.7 million common shares of Kinross and approximately 19.7 million warrants, each warrant being exercisable for one Kinross common share at an exercise price of \$32.00. As a result of the acquisition, Kinross acquired a 100% interest in the Fruta del Norte and Condor deposits in Ecuador as well as substantial exploration ground.

On December 16, 2008, Kinross completed the acquisition of a 40% interest in Minera Santa Rose SCM (“Minera”) from certain subsidiaries of Anglo American Plc (“Anglo”), and on January 8, 2009 Kinross acquired the remaining 60% interest in Minera from a subsidiary of Teck Cominco Limited (“Teck”). Minera holds a 100% interest in the Lobo-Marte gold project in Chile. The aggregate purchase price for the acquisition of Minera consisted of \$180 million in cash and approximately \$70 million in Kinross common shares, plus a royalty on future production payable to Teck.

On February 5, 2009, Kinross completed the sale of 24,035,000 common shares at a price of \$17.25 per common share. Kinross sold the common shares to certain underwriters pursuant to an underwriting agreement dated January 22, 2009. Kinross received net proceeds of approximately \$396 million, which are being used to enhance the Company’s capital position following the funding of the approximately \$180 million cash portion of the purchase price for recent acquisitions, with the balance being used for general corporate purposes.

On March 19, 2009 Kinross entered into a subscription agreement with Harry Winston Diamond Corporation (“Harry Winston”) pursuant to which, subject to certain terms and conditions, Kinross agreed to make a net investment of \$150 million in exchange for a 22.5% minority interest in the partnership that holds Harry

Winston's 40% interest in the Diavik Diamond Mine joint venture and a 19.9% equity interest in Harry Winston. The transaction was completed on March 31, 2009.

In September 2009, Kinross and Barrick Gold Corporation ("Barrick") entered into a joint venture agreement in respect of the Cerro Casale property, pursuant to which the parties restructured their shareholdings in the joint venture company so that each of Barrick and Kinross held a 50% interest.

On December 2, 2009 Companhia Nacional De Mineração ("CNM"), a subsidiary of Kinross, closed a sale to Jaguar Mining Inc. ("Jaguar") pursuant to which Jaguar purchased all of the shares held by CNM in MCT Mineração Ltda. ("MCT", which holds the Gurupi project located in Brazil) for a purchase price of \$42.5 million, which was paid through the issuance of 3,377,354 Jaguar common shares.

On January 21, 2010, Kinross entered into an agreement to acquire the Dvoinoye deposit and the Vodorazdelnaya property, both located approximately 100 kilometres north of Kinross' Kupol operation in the Chukotka region of the Russian Far East, from North Gold LLC and Regionruda LLC. The preliminary purchase price for the transaction is \$368 million, comprising \$165 million in cash and approximately 10.56 million Kinross shares, which will be issued from treasury. The transaction is expected to close in the third quarter of 2010.

On February 17, 2010, Kinross entered into an agreement with Barrick to sell one-half of its 50% interest in the Cerro Casale project in Chile to Barrick for a total value of \$475 million, comprising \$455 million in cash, plus the assumption by Barrick of a \$20 million contingent obligation.

On March 15, 2010, Kinross entered into a support agreement with Underworld Resources Inc. ("Underworld") whereby Kinross agreed to make an offer to purchase all of the outstanding common shares of Underworld, other than common shares of Underworld held directly or indirectly by Kinross, on the basis of 0.141 of a common share of Kinross, plus Cdn.\$0.01 per Underworld common share, and Underworld agreed to support the offer. On March 19, 2010, Kinross commenced the offer by mailing the offer and take-over bid circular to Underworld shareholders.

DESCRIPTION OF THE BUSINESS

Kinross is principally engaged in the exploration for, and acquisition, development and operation of, gold-bearing properties. The material properties of Kinross as of December 31, 2009 were as follows:

<u>Property</u> ⁽¹⁾	<u>Location</u>	<u>Property Ownership</u>
Fort Knox	Alaska, United States	100% ⁽²⁾
Paracatu	Minas Gerais, Brazil	100%
Maricunga	Maricunga District, Chile	100%
Kupol	Russian Federation	75% ⁽³⁾
Cerro Casale	Chile	50% ⁽⁴⁾
Fruta del Norte	Ecuador	100%

(1) The Fort Knox and Paracatu properties are subject to various royalties (See "Kinross Material Properties" – "Fort Knox and Area, Alaska, United States" and "Paracatu, Brazil").

(2) Kinross holds a 100% interest in the properties forming part of the Fort Knox mine except for the Gil property in which Kinross holds an 80% interest.

(3) Kinross holds a 75% (less one share) interest in the Kupol mine. The remaining 25% (plus one share) is held by the Government of Chukotka.

(4) The remaining 50% interest was held by Barrick as at December 31, 2009. On February 17, 2010, Kinross agreed to sell half of its 50% interest to Barrick.

In addition, as of December 31, 2009, Kinross held a 50% interest in the Crixas mine, situated in Brazil, a 100% interest in the Kettle River mine in Washington, United States, which includes the Kettle River - Buckhorn mine, a 50% interest in the Round Mountain mine in Nevada, United States, a 100% interest in the La Coipa mine in Chile, a 100% interest in the Lobo-Marté property and other mining properties in various stages of exploration, development, reclamation, and closure. The Company's principal product is gold and it also produces silver.

Employees

At December 31, 2009, Kinross and its subsidiaries employed approximately 5,500 persons. Kinross' employees in the United States, Canada and the Russian Federation are predominately non-unionized. Paracatu's collective agreement was recently renegotiated, with positive outcomes for all parties. Paracatu's collective agreement is renewed on an annual basis and expires on January 31, 2011. The employees at Fruta del Norte are represented by an employee association, and an agreement outlining several employment terms was successfully negotiated in May 2009. Maricunga has three collective agreements in place, two of which expire on May 1, 2010 (relating to hourly employees) and one of which expires on December 31, 2010 (relating to supervisory employees). A renewal collective agreement was negotiated at La Coipa in July 2009 following a 24 day strike. The new La Coipa collective agreement expires on July 31, 2012. Kinross considers its employee relations to be very positive.

Competitive Conditions

The precious metal mineral exploration and mining business is a competitive business. Kinross competes with numerous other companies and individuals in the search for and the acquisition of attractive precious metal mineral properties. The ability of Kinross to replace or increase its mineral reserves and resources in the future will depend not only on its ability to develop its present properties, but also on its ability to select and acquire suitable producing properties or prospects for precious metal development or mineral exploration.

Environmental Protection

Kinross' exploration activities and mining and processing operations are subject to the federal, state, provincial, regional and local environmental laws and regulations in the jurisdictions in which Kinross' facilities are located. For example, in the United States, Kinross is subject to a number of such laws and regulations including, without limitation: the *Clean Air Act*; the *Clean Water Act*; the *Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act*; the *Emergency Planning and Community Right to Know Act*; the *Endangered Species Act*; the *Federal Land Policy and Management Act*; the *National Environmental Policy Act*; the *Resource Conservation and Recovery Act*; and related state laws.

Kinross is subject to similar laws in other jurisdictions in which it operates. In all jurisdictions in which Kinross operates, environmental licenses, permits and other regulatory approvals are required in order to engage in exploration, mining and processing, and mine closure activities. Regulatory approval of a detailed plan of operations and a comprehensive environmental impact assessment is required prior to initiating mining or processing activities or for any substantive change to previously approved plans. In all jurisdictions in which Kinross operates, specific statutory and regulatory requirements and standards must be met throughout the life of the mining or processing operations in regard to air quality, water quality, fisheries and wildlife protection, archaeological and cultural resources, solid and hazardous waste management and disposal, the management and transportation of hazardous chemicals, toxic substances, noise, community right-to-know, land use, and reclamation. Except as may be otherwise disclosed herein, Kinross is currently in compliance in all material respects with all material applicable environmental laws and regulations. Details and quantification of the Company's reclamation and remediation obligations are set out in Note 10 to the audited Consolidated Financial Statements of the Company for the year ended December 31, 2009.

At Kinross, a strong environmental ethic and sound environmental management program have been integrated with core business functions at all levels, and at all locations throughout the organization.

The corporate programs that Kinross has implemented include:

STANDARDS – Corporate environmental management standards provide a clear bottom line for all Kinross activities in all jurisdictions in which we carry on business. Where legal requirements are unclear, Kinross'

environmental management standards provide clear direction regarding performance expectations and minimum design and operating requirements.

An example of this is Kinross' decision to adopt the standards that comprise the International Cyanide Management Code for the Manufacture, Transport and Use of cyanide in the Production of Gold (the "Cyanide Code"). Kinross is a signatory to the Cyanide Code, which is administered by the International Cyanide Management Institute (the "ICMI"). The ICMI is an independent body that was established by a multi-stakeholder group under the guidance of the United Nations Environmental Program. The ICMI established operating standards for cyanide manufacturers, transporters and mines and provides for third party certification of facilities' compliance with the Cyanide Code. All Kinross operations have either already been certified as compliant with the Cyanide Code or are preparing to be certified.

AUDITS - Comprehensive environmental compliance audits are conducted at all operations and at selected residual properties on a biennial basis. The audit program assesses compliance with applicable legal requirements, measures effectiveness of management systems, and includes procedures to ensure timely follow-up on audit findings.

METRICS - Kinross has identified operational parameters that are key indicators of environmental performance, and measures these indicators on a regular basis. The Company tracks an index of these key performance indicators and sets performance targets to encourage continuous environmental improvement.

ENGINEERING - To effectively manage environmental risk, a program is in place to assess the management and stability of tailings and heap leach facilities. It includes a detailed water balance accounting, to assure sufficient storage capacity, and a review of operational procedures. Every Kinross operation has a tailings or heap management plan in place.

RECLAMATION - Kinross recognizes its responsibility to manage the environmental change associated with its operations, and has established a specific business unit to address the Company's reclamation and closure obligations in a way that demonstrates excellence and establishes industry-wide leadership through example.

The results of these programs have been recognized by others within and outside the mining industry. Examples of significant recognition of Kinross' efforts are listed on Kinross' website at www.kinross.com.

Operations

Kinross' share of production in 2009 was derived from the mines in North America (29.1%), South America (39.9%) and the Russian Federation (31.0%). The following shows the location of Kinross' properties as of the date hereof.



Gold Equivalent Production and Sales

The following table summarizes attributable production and sales by Kinross in the last three years:

	Years ended December 31,		
	<u>2009</u>	<u>2008</u>	<u>2007</u>
Gold equivalent production – ounces	2,238,665	1,838,038	1,589,321
Gold equivalent sales - ounces	2,251,189	1,756,056	1,575,940

Included in gold equivalent production and sales is silver production and sales, as applicable, converted into gold production using a ratio of the average spot market prices of gold and silver for the three comparative years. The ratios were 66.97:1 in 2009; 58.17:1 in 2008; and 51.51:1 in 2007.

The following table sets forth the gold equivalent production (in ounces) for Kinross' interest in each of its operating assets during the last three years:

	<u>2009</u>	<u>2008</u>	<u>2007</u>
North America:			
Fort Knox	263,260	329,105	338,459
Round Mountain ⁽¹⁾	213,916	246,946	302,971
Porcupine Joint Venture ⁽²⁾	N/A	N/A	144,062
Musselwhite ⁽³⁾	N/A	N/A	71,229
Kettle River	173,555	27,036	N/A
South America:			
Paracatu	354,396	188,156	174,987
Maricunga ⁽⁴⁾	233,585	223,341	205,750
La Coipa ⁽⁵⁾	231,169	226,293	197,554
Crixas ⁽¹⁾	74,654	87,669	91,305
Other Operations:			
Julietta ⁽⁶⁾	N/A	39,585	63,004
Kubaka ⁽⁷⁾	N/A	N/A	N/A
Kupol ⁽⁸⁾	694,130	469,907	N/A

(1) Represents Kinross' 50% ownership interest.

(2) Represents Kinross' 49% ownership interest. Kinross disposed of its interest in the Porcupine Joint Venture on December 21, 2007.

(3) Represents Kinross' 31.9% ownership interest. Kinross disposed of its interest in Musselwhite on December 21, 2007.

(4) Represents Kinross' 50% ownership interest until February 27, 2007 and Kinross' 100% ownership interest thereafter.

(5) Represents Kinross' 50% ownership interest until December 21, 2007 and its 100% ownership interest thereafter.

(6) Represents Kinross' 90% ownership interest. Kinross disposed of its interest in Julietta on August 16, 2008.

(7) Represents Kinross' 98.1% ownership interest. Kinross disposed of its interest in Kubaka on January 25, 2008.

(8) Represents Kinross' 75% (less one share) ownership interest. Kupol began production in the second quarter of 2008.

Marketing

Gold is a metal that is traded on world markets, with benchmark prices generally based on the London market. Gold has two principal uses: product fabrication and bullion investment. Fabricated gold has a wide variety of end uses, including jewellery manufacture (the largest fabrication component), electronics, dentistry, industrial and decorative uses, medals, medallions, and official coins. Gold bullion is held primarily as a store of value and a safeguard against devaluation of paper assets denominated in fiat currencies. Kinross sells all of its refined gold to banks, bullion dealers, and refiners. In 2009, sales to three customers totalled \$1,187.6 million, \$300.7 million and \$250.5 million, respectively, for an aggregate of \$1,738.8 million. In 2008, sales to four customers totalled \$579.5 million, \$244.0 million, \$195.8 million and \$165.3 million, respectively, for an aggregate

of \$1,184.6 million. Due to the size of the bullion market and the above ground inventory of bullion, activities by Kinross will generally not influence gold prices. Kinross believes that the loss of any of these customers would have no material adverse impact on Kinross because of the active worldwide market for gold.

The following table sets forth for the years indicated the high and low London Bullion Market afternoon fix prices for gold:

<u>Year</u>	<u>High</u>	<u>Low</u>	<u>Average</u>
2001	\$293.25	\$255.95	\$271.04
2002	\$349.30	\$277.75	\$309.68
2003	\$416.25	\$319.90	\$363.32
2004	\$454.20	\$375.00	\$409.17
2005	\$536.50	\$411.10	\$444.45
2006	\$725.00	\$524.25	\$603.77
2007	\$841.10	\$608.40	\$695.39
2008	\$1,011.25	\$712.50	\$871.96
2009	\$1,212.50	\$810.00	\$972.35

Kinross Mineral Reserves and Mineral Resources

Definitions

The estimated mineral reserves and mineral resources for Kinross' properties have been calculated in accordance with the Canadian Institute of Mining, Metallurgy and Petroleum ("CIM") – Definitions Adopted by CIM Council on December 11, 2005 (the "CIM Standards") which were adopted by the Canadian Securities Administrators' National Instrument 43-101 *Standards of Disclosure for Mineral Projects* (the "Instrument"). The following definitions are reproduced from the CIM Standards:

A **mineral resource** is a concentration or occurrence of a natural, solid, inorganic or fossilized organic material in or on the Earth's crust in such form and quantity and of such grade or quality that it has reasonable prospects for economic extraction. The location, quantity, grade, geological characteristics and continuity of a mineral resource are known, estimated or interpreted from specific geological evidence and knowledge. Mineral resources are sub-divided, in order of increasing geologic confidence, into inferred, indicated and measured categories.

An **inferred mineral resource** means that part of a mineral resource for which quantity and grade or quality can be estimated on the basis of geological evidence and limited sampling and reasonably assumed, but not verified, geological and grade continuity. The estimate is based on limited information and sampling gathered through appropriate techniques from locations such as outcrops, trenches, pits, workings and drill holes.

An **indicated mineral resource** means that part of a mineral resource for which quantity, grade or quality, densities, shape and physical characteristics can be estimated with a level of confidence sufficient to allow the appropriate application of technical and economic parameters, to support mine planning and evaluation of the economic viability of the deposit. The estimate is based on detailed and reliable exploration and testing information gathered through appropriate techniques from locations such as outcrops, trenches, pits, workings and drill holes that are spaced closely enough for geological and grade continuity to be reasonably assumed.

A **measured mineral resource** means that part of a mineral resource for which quantity, grade or quality, densities, shape and physical characteristics are so well established that they can be estimated with confidence sufficient to allow appropriate application of technical and economic parameters, to support production planning and evaluation of the economic viability of the deposit. The estimate is based on detailed and reliable exploration, sampling and testing information gathered through appropriate techniques from locations such as outcrops, trenches, pits, workings and drill holes that are spaced closely enough to confirm both geological and grade continuity.

A **mineral reserve** means the economically mineable part of a measured or indicated mineral resource demonstrated by at least a preliminary feasibility study. This study must include adequate information on mining, processing, metallurgical, economic and other relevant factors that demonstrate, at the time of reporting, that economic extraction can be justified. A mineral reserve includes diluting materials and allowances for losses that may occur when the material is mined.

A **probable mineral reserve** means the economically mineable part of an indicated mineral resource and, in some circumstances, a measured mineral resource demonstrated by at least a preliminary feasibility study. This study must include adequate information on mining, processing, metallurgical, economic and other relevant factors that demonstrate, at the time of reporting, that economic extraction can be justified.

A **proven mineral reserve** means the economically mineable part of a measured mineral resource demonstrated by at least a preliminary feasibility study. This study must include adequate information on mining, processing, metallurgical, economic and other relevant factors that demonstrate, at the time of reporting, that economic extraction can be justified.

Mineral Reserve and Mineral Resource Estimates

The following tables set forth the estimated mineral reserves and mineral resources attributable to interests held by Kinross for each of its properties:

Proven and Probable Mineral Reserves (1,3,5,6,7)

Gold

MINERAL RESERVE AND MINERAL RESOURCE STATEMENT											GOLD
PROVEN AND PROBABLE MINERAL RESERVES ^(1,3,5,6,7)											
Kinross Gold Corporation's Share at December 31, 2009											
Property	Location	Kinross	Proven			Probable			Proven and Probable		
		Interest	Tonnes	Grade	Ounces	Tonnes	Grade	Ounces	Tonnes	Grade	Ounces
		(%)	(kt)	(g/t)	(koz)	(kt)	(g/t)	(koz)	(kt)	(g/t)	(koz)
NORTH AMERICA											
Fort Knox Area	USA	100.0%	150,776	0.39	1,879	102,169	0.55	1,813	252,945	0.45	3,692
Kettle River	USA	100.0%	13	21.60	9	1,688	13.82	750	1,701	13.88	759
Round Mountain Area	USA	50.0%	27,847	0.75	671	43,646	0.57	797	71,493	0.64	1,468
SUBTOTAL			178,636	0.45	2,559	147,503	0.71	3,360	326,139	0.56	5,919
SOUTH AMERICA											
Cerro Casale	¹⁰ Chile	50.0%	115,775	0.64	2,383	490,667	0.58	9,202	606,442	0.59	11,585
Crixas	⁹ Brazil	50.0%	2,063	3.58	237	860	3.99	110	2,923	3.70	347
La Coipa	¹¹ Chile	100.0%	19,926	1.32	844	6,642	1.23	263	26,568	1.30	1,107
Lobo Marte	Chile	100.0%	117,159	1.30	4,897	23,965	0.85	655	141,124	1.22	5,552
Maricunga Area	Chile	100.0%	141,469	0.76	3,475	139,323	0.65	2,928	280,792	0.71	6,403
Paracatu	Brazil	100.0%	837,948	0.40	10,712	482,938	0.44	6,760	1,320,886	0.41	17,472
SUBTOTAL			1,234,340	0.57	22,548	1,144,395	0.54	19,918	2,378,735	0.56	42,466
ASIA											
Kupol	Russia	75.0%	1,199	15.81	610	4,919	12.36	1,955	6,118	13.04	2,565
SUBTOTAL			1,199	15.81	610	4,919	12.36	1,955	6,118	13.04	2,565
TOTAL GOLD			1,414,175	0.57	25,717	1,296,817	0.61	25,233	2,710,992	0.58	50,950

Silver

MINERAL RESERVE AND MINERAL RESOURCE STATEMENT											SILVER
PROVEN AND PROBABLE MINERAL RESERVES ^(1,3,5,6,7)											
Kinross Gold Corporation's Share at December 31, 2009											
Property	Location	Kinross Interest	Proven			Probable			Proven and Probable		
			Tonnes	Grade	Ounces	Tonnes	Grade	Ounces	Tonnes	Grade	Ounces
		(%)	(kt)	(g/t)	(koz)	(kt)	(g/t)	(koz)	(kt)	(g/t)	(koz)
NORTH AMERICA											
Round Mountain Area	USA	50.0%	104	7.7	26	10,917	7.2	2,534	11,021	7.2	2,560
SUBTOTAL			104	7.7	26	10,917	7.2	2,534	11,021	7.2	2,560
SOUTH AMERICA											
Cerro Casale	¹⁰ Chile	50.0%	115,775	1.9	6,988	490,667	1.4	22,376	606,442	1.5	29,364
La Coipa	¹¹ Chile	100.0%	19,926	49.0	31,417	6,642	30.6	6,527	26,568	44.4	37,944
SUBTOTAL			135,701	8.8	38,405	497,309	1.8	28,903	633,010	3.3	67,308
ASIA											
Kupol	Russia	75.0%	1,199	203.5	7,845	4,919	159.1	25,165	6,118	167.8	33,010
SUBTOTAL			1,199	203.5	7,845	4,919	159.1	25,165	6,118	167.8	33,010
TOTAL SILVER			137,004	10.5	46,276	513,145	3.4	56,602	650,149	4.9	102,878

Copper

MINERAL RESERVE AND MINERAL RESOURCE STATEMENT											COPPER
PROVEN AND PROBABLE MINERAL RESERVES ^(3,5,6,7)											
Kinross Gold Corporation's Share at December 31, 2009											
Property	Location	Kinross Interest	Proven			Probable			Proven and Probable		
			Tonnes	Grade	Pounds	Tonnes	Grade	Pounds	Tonnes	Grade	Pounds
		(%)	(kt)	(%)	(Mlb)	(kt)	(%)	(Mlb)	(kt)	(%)	(Mlb)
SOUTH AMERICA											
Cerro Casale ¹⁰	Chile	50.0%	115,775	0.19	481	490,667	0.22	2,410	606,442	0.22	2,891
SUBTOTAL			115,775	0.19	481	490,667	0.22	2,410	606,442	0.22	2,891
TOTAL COPPER			115,775	0.19	481	490,667	0.22	2,410	606,442	0.22	2,891

Cautionary Note to United States Investors Concerning Estimates of Measured and Indicated Mineral Resources

This section uses the terms “Measured” and “Indicated” mineral resources. United States investors are advised that while those terms are recognized and required by Canadian regulations, the United States Securities and Exchange Commission does not recognize them. United States investors are cautioned not to assume that all or any part of mineral deposits in these categories will ever be converted into proven and probable mineral reserves or recovered.

*Measured and Indicated Mineral Resources
(excludes Proven and Probable Mineral Reserves) (2,3,4,6,7,8)*

Gold

MINERAL RESERVE AND MINERAL RESOURCE STATEMENT											GOLD
MEASURED AND INDICATED MINERAL RESOURCES (EXCLUDES PROVEN AND PROBABLE MINERAL RESERVES) (2,3,4,6,7,8)											
Kinross Gold Corporation's Share at December 31, 2009											
Property	Location	Kinross Interest	Measured			Indicated			Measured and Indicated		
			Tonnes	Grade	Ounces	Tonnes	Grade	Ounces	Tonnes	Grade	Ounces
		(%)	(kt)	(g/t)	(koz)	(kt)	(g/t)	(koz)	(kt)	(g/t)	(koz)
NORTH AMERICA											
Fort Knox Area	USA	100.0%	7,380	0.50	119	98,388	0.50	1,575	105,768	0.50	1,694
Round Mountain Area	USA	50.0%	9,580	0.98	302	30,257	0.65	636	39,837	0.73	938
SUBTOTAL			16,960	0.77	421	128,645	0.53	2,211	145,605	0.56	2,632
SOUTH AMERICA											
Cerro Casale	¹⁰ Chile	50.0%	7,347	0.33	79	101,386	0.39	1,286	108,733	0.39	1,365
Crixas	⁹ Brazil	50.0%	103	3.13	10	200	3.54	23	303	3.40	33
Fruta del Norte	Ecuador	100.0%	-	-	-	15,932	11.20	5,737	15,932	11.20	5,737
La Coipa	¹¹ Chile	100.0%	8,409	0.85	229	2,820	0.97	88	11,229	0.88	317
Lobo Marte	Chile	100.0%	10,860	0.99	345	9,231	0.82	245	20,091	0.91	590
Maricunga Area	Chile	100.0%	19,449	0.61	383	140,600	0.57	2,562	160,049	0.57	2,945
Paracatu	Brazil	100.0%	51,100	0.36	591	174,481	0.43	2,403	225,581	0.41	2,994
SUBTOTAL			97,268	0.52	1,637	444,650	0.86	12,344	541,918	0.80	13,981
ASIA											
Kupol	Russia	75.0%	-	-	-	17	15.48	9	17	15.48	9
SUBTOTAL			-	-	-	17	15.48	9	17	15.48	9
TOTAL GOLD											
			114,228	0.56	2,058	573,312	0.79	14,564	687,540	0.75	16,622

Silver

MINERAL RESERVE AND MINERAL RESOURCE STATEMENT											SILVER
MEASURED AND INDICATED MINERAL RESOURCES (EXCLUDES PROVEN AND PROBABLE MINERAL RESERVES) ^(2,3,4,6,7,8)											
Kinross Gold Corporation's Share at December 31, 2009											
Property	Location	Kinross Interest	Measured			Indicated			Measured and Indicated		
			Tonnes	Grade	Ounces	Tonnes	Grade	Ounces	Tonnes	Grade	Ounces
			(%)	(kt)	(g/t)	(koz)	(kt)	(g/t)	(koz)	(kt)	(g/t)
NORTH AMERICA											
Round Mountain Area	USA	50.0%	9	9.8	3	1,296	7.2	301	1,305	7.2	304
SUBTOTAL			9	9.8	3	1,296	7.2	301	1,305	7.2	304
SOUTH AMERICA											
Cerro Casale	¹⁰ Chile	50.0%	7,347	1.3	299	101,386	1.1	3,424	108,733	1.1	3,723
Fruta del Norte	Ecuador	100.0%	-	-	-	15,932	14.3	7,304	15,932	14.3	7,304
La Coipa	¹¹ Chile	100.0%	8,409	42.0	11,352	2,820	28.1	2,549	11,229	38.5	13,901
SUBTOTAL			15,756	23.0	11,651	120,138	3.4	13,277	135,894	5.7	24,928
ASIA											
Kupol	Russia	75.0%	-	-	-	17	269.2	149	17	269.2	149
SUBTOTAL			-	-	-	17	269.2	149	17	269.2	149
TOTAL SILVER											
			15,765	23.0	11,654	121,451	3.5	13,727	137,216	5.8	25,381

Copper

MINERAL RESERVE AND MINERAL RESOURCE STATEMENT											COPPER
MEASURED AND INDICATED MINERAL RESOURCES (EXCLUDES PROVEN AND PROBABLE MINERAL RESERVES) ^(3,4,7,8)											
Kinross Gold Corporation's Share at December 31, 2009											
Property	Location	Kinross Interest	Measured			Indicated			Measured and Indicated		
			Tonnes	Grade	Pounds	Tonnes	Grade	Pounds	Tonnes	Grade	Pounds
		(%)	(kt)	(%)	(Mlb)	(kt)	(%)	(Mlb)	(kt)	(%)	(Mlb)
SOUTH AMERICA											
Cerro Casale	¹⁰ Chile	50.0%	7,347	0.16	26	101,386	0.19	414	108,733	0.18	440
SUBTOTAL			7,347	0.16	26	101,386	0.19	414	108,733	0.18	440
TOTAL COPPER			7,347	0.16	26	101,386	0.19	414	108,733	0.18	440

Inferred Mineral Resources

Gold

MINERAL RESERVE AND MINERAL RESOURCE STATEMENT						GOLD
INFERRED MINERAL RESOURCES (2,3,4,6,7,8)						
Kinross Gold Corporation's Share at December 31, 2009						
Property	Location	Kinross Interest	Inferred			
		(%)	Tonnes (kt)	Grade (g/t)	Ounces (koz)	
NORTH AMERICA						
Fort Knox Area	USA	100.0%	12,755	0.55	226	
Kettle River	USA	100.0%	282	11.22	102	
Round Mountain Area	USA	50.0%	26,064	0.59	498	
SUBTOTAL			39,101	0.66	826	
SOUTH AMERICA						
Cerro Casale ¹⁰	Chile	50.0%	221,939	0.37	2,660	
Crixas ⁹	Brazil	50.0%	3,281	5.23	552	
Fruta del Norte	Ecuador	100.0%	24,306	7.85	6,135	
La Coipa ¹¹	Chile	100.0%	1,178	0.91	34	
Lobo Marte	Chile	100.0%	21,742	0.56	394	
Maricunga Area	Chile	100.0%	207,868	0.48	3,183	
Paracatu	Brazil	100.0%	74,195	0.47	1,129	
SUBTOTAL			554,509	0.79	14,087	
ASIA						
Kupol	Russia	75.0%	2,985	13.81	1,325	
SUBTOTAL			2,985	13.81	1,325	
TOTAL GOLD			596,595	0.85	16,238	

Silver

MINERAL RESERVE AND MINERAL RESOURCE STATEMENT						SILVER
INFERRED MINERAL RESOURCES ^(2,3,4,6,7,8)						
Kinross Gold Corporation's Share at December 31, 2009						
Property	Location	Kinross	Inferred			
		Interest	Tonnes	Grade	Ounces	
		(%)	(kt)	(g/t)	(koz)	
NORTH AMERICA						
Round Mountain Area	USA	50.0%	134	2.1	9	
SUBTOTAL			134	2.1	9	
SOUTH AMERICA						
Cerro Casale ¹⁰	Chile	50.0%	221,939	1.1	7,607	
Fruta del Norte	Ecuador	100.0%	24,306	10.1	7,908	
La Coipa ¹¹	Chile	100.0%	1,178	36.4	1,380	
SUBTOTAL			247,423	2.1	16,895	
ASIA						
Kupol	Russia	75.0%	2,985	179.4	17,223	
SUBTOTAL			2,985	179.4	17,223	
TOTAL SILVER			250,542	4.2	34,127	

Copper

MINERAL RESERVE AND MINERAL RESOURCE STATEMENT					COPPER
INFERRED MINERAL RESOURCES ^(3,4,8)					
Kinross Gold Corporation's Share at December 31, 2009					
Property	Location	Kinross Interest	Inferred		
			Tonnes	Grade	Pounds
		(%)	(kt)	(%)	(Mlb)
SOUTH AMERICA					
Cerro Casale	¹⁰ Chile	50.0%	221,939	0.19	937
SUBTOTAL			221,939	0.19	937
TOTAL COPPER			221,939	0.19	937

Stockpiles

The following table reflects proven mineral reserves attributable to Kinross' ownership interest in stockpiles at the identified properties:

MINERAL RESERVE AND MINERAL RESOURCE STATEMENT											
STOCKPILE INVENTORY (INCLUDED IN PROVEN AND PROBABLE MINERAL RESERVES)											
Kinross Gold Corporation's Share at December 31, 2009											
Property	Location	Kinross Interest	Proven			Probable			Proven and Probable		
			Tonnes	Grade	Ounces	Tonnes	Grade	Ounces	Tonnes	Grade	Ounces
		(%)	(kt)	(g/t)	(koz)	(kt)	(g/t)	(koz)	(kt)	(g/t)	(koz)
GOLD											
Crixas Stockpile	⁹ Brazil	50.0%	44	3.20	5	-	-	-	44	3.20	5
Fort Knox Stockpile	USA	100.0%	128,334	0.34	1,422	-	-	-	128,334	0.34	1,422
Kettle River Stockpile	USA	100.0%	13	21.60	9	-	-	-	13	21.60	9
Kupol Stockpile	Russia	75.0%	164	7.26	38	-	-	-	164	7.26	38
La Coipa Stockpile	¹¹ Chile	100.0%	3,684	0.74	88	-	-	-	3,684	0.74	88
Paracatu Stockpile	Brazil	100.0%	1,650	0.39	20	-	-	-	1,650	0.39	20
Round Mountain Stockpile	USA	50.0%	1,212	0.91	35	-	-	-	1,212	0.91	35
TOTAL			135,101	0.37	1,617	-	-	-	135,101	0.37	1,617
SILVER											
Kupol Stockpile	Russia	75.0%	164	90.4	477	-	-	-	164	90.4	477
La Coipa Stockpile	¹¹ Chile	100.0%	3,684	39.8	4,714	-	-	-	3,684	39.8	4,714
TOTAL			3,848	42.0	5,191	-	-	-	3,848	42.0	5,191

Notes – 2009 Kinross Mineral Reserve & Resource Statements

- Unless otherwise noted, the Company's reserves are estimated using appropriate cut-off grades based on an assumed gold price of \$US 800 per ounce, a silver price of \$US 12.50 per ounce and a copper price of \$1.75 per pound. Mineral reserves are estimated using appropriate process recoveries, operating costs and mine plans that are unique to each property and include estimated allowances for dilution and mining recovery. Mineral reserves are reported in contained units and are estimated based on the following foreign exchange rates:
 Russian Roubles to \$US 32
 Chilean Peso to \$US 550
 Brazilian Reais to \$US 2.00
- Unless otherwise noted, the Company's mineral resources are estimated using appropriate cut-off grades based on a gold price of \$US 875 per ounce, a silver price of \$US 13.75 per ounce, a copper price of \$2.25 per pound and the following foreign exchange rates:
 Russian Roubles to \$US 32
 Chilean Peso to \$US 550
 Brazilian Reais to \$US 2.00
- The Company's mineral reserves and mineral resources as at December 31, 2009 are classified in accordance with the CIM Standards and the requirements of the Instrument. Mineral reserve and mineral resource estimates reflect the Company's reasonable expectation that all necessary permits and approvals will be obtained and maintained.
- Cautionary note to US investors concerning estimates of measured, indicated and inferred mineral resources. U.S. investors are advised that terms "measured mineral resource", "indicated mineral resource" and "inferred mineral resource" are recognized and required by Canadian Securities regulations. These terms are not recognized by the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission. U.S. investors should not assume that all or any part of mineral deposits in these categories will ever be converted into mineral reserves and that as compared with measured and indicated mineral resources, inferred mineral resources have a greater amount of uncertainty as to their existence, and great uncertainty as to their economic feasibility. It should not be assumed that any part of an inferred mineral resource will ever be upgraded to a higher category.**
- The mineral reserves presented herein comply with the reserve categories of Industry Guide 7 published by the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission except for the mineral reserves at Lobo Marte, which estimates are based on a recently completely pre-feasibility study. For mineral reserves under the Instrument, a pre-feasibility study is sufficient, however for reserves under Industry Guide 7, a feasibility study is required.

- (6) The Company's mineral resource and mineral reserve estimates were completed under the supervision of Mr. R. Henderson, P. Eng., an officer of Kinross, who is a qualified person as defined by the Instrument.
- (7) The Company's normal data verification procedures have been used in collecting, compiling, interpreting and processing the data used to estimate mineral reserves and mineral resources. Independent data verification has not been performed.
- (8) Mineral resources that are not mineral reserves do not have demonstrated economic viability. Mineral resources are subject to infill drilling, permitting, mine planning, mining dilution and recovery losses, among other things, to be converted into mineral reserves. Due to the uncertainty with inferred mineral resources, it cannot be assumed that all or any part of an inferred mineral resource will ever be upgraded to indicated or measured mineral resources, including as a result of continued exploration.
- (9) The Crixas mine is operated by AngloGold Ashanti Ltd. Mineral reserves are reported based on a gold price of \$US 750 per ounce. Mineral resources are reported using a gold price of \$US 1,025 per ounce. Mineral resources and mineral reserves are reported using the following foreign exchange rate: Brazilian Reais to \$US 1.95.
- (10) Estimates for the Cerro Casale project are based on the pre-feasibility study completed in 2009 by the joint venture. Mineral reserves and mineral resources are estimated using appropriate cut-off grades based on the following commodity prices and foreign exchange rates:
Mineral reserves – Gold price of \$US 800 per ounce; Silver price of \$US 12.50 per ounce; Copper price of \$US 2.00 per pound
Mineral resources – Gold price of \$US 900 per ounce; Silver price of \$US 14.50 per ounce; Copper price of \$US 2.25 per pound
Chilean Peso to \$US 550
On February 17, 2010, Kinross agreed to sell 50% of its interest in the Cerro Casale project to Barrick. Following closing of the transaction, Kinross' mineral reserves and mineral resources will be proportionately reduced from those reported as at December 31, 2009.
- (11) Includes mineral reserves and mineral resources from the Puren deposit in which the Company holds a 65% interest.

The following table summarizes the assumptions used in calculating mineral resources and reserves, including average process recovery, cut-off grade assumptions, the foreign exchange rate into U.S. dollars, total cost per ounce, and reserve drill spacing.

Property	Average Process Recovery (%)	Average Gold Cutoff Grade(s) (gpt)	Foreign Exchange Rates (per U.S. \$)	Unit Cost (U.S. \$/tonne)	Reserve Drill Spacing	
					Proven (m)	Probable (m)
GOLD or GOLD Equivalent						
Fort Knox and Area	77% to 82%	0.20 to 0.44	-	\$2.34 to \$8.28	30.5	51.5
Round Mountain and Area	67% to 90%	0.27 to 0.69	-	\$5.02 to \$8.97	15.2	30.5
Kettle River	92.0%	3.64 to 8.34	-	\$69.23 to \$179.36	30.5	30.5
Paracatu	78.0%	0.25	2.00	\$4.61	100.0	150.0
La Coipa	70% to 85%	0.26 to 1.53	550.0	\$15.02 - \$25.49	25.0	50.0
Cerro Casale	50% to 79%	0.19 to 0.36	550.0	\$4.88 to \$8.36	35	75
Kupol	95.0%	3.0 (o/p), 6.0 (u/g)	32.0	\$100.4 to \$116.1	NA	25 to 50
Maricunga	53 to 85%	0.30 to 0.41	550.0	\$6.92 to \$8.08	30.0	60.0
Crixás	91.7 to 94.6%	1.78 to 2.87	1.95	\$37.1 to \$67.4	25.0	50.0
SILVER						
Cerro Casale	n/a	n/a	550.0	\$4.88 to \$8.36	35.0	75.0
Kupol	82.5%	n/a	32.0	\$100.4 to \$116.1	NA	25 to 50
La Coipa	42.2% to 70.0%	0.26 to 1.53	550.0	\$15.02 - \$25.49	25.0	50.0
COPPER						
Cerro Casale	9.5% to 87.5%	0.20 to 0.23%	550.0	\$4.88 to \$8.36	35	75

Reserve reconciliation is shown in the following tables:

Gold

Mining Operation/Project	Kinross Interest (%)	2008 Gold Reserves (koz)	Production Depletion (koz)	Exploration Change (koz)	Engineering Change (koz)	Exploration/Engineering Change (koz)	Reserve Growth or Depletion (koz)	2009 Gold Reserves (koz)
NORTH AMERICA								
Fort Knox	100.0%	3,807	(484)	(24)	393	369	(115)	3,692
Kettle River	100.0%	1,019	(167)	(20)	(73)	(93)	(260)	759
Round Mountain and Area	50.0%	1,621	(223)	(29)	99	70	(153)	1,468
SUBTOTAL		6,447	(874)	(73)	419	346	(528)	5,919
SOUTH AMERICA								
Cerro Casale	50.0%	10,405	-	-	1,180	1,180	1,180	11,585
Crixas	50.0%	362	(84)	-	69	69	(15)	347
La Coipa	100.0%	604	(119)	-	622	622	503	1,107
Lobo Marte	100.0%	-	-	-	5,552	5,552	5,552	5,552
Maricunga Area	100.0%	6,541	(409)	375	(103)	272	(137)	6,404
Paracatu	100.0%	18,162	(685)	-	(6)	(6)	(691)	17,472
SUBTOTAL		36,074	(1,297)	375	7,315	7,689	6,392	42,467
ASIA								
Kupol	75.0%	3,107	(542)	-	-	-	(542)	2,565
SUBTOTAL		3,107	(542)	-	-	-	(542)	2,565
TOTAL GOLD		45,628	(2,713)	302	7,734	8,035	5,322	50,951

Silver

Mining Operation/Project	Kinross Interest (%)	2008 Silver Reserves (koz)	Production Depletion (koz)	Exploration Change (koz)	Engineering Change (koz)	Exploration/Engineering Change (koz)	Reserve Growth or Depletion (koz)	2009 Silver Reserves (koz)
NORTH AMERICA								
Round Mountain and Area	50.0%	3,589	-	-	(1,029)	(1,029)	(1,029)	2,560
SUBTOTAL		3,589	-	-	(1,029)	(1,029)	(1,029)	2,560
SOUTH AMERICA								
Cerro Casale	50.0%	28,930	-	-	434	434	434	29,364
La Coipa	100.0%	34,144	(5,956)	-	9,755	9,755	3,799	37,944
SUBTOTAL		63,074	(5,956)	-	10,189	10,189	4,233	67,308
ASIA								
Kupol	75.0%	39,103	(6,093)	-	-	-	(6,093)	33,010
SUBTOTAL		39,103	(6,093)	-	-	-	(6,093)	33,010
TOTAL SILVER		105,766	(12,049)	-	9,160	9,160	(2,889)	102,878

Copper

Mining Operation/Project	Kinross Interest (%)	2008 Copper Reserves (Mlbs)	Production Depletion (Mlbs)	Exploration Change (Mlbs)	Engineering Change (Mlbs)	Exploration/Engineering Change (Mlbs)	Reserve Growth or Depletion (Mlbs)	2009 Copper Reserves (Mlbs)
SOUTH AMERICA								
Cerro Casale	50.0%	2,601	-	-	290	290	290	2,891
SUBTOTAL		2,601	-	-	290	290	290	2,891
TOTAL COPPER		2,601	-	-	290	290	290	2,891

Kinross Material Properties

The technical information in this Annual Information Form has been prepared under the supervision of, or reviewed by, Mr. Robert Henderson, a qualified person under NI 43-101 who is an officer of the Company.

Fort Knox and Area, Alaska, United States



General

Kinross is the owner of the Fort Knox mine located in Fairbanks North Star Borough, Alaska. The Fort Knox mine includes the main Fort Knox open pit mine, mill and tailings storage facility; an 80% ownership interest in the Gil property that is subject to a joint venture agreement with Teryl Resources Corp (“Teryl”); and the True North open pit mine (which is under reclamation). Kinross’ ownership interest in the Fort Knox mine was acquired in June 1998.

Detailed financial production and operational information for the Fort Knox mine is available in Kinross’ management’s discussion and analysis for the year ended December 31, 2009 (the “MD&A”).

Property Description and Location

Fort Knox Open Pit

The Fort Knox open pit mine, mill and mineral claims cover approximately 21,197 hectares located 40 kilometres northeast of the City of Fairbanks, Alaska. Kinross controls 1,339 State of Alaska mining claims covering an area of approximately 17,427 hectares; an additional 519 hectares of mineral rights comprised of an Upland Mineral Lease issued by the State of Alaska; 2,984 hectares of leased private land, one leased federal mining claim; and 22 unpatented federal lode mining claims. Mineral reserves at the Fort Knox mine are situated on land covered by the Upland Mineral Lease. The Upland Mineral Lease expires in 2014 and may be renewed for a period not to exceed 55 years.

Production from the Fort Knox mine is subject to a 3% production royalty based on net income (adjusted for certain items) and recovery of the initial capital investment and a Mining License Tax which ranges from 3% to 7% of taxable income (adjusted for certain items). Kinross royalties and production taxes are estimated to be \$1.7 million for 2009 compared to \$4.0 million for 2008 and \$3.3 million for 2007.

Except for permits required for the future expansion of the tailings impoundment, all requisite permits have been obtained for mining and continued development of the existing Fort Knox open pit mine and are in good standing. Kinross is in compliance with the Fort Knox permits in all material respects.

Gil Property

The Gil property mineral claims cover approximately 2,521 hectares located contiguous to the Fort Knox claim block. The claim block consists of 182 State of Alaska mining claims and is subject to a joint venture agreement between Kinross and Teryl. Kinross' ownership interest in the Gil claim block is 80%. Mineral production from State mining claims is subject to a Mining License Tax, following a three-year grace period after production commences. The Mining License Tax ranges from 3% to 7% of taxable income. Kinross continues to actively explore the Gil claims.

True North Open Pit

Mining at the True North open pit is complete and the property is under reclamation.

Accessibility, Climate, Local Resources, Infrastructure, and Physiography

The Fort Knox mine is situated in close proximity to the City of Fairbanks, which is a major population, service and supply centre for the interior region of Alaska. Fairbanks is the second largest city in Alaska, and has an estimated population of more than 35,000. The surrounding areas of the Fairbanks North Star Borough have a further 30,000 to 40,000 residents. Fairbanks is served by major airlines and the Alaska Railroad, and is connected to Anchorage and Canada by a series of well-maintained paved highways. Services and supplies are available in Fairbanks in ample quantities to support the local and regional needs, along with the mining and processing operations of Kinross.

The Fort Knox milling operation obtains its process makeup water from a fresh water reservoir located within the permitted property area. Power is provided to the mine by Golden Valley Electric Association's power grid serving the area over a distribution line paid for by Kinross.

Access to the Fort Knox mine from Fairbanks is by 34 kilometres of paved highway and eight kilometres of unpaved road. The True North mine is located 18 kilometres west of the Fort Knox property and is accessible by an unpaved road. The area has a subarctic climate, with long, cold winters and short summers.

The area topography consists of rounded ridges with gentle side slopes. Vegetation includes spruce, birch and willow trees and various shrubs, grasses and mosses. The elevation ranges from 150 to 1,000 metres.

Environmental Considerations

Fort Knox operates in material compliance with applicable environmental laws and regulations and with Kinross' policies on environment, health and safety. There are no known material environmental concerns at Fort Knox. Kinross estimates the net present value of future cash outflows for site restoration costs at Fort Knox and True North under CICA Handbook Section 3110 for the year ended December 31, 2009, at approximately \$23.3 million. Kinross has posted approximately \$39.2 million of letters of credit to various regulatory agencies in connection with its closure obligations at Fort Knox and True North.

History

An Italian prospector named Felix Pedro discovered gold in the Fairbanks mining district in 1902. Between 1902 and 1993, more than eight million ounces of predominately placer gold were mined in the district. In 1984, a geologist discovered visible gold in granitic-hosted quartz veins on the Fort Knox property. Between 1987

and 1991, a number of companies conducted extensive exploration work on the Fort Knox, True North and Gil properties. In 1991, Amax Gold Inc. (now Kinross) entered into a joint venture agreement with Teryl to explore the Gil property. In 1992, Amax Gold Inc. (now Kinross) acquired ownership of the Fort Knox property. Construction of the Fort Knox mine and mill operations began in 1995 and was completed in 1997. Commercial production at Fort Knox was achieved on March 1, 1997.

In 2008, Kinross commenced construction of a heap leach processing facility, which was commissioned in 2009. First gold from the new heap leach was poured in November, 2009.

Geological Setting

Kinross' mining and exploration properties are located within the Fairbanks mining district, a northeast trending belt of lode and placer gold deposits that comprise one of the largest gold producing areas in the state of Alaska.

The Fairbanks district is situated in the northwestern part of a geologic formation called the Yukon–Tanana Terrane (the “YTT”). The YTT consists of a thick sequence of polymetamorphic rocks that range in age from Precambrian to Upper Paleozoic. The dominant rock types in the district are grey to brown, fine-grained micaceous schist and micaceous quartzite known as the Fairbanks Schist. The Cleary Sequence, consisting of bimodal metarhyolite and metabasalt with actinolite schist, chlorite schist, graphite schist, and impure marbles, is intercalated with the Fairbanks Schist. Higher grade metamorphic rocks of the Chatanika Terrane are thought to be middle Paleozoic to Ordovician in age, and outcrop in the northern part of the district. Granodiorite to granite igneous bodies intrude YTT rocks.

The mineral deposits are generally situated in a northeast trending, structurally complex zone characterized by a series of folds, shear zones, high angle faults, and occasional low angle faults. Northeast striking high angle faults influence the location of gold deposits.

Exploration

Gold exploration techniques utilized at the Fort Knox project include: reconnaissance and detailed geologic mapping and geophysical methods to determine the distribution of rock types and structures; soil and rock chip sampling to determine the presence and surface distribution of gold and associated trace elements; trenching of soil anomalies to create exposures of mineralized bedrock for detailed mapping and sampling; and drilling to confirm the geologic controls on mineralization and to determine the distribution of gold in three dimensions.

Kinross' mine site and regional exploration within the Fairbanks district totalled \$10.3 million in 2009, \$11.3 million in 2008 and \$4.4 million in 2007.

Mineralization

The Fort Knox gold deposit is hosted by a granitic body that intruded the Fairbanks Schist. The surface exposure of the intrusive body is approximately 1,100 metres in the east-west direction and 600 metres north-south.

Gold occurs in and along the margins of pegmatite veins, quartz stockwork veins and veinlets, quartz-veined shear zones, and fractures within the granite. The stockwork veins strike predominantly east and dip randomly. Stockwork vein density decreases with depth. Shear zones generally strike northwest and dip moderately to the southwest.

Gold mineralization in the quartz-filled shears is distributed relatively evenly, and individual gold grains are generally less than 100 microns in size. The gold occurrences have a markedly low (less than 0.10%) sulphide content.

Drilling

Two types of drilling methods are used to explore for and define mineral deposits : (a) diamond core (“Core”); and (b) reverse circulation (“RC”).

Core drilling produces continuous cylindrical samples of rock by means of a diamond impregnated bit rotated by a borehole drilling machine. Core drilling, also referred to as diamond drilling, is commonly used to collect continuous, intact rock samples for detailed geologic logging and sampling, for geotechnical and rock strength tests, metallurgical tests, or because alternative drilling methods may not provide adequate or appropriate geological materials. The Core drilling at Fort Knox, since 1998, is commonly PQ3 sized holes (diameter of 83.1 millimetres, or 3.270 inches). Prior to 1998, Core holes were PQ sized (diameter of 85.0 millimetres, or 3.345 inches). Both PQ3 and PQ diameter Core holes are used for exploration and evaluation of mineral deposits where a larger sample is more representative of coarse-grained gold distribution.

RC is a specialized method of rotary drilling. The drilling medium (air, water, foam drilling muds, and additives) is circulated from the surface to the drill bit through the outside annulus of nested drill rods. The drilling medium then carries rock fragments produced by the drill bit to the surface through the centre of the drill rods. This method reduces sample contamination by isolating the drilling medium and rock cuttings from the wall of the hole. The RC holes completed at Fort Knox are normally 139.70 millimetres (5.50 inches) in diameter, but may range as high as 146.05 millimetres (5.75 inches) in diameter.

Sampling and Analysis

Comprehensive drilling programs have been carried out at the Fort Knox deposit. The Fort Knox deposit has been defined by 981 drill holes (394 Core holes and 587 RC holes totalling 215,862 metres, or 708,206 feet), which have provided 137,031 nominal 1.52-metre (five foot) long samples. Of these samples, 136,502 were assayed for gold.

Core samples and RC drill cuttings are collected from each drill hole and are geologically logged. RC rotary drill cuttings are collected at one and a half metre intervals by a geologist or helper at each drill site. Each core interval and RC rotary cutting sample is submitted to an independent assay laboratory for geochemical analysis, and the subsequent geochemical data is entered, together with information about the host rock, into the project database. Core samples are regularly photographed and then logged and sampled in 1.52 metre intervals. Data is entered on the logs in a digital format. Special emphasis is placed on fault and vein orientations, as well as alteration and oxidation. Whole drill core is submitted to the assay lab for crushing, splitting and analysis.

RC drill samples are collected by a geologist or helper and labelled and placed in bags at the drill site and prepared for transport to commercial laboratories for preparation and assay. Kinross currently uses American Assay Laboratories to perform gold assays and geochemical analyses. Check assay work is currently performed by ALS Chemex Laboratories.

For dry RC samples, the drill cuttings are passed through a collection hose into a cyclone-type dust collector and are then manually split through a hopper-feed Gilson splitter. The split fraction of each sample is recorded on the log sheet. For wet RC samples, the drill cuttings are fed into a cyclone that deposits a stream of sample and drilling fluid into a splitter with a variable speed hydraulic motor that rotates a set of vanes controlling the volume of the split sample. Historically, the split sample was fed into four five-gallon buckets set in cascading series to collect and settle out the cuttings. The current method utilizes one five-gallon bucket placed in a washtub that collects all of the sample and drill fluids. A flocculent was added to the first bucket to aid in the settling of the sample and is still added to the single bucket. The samples are then permitted to settle.

The nature of the mineralization and host rock at the Fort Knox deposit requires that particular care be given to the collection of drill hole samples, especially for RC holes, that penetrate the water table within the deposit. Kinross employs, as a standard operating procedure, a detailed program of weighing the RC and Core samples to determine if the specimen is underweight, which helps to indicate potential loss of material in the sample interval. If individual 1.52 metre (five foot) intervals have unusually high or low weights, they could indicate sample contamination in a drill hole.

Mineralized intervals with a calculated recovery greater than 100% are evaluated. The anomalous hole is flagged and examined in cross-section. The drill hole is compared to adjacent holes, historical production and a decision is made to accept or reject the assay interval. Rejected samples are coded and given a "no sample" value in estimating mineral resources.

Security of Samples

Core and RC drill samples, which are the basis for all analytical determinations, are collected from the drill hole under the direct supervision of Kinross staff. The samples are labelled and placed in bags at the Kinross facility and prepared for transport to commercial laboratories for preparation and assay. Employees of the laboratory pick up drill samples at the Kinross facility.

To monitor the precision of the analytical process, a standard is inserted at every odd 20th (21, 41, 61 and 81) sample for RC and Core drilling. A pulp is split on every even 20th (20, 40, 60 and 80) sample at the primary lab and sent to an outside lab for analysis. The primary assay lab also reassays the first and then every 20th sample in each job.

Kinross also inserts blank or unmineralized samples into each sample shipment as part of the operation's standard procedures. Returned sample rejects that assay below the detection limit (<0.001) are submitted with the regular RC samples every 30.5 metres (100 feet), or 20th sample.

A standard pulp sample of known grade is also submitted to the laboratory. The sample frequency is one per Core and RC hole. These standards are prepared both in-house and by outside laboratories over the different exploration seasons, and they represent different ranges of gold grades.

Mineral Resource and Mineral Reserve Estimates

Refer to Kinross Mineral Reserves and Mineral Resources on pages 15 – 18 for quantity, grades and category. Assumptions are outlined in the Notes – 2009 Kinross Mineral Reserve and Mineral Resource Statements section commencing on page 18.

Mining and Milling Operations

The Fort Knox deposit is mined by conventional open pit methods. Higher grade ore from the Fort Knox mine is processed at Kinross' carbon-in-pulp mill located near the Fort Knox mine. The mill processes ore 24 hours per day year-round. Lower grade ore is processed on a dedicated leach pad that was commissioned in 2009.

The Fort Knox mill has a daily capacity of between 33,000 and 45,000 tonnes. Mill feed is first crushed to minus 20 centimetres (eight inches) in the primary gyratory crusher located near the Fort Knox pit and conveyed 800 metres (2,625 feet) to a coarse-ore stockpile located near the mill. The crushed material is conveyed to a semi-autogenous ("SAG") mill, which operates in closed circuit with two ball mills and a bank of cyclones for sizing. A portion of the cyclone underflow is screened and then directed to a gravity recovery circuit. The gravity circuit consists of three Knelson concentrators and one Acacia reactor.

Correctly sized material flows into a high rate thickener and then into leach tanks where cyanide is used to dissolve the gold. Activated carbon is used in the carbon-in-pulp circuit to absorb the gold from the cyanide solution. Carbon particles loaded with gold are removed from the slurry by screening and are transferred to the gold recovery circuit where the gold is stripped from the carbon by a solution, plated onto a cathode by electrowinning, and melted into doré bars for shipment to a refiner. Mill tailings are detoxified and transferred into the tailings impoundment below the mill.

Gold recoveries at the Fort Knox mill have historically ranged from 84% to more than 90% since commercial production began in 1997.

The heap leach facility constructed at Fort Knox in 2008-2009 consists of a valley fill leach pad, carbon adsorption plant, piping, haul road construction, relocation of access roads, power lines and tailings and water lines. Modifications to the existing crusher and the installation of an overland conveyer were completed in 2007. Run of mine ore is hauled from the pit and from existing stockpiles to the leach pad. The heap leach was built in five stages, covers approximately 310 acres and has a total capacity of 161 million tonnes. It is located in the upper end of the Walter Creek drainage, immediately upstream of the tailings storage facility.

Life of Mine and Capital Expenditures

The life of mine plan prepared by Kinross does not incorporate mining at True North. Fort Knox pit production is expected to continue until 2016. Thereafter, rehandling of low grade stockpiles to the leach pad is expected to continue until early 2022.

Capital expenditures for 2009 at the Fort Knox operations were \$133.1 million.

Exploration and Development

The goal for the 2010 exploration program is to add to the Fort Knox reserve/resource and advance the projects within the trend. Two areas of interest will be targeted by a drilling campaign within the Fort Knox pit area: the northwest area beyond recently defined Phase 7 expansion and inferred mineralization within the Phase 7 pit shell. Trend project advancement will include drilling, mapping, and geochemical soil sampling on multiple project areas.

The Fort Knox pit area project is a three year program designed to increase the reserve/resources within the immediate pit area. Positive results from the programs have the potential to extend mining and ore processing beyond the current life of mine plan. Additional exploratory drilling may be warranted based on the results of the program.

The Fort Knox trend exploration projects are at minimum two to five year programs with the goal of delineating new ore bodies in close proximity to the existing infrastructure generated to process the Fort Knox ore body. The programs are progressive and results-driven. Exploratory drilling is scheduled for the 2010 field season on two of the project areas.

Paracatu, Brazil



General

Kinross is the owner of the Paracatu mine located in the northwestern portion of the Minas Gerais State in Brazil. The Paracatu mine includes an open pit mine, process plant, tailings dam area and related surface infrastructure. In 2002, an expansion project (the “Expansion Project”) was commenced in order to evaluate resource potentiality and technical and economic feasibility. In 2006, the Expansion Project was approved by Kinross’ board of directors, and in 2007, construction of a new 41 million tonnes per year plant began. The new plant began operations in September 2008.

The Paracatu mine (known locally as “Morro do Ouro”) is 100% owned and operated by Kinross’ wholly-owned subsidiary Rio Paracatu Mineração S.A. (“RPM”).

Detailed financial, production and operational information for the Paracatu mine is available in the MD&A.

Property Description and Location

The Paracatu mine is a large scale open pit mine located two kilometres north of the city of Paracatu, situated in the northwestern portion of Minas Gerais State, 230 kilometres southeast of the national capital Brasília and 480 kilometres northwest of the state capital Belo Horizonte.

In Brazil, mining licences (claims) are issued by the Departamento Nacional de Produção Mineral (“DNPM”). Once certain obligations have been satisfied, DNPM issues a mining licence that is renewable annually, and has no set expiry date. RPM currently holds title to three mining licences totalling 1,304 hectares. The mine and most of the surface infrastructure, with the exception of the tailings dam area, lie within the three mining licences. The remaining infrastructure is built on lands controlled by RPM under exploration concessions. RPM holds title to 12 exploration concessions (6,132 hectares) in the immediate mine area and has applied for title to an additional

exploration concession (1,030 hectares) in the Paracatu area. In addition to the RPM exploration concessions, Kinross Exploration Brazil holds title to 18 exploration concessions (35,880 hectares) in the area of the mine.

RPM must pay to the DNPM a royalty equivalent to 1% of net sales. Another 0.5% has to be paid to the holders of surface rights in the mine area not already owned by RPM.

Kinross is in compliance with the Paracatu permits in all material respects.

Accessibility, Climate, Local Resources, Infrastructure, and Physiography

Access to the site is provided by paved federal highways or by charter aircraft that can land at a small paved airstrip on the outskirts of Paracatu. The mine is the largest employer in Paracatu, directly employing 726 workers and 248 employees of contractors (with the Expansion Project the total number of employees peaked at 3,500) in what is predominantly an agricultural town (dairy and beef cattle and soy bean crops) located in Brazil's tropical savannah. Annual rainfall varies between 850 and 1,800 millimetres, the average being 1,300 millimetres, with the majority realized during the rainy season between October and March. Temperatures range from 15 to 35 degrees Celsius.

The mine draws power from the Brazilian national power grid. The mine is dependent on rainfall as the primary source of process water. During the rainy season, the mine channels surface runoff water to temporary storage ponds from where it is pumped to the beneficiation plant. Similarly, surface runoff and rain water is stored in the tailings impoundment, which constitutes the main water reservoir for the concentrator. The objective is to capture and store as much water as possible from the rainy season to ensure adequate water supply during the dry season. The mine is permitted to draw makeup water from three local rivers that also provide water for agricultural purposes.

The area topography consists of gently rolling hills. Vegetation in the area of Paracatu is known as cerrado, which is similar to savannah, with dispersed cover of trees and shrubs. The elevation ranges from 700 to 820 metres.

Environmental Considerations

Paracatu operates in material compliance with applicable environmental laws and regulations and with Kinross' policies on environment, health and safety. There are no known material environmental concerns at Paracatu. Kinross estimates the net present value of future cash outflows for site restoration costs at Paracatu under CICA Handbook Section 3110 for the year ended December 31, 2009, at approximately \$32.0 million. There are currently no laws in Brazil requiring the posting of a reclamation bond or other financial assurance.

History

Gold mining has been associated with the Paracatu area since 1722 when placer gold was discovered in the creeks and rivers of the Paracatu region. Alluvial mining peaked in the mid-1800s and until the 1980s, was largely restricted to garimpeiro (artisanal) miners. In 1984, Rio Tinto Zinc ("Rio Tinto") explored the property using modern exploration methods, and by 1987, the RPM joint venture was formed between Rio Tinto and Autram Mineração e Participações (the latter being part of the TVX group of companies). Production commenced in 1987 and the mine has operated continuously since then. As of December 31, 2004, the mine since inception had produced close to three million ounces of gold from 237 million tonnes of ore.

In 2003, TVX's 49% share in RPM was acquired by Kinross as part of the business combination between Kinross, TVX and Echo Bay Mines Ltd. Kinross purchased the remaining 51% from Rio Tinto on December 31, 2004.

In January 2005, Kinross and RPM commenced the exploration drill program west of Rico Creek and became aware of the potential for a significant reserve increase. A Plant Capacity Scope Study was completed in June 2005, which evaluated several alternatives to increase plant throughput. All options considered in this study assumed the installation of an in-pit crushing and conveying system ("IPCC") and a 38-foot diameter SAG mill, which were the cornerstone assumptions in the original feasibility study carried out at the property.

The Plant Capacity Scope Study recommended that production be increased from 18 million to 50 million tonnes per year (“Mtpa”). The Expansion Project was envisioned to proceed in two stages over a four year period, which commenced in 2006.

In the fourth quarter of 2005, the contract for the basic engineering of the Expansion Project was awarded to SNC-Lavalin Engineers and Constructors Ltd., an internationally recognized consulting engineering and construction company, and MinerConsult Engenharia, a Brazilian engineering firm. The scope of the 2006 Feasibility Study was to increase the present ore production from approximately 18 Mtpa to approximately 61 Mtpa via the installation of a new 41 Mtpa treatment plant, designed to treat the harder B2 sulphide ore being encountered as the mine gets deeper. The scope of work included the IPCC, covered stockpile, the new 41 Mtpa mill, hydromet expansion, electrical substation, tailings delivery and water systems. Commissioning of the new 41 Mtpa mill began in September 2008 and commercial production began in December 2008. The Company encountered challenges at the new mill, primarily due to ore hardness, which resulted in lower than expected concurrent recovery and throughput. The Company considered options to increase recoveries and has approved plans to add a third ball mill, which is expected to be commissioned in the first half of 2011.

Geological Setting

The mineralization at Paracatu is hosted by a thick sequence of phyllites belonging to the basal part of the Upper Proterozoic Paracatu Formation and known locally as the Morro do Ouro Sequence. The sequence outcrops in a northerly trend in the eastern Brasilia Fold Belt, which, in turn, forms the western edge of the San Francisco Craton. The Brasilia Fold Belt predominantly consists of clastic sediments, which have undergone lower greenschist grade metamorphism along with significant tectonic deformation.

The phyllites at Paracatu lie within a broader series of regional phyllites. The Paracatu phyllites exhibit extensive deformation and feature well-developed quartz boudins and associated sulphide mineralization. Sericite is common, likely as a result of extensive metamorphic alteration of the host rocks. Sulphide mineralization is dominantly arsenopyrite and pyrite, with pyrrhotite and lesser amounts of chalcopyrite, sphalerite and galena.

Exploration

Rio Tinto was the first company to apply modern exploration methods at Paracatu. Northeast of Rico Creek, the deposit had been drilled off on nominal 100 x 100 metre drill spacing.

The Paracatu mineralization is subdivided into four horizons defined by the degree of oxidation and surface weathering and the associated sulphide mineralization. These units are, from surface, the C, T, B1 and B2 horizons. Mining to date has exhausted the C and T horizons. The remaining mineral reserves are exclusively hosted in the B1 and B2 horizons.

Exploration at Paracatu evolved in lockstep with knowledge gained through production experience. Essentially, the success of mining in the C and T horizons focused attention and exploration effort on the B1 horizon. Continued production success in the B1 horizon led to an increased interest in the B2 horizon.

Drilling by Kinross in 2005 indicated that portions of the deposit northeast of Rico Creek had not been drill tested for the entire thickness of the mineralized horizon hosting gold. This largely reflects the historical mining theory at Paracatu where softer C, T and B1 ores were targeted and harder B2 ores were considered uneconomic due to limitations in the existing process plant technology in operation at that particular moment in time.

The Expansion Project is allowing for processing of harder ores of the B2 horizon. Originally, Kinross focused on increasing reserves to the southwest of Rico Creek, exploiting the B2 mineralization that continues down dip of the surface exposure being mined in the current pit.

Mineralization

Gold is closely associated with arsenopyrite and pyrite and occurs predominantly as fine-grained free gold along the arsenopyrite and pyrite grain boundaries or as inclusions in the individual arsenopyrite and pyrite grains. Gold grains typically average 50-150 microns in size.

The mineralization appears to be truncated to the north by a major normal fault trending east-northeast. The displacement along this fault is not currently understood but the fault is used as a hard boundary during mineral resource estimation. The current interpretation is that the fault has displaced the mineralization upwards and natural processes have eroded away any mineralization in this area.

Drilling

The dominant sample collection method used to delineate the Paracatu resource and reserve model is Core drilling. A database of 459 test pits (4,987 metres) and 968 drill holes (74,974 metres) supports the mineral reserve estimate for the 2009 year-end reserves.

In the first quarter of 2005, Kinross committed to a phased exploration program at Paracatu to delineate measured and indicated mineral resources west of Rico Creek. As of December 31, 2005, Kinross had completed 267 Core drill holes (48,660 metres) which were added to the historical database.

In 2006, Kinross drilled 35 holes (3,586 metres) in the Albernaz area to the northwest of the pit and five holes (574 metres) west of Rico Creek.

The nominal drill spacing east-northeast of Rico Creek is 100 x 100 metres. An Optimum Drill Spacing Study commissioned by Kinross established that a 200 x 200 metre five spot pattern (a 200 x 200 metre grid plus one hole in the middle) would satisfactorily define indicated mineral resources. This pattern results in a nominal 140 metre hole spacing and represents a departure from historical RPM practices.

All drill core is logged geologically and geotechnically, with lithostructural and physical data recorded in detailed logging sheets. The core is also photographed and a permanent record is maintained in the on-site filing system.

Sampling and Analysis

Core recovery from the Core drilling programs is reported to be excellent, averaging greater than 95%. RPM employs a systematic sampling approach where the drilling (and test pitting) is sampled using a standard one metre sample length from the collar to the end of the hole. Sampling consumes 100% of the core except for the eight centimetre pieces selected from every two metre interval, which are retained and stored for specific gravity and Point Load Testing analysis. Samples for Bond Work Index ("BWI") analysis are collected as composite samples during sample preparation.

Samples (typically eight kilograms) are crushed to 95% passing two millimetres and homogenized at the RPM sample preparation lab. Approximately six kilograms of sample is stored as coarse reject; the remaining two kilograms of sample is split out and pulverized to 90% passing 150 mesh. This sample is homogenized and three 50 gram aliquots are selected for fire assaying with an atomic absorption finish. The remaining pulverized sample is maintained as a sample pulp reject.

Sample analyses were performed at three separate analytical labs during the exploration program.

Security of Samples

Kinross completed several studies at the start of the 2005 exploration program. In April 2005, an audit of the RPM mine lab was undertaken to assess lab equipment and procedures. In May 2005, Kinross commissioned Agoratek International ("Agoratek") to review sample preparation and analysis procedures, with a specific mandate to assess the historical practice of assaying six individual 50 gram aliquots per sample and averaging the results. Agoratek concluded that three 50 gram analyses would be sufficient for determining the grade of any given sample.

Based on the lab audit and the Agoratek study, Kinross' standardized sample preparation and analytical procedure for the remainder of the 2005 exploration program was as follows:

Quality control and quality assurance programs were limited during the earlier exploration programs at Paracatu. The dominant quality control procedure involved the use of interlaboratory check assays, comparing results from RPM's analytical lab and SGS Lakefield Research Limited (Lakefield) in Canada. Additional check assay work was carried out at the AngloGold laboratories in Brazil (Crixás and Morro Velho).

For the 2005 exploration program, three laboratories provided analytical services: RPM's lab, Lakefield and ALS Chemex. All three laboratories have ISO certification.

For the 2005 exploration program, all procedures were under direct control of RPM and Kinross staff. A quality assurance and quality control program was implemented for the three labs used during the 2005 exploration program. The program consisted of inserted certified standards and blanks in the sample streams. All three labs also reported using round-robin checks. The labs were visited on an infrequent and unannounced basis by RPM representatives. No major sample preparation discrepancies were noted.

Mineral Resource and Mineral Reserve Estimates

Refer to Kinross Mineral Reserves and Mineral Resources on pages 15 – 18 for quantity, grades and category. Assumptions are outlined in the Notes – 2009 Kinross Mineral Reserve and Mineral Resource Statements section commencing on page 18.

Mining and Milling Operations

Historically, mining at Paracatu did not require blasting of the ore. Ore was ripped using CAT D10/11 dozers, pushed to CAT 992 front-end loaders and loaded to CAT 777 haul trucks for transport to the crusher. In 2004, due to increasing ore hardness in certain areas of the mine, RPM began blasting the harder ore. This fleet continues to feed the original mill.

In the old plant, ore is crushed through three stages of crushing and ground in ball mills prior to introduction into a flotation circuit. The concentrate is treated by gravimetric methods first and the coarser gold is recovered. The flotation and gravity concentrate is then treated by a conventional cyanidation and carbon-in-leach circuit.

The property's July 2006 technical report was prepared in support of the 2006 Feasibility Study and the July 2006 resource and reserve disclosure. The scope of the Feasibility Study was to increase the present ore production from approximately 18 Mtpa to approximately 61 Mtpa via the installation of a new 41 Mtpa treatment plant, designed to treat the harder B2 sulphide ore being encountered as the mine goes deeper. The older plant will treat the softer near-surface B1 ore at a throughput rate of 20 Mtpa until the soft ore is depleted.

As part of the expansion, in July 2008 the BE 495 electric shovel was commissioned, along with nine CAT 793 trucks, two CAT 994 loaders and two BE 39 rotary drills, and commenced mining the harder ore destined for the new mill. The new mill started production in September 2008, and achieved commercial production in December 2008. The new processing plant consists of an input crusher consisting of a MMD sizer, a 1.8 kilometre conveyer to a covered stockpile area, a 38 foot SAG mill, followed by two 24-foot ball mills. The ore recovery process follows the same principles as the old plant of gravimetric separation using jigs, Knelson concentrators and flotation to produce concentrate which is leached using conventional cyanidation and carbon-in-leach circuit.

Life and Mine and Capital Expenditures

Based on the 2009 reserves, which incorporate the Expansion Project, Paracatu will continue production until 2041.

The Expansion Project is currently estimated to cost \$580.1 million, less a \$35.1 million tax credit. In 2009, RPM incurred approximately \$124.1 million in capital expenditures attributed predominantly to the Expansion Project and construction of the new tailings facility.

Paracatu Expansion Project

At the Paracatu Expansion Project, improvements and fine-tuning in the fourth quarter of 2009 have stabilized plant operation and increased recovery to an average of 74%. The Company has now approved plans to install a third ball mill at the Expansion Project to increase the grinding capacity needed to process harder ore from the Paracatu orebody. Conceptual engineering for a third ball mill was completed in the fourth quarter of 2009, and the addition of the third ball mill was approved by the Board of Directors in January 2010. Delivery of the new 15 MW ball mill is expected in mid-2010, and installation and commissioning are expected to be complete in the first half of 2011. Once completed, throughput at the Expansion Project is expected to reach up to 41 Mtpa, depending on ore hardness. The total capital cost is estimated to be approximately \$97 million, of which approximately \$90 million will be required in 2010.

In the third quarter of 2009, the State Environmental Protection Agency of the State of Minas Gerais (SUPRAM) granted the installation permit (LI) to commence construction of the new Eustaquio tailings facility, and construction of the new facility has commenced. However, the LI is the subject of an administrative appeal by the state public attorney against SUPRAM. While the Company expects that SUPRAM will prevail on such appeal, there is a risk that such appeal will not be successful, which may impact on the Company's ability to complete the construction of the new tailings facility which is necessary to support the operation of the mine in the future. The Company also requires an operating permit in order to operate the tailings facility, which has not yet been granted. For additional information, refer to the heading "Risk Factors – Title and access to Kinross' properties may be uncertain and subject to risks" in this Annual Information Form. Work has also commenced on the San Antonio dam expansion, known as the Lift 20 project, which is expected to be completed in the fourth quarter of 2010.

Maricunga, Chile



General

The Maricunga heap leach mine, formerly known as the Refugio mine, is owned and operated by Compañía Minera Maricunga (“CMM”), a Chilean company that is now 100%-owned by Kinross, following the February 2007 acquisition of Bema. Previously, each of Kinross and Bema held a 50% interest in the Maricunga property, formerly known as the Refugio property.

Detailed financial, production and operational information for the Maricunga mine is available in the MD&A.

Property Description and Location

The Maricunga property is located in the Maricunga District of the Region III of Chile, 120 kilometres due east of the town of Copiapó.

All surface and mineral claims, surface rights and water rights are maintained in good standing. Mining claims total 6,770 hectares, while the exploration properties held by CMM include 2,100 hectares. Chilean attorneys monitor claim status on behalf of CMM annually. In addition to the mineral claim rights, CMM also holds title to surface rights at Maricunga, providing the land required for the leach pads, waste dumps, camp and other facilities. Water extraction rights, totalling 258 litres per second, have been secured by CMM.

Kinross is in compliance with the Maricunga permits in all material respects.

Accessibility, Climate, Local Resources, Infrastructure, and Physiography

Access to the property is via 156 kilometres of two-lane dirt road connecting with paved highway C-35 approximately ten kilometres south of Copiapó. The town of Copiapó is the primary staging and support area for the mine. Chile features a strong mining culture, with well-established support centres in both Santiago and Antofagasta. Most of the major equipment supply and support originates from these two major centres. Manpower is attracted from regions throughout Chile, with the majority of the employees residing in Copiapó or La Serena.

Water supply comes from three wells in the Pantanillo area, to the northeast of CMM. Two of these wells are fully operative (total rights of 105 and 108 litres per second) and one is currently not operating (total rights of 45 litres per second).

Power supply is obtained from the SIC (Sistema Interconectado Central), through Guacolda, a power provider. Current consumption at full production is 12 megavolt-amperes (“MVA”), and by contract, the maximum allowed is 16MVA. CMM also has a generating plant used in case of external power shortage, which has five generators of 1.8MVA each.

Employees and contractors stay in a full-service camp located on the property at an elevation of 4,200 metres with a capacity of 458 persons. A new camp at an elevation of 3,600 metres is nearly complete that will expand capacity to 544 persons.

The area topography consists of steep mountainous terrain with slopes up to 35%. The area is largely devoid of vegetation, with the exception of the spring fed marshes found along the valley floors. The elevation ranges from 4,200 to 4,500 metres.

Environmental Considerations

The Maricunga mine operates in material compliance with applicable environmental laws and regulations and with Kinross’ policies on environment, health and safety. A reclamation plan for the current mine disturbance was approved in 2002, based on the commitments made in the original environmental impact assessment for the site (1994). The plan addressed physical activities, such as earthworks, but did not address chemical closure of the heap. A closure plan for chemical stabilization of the heap has been prepared and has been submitted to the regulatory authorities in the form of a Declaration of Impact to the Environment (“DIA”). The regulatory agencies are currently considering Kinross’ proposed chemical stabilization approach and further discussions with agencies are expected prior to a decision regarding the chemical stabilization plan. The agencies consider submittal of the chemical stabilization DIA as meeting the commitments in the original environmental impact assessment. The net present value of future cash outflows for site restoration costs at Maricunga under CICA Handbook Section 3110, as at December 31, 2009, are estimated at approximately \$7.7 million. There is no requirement to post financial assurance to secure site restoration costs in Chile at present.

History

David Thomson and Mario Hernandez discovered gold mineralization at Maricunga (then known as Refugio) in 1984. Hernandez, Thomson and three other partners acquired the existing claims at Maricunga for Compañía Minera Refugio (“CMR”). CMR completed geologic mapping and geochemical sampling, identifying anomalous gold values in three areas: 1) Cerro Verde, 2) Cerro Pancho, and 3) Guanaco. In 1985, Anglo American Chile Limitada (“Anglo”) optioned the property from CMR. Anglo explored the property for three years, returning the claims to CMR in 1988.

In 1989, CMR signed a letter of intent with Bema to explore the Maricunga property. Bema commenced exploration fieldwork in October 1989 and, from 1989 to 1991, completed 51,765 metres of drilling at Verde, with an additional 5,088 metres at Pancho. Bema also commissioned Mineral Resources Development Inc. (“MRDI”) to complete a feasibility study on the project, which indicated positive project economics. In January 1993, Bema exercised its option rights, obtaining a 50% interest in the Maricunga property. At the same time, CMR sold its remaining 50% interest to Amax Gold Inc. (“Amax”). Amax (operator) and Bema formed CMM, a 50/50 joint venture to develop and operate the Maricunga project. From 1993 through 1997, CMM continued developing the

project, beginning commercial production in 1996. In 1998, Kinross acquired Amax's 50% interest through a merger agreement.

The mine operated from 1996 to 2001, producing more than 920,000 ounces of gold from 46 million tonnes of ore. The mine was placed on care and maintenance in 2001, a result of a downturn in gold prices. In September 2002, in response to rising gold prices, CMM approved an exploration program designed to increase the reserve base of the Maricunga project to a level sufficient to support resumption of active mining. An exploration program was developed to evaluate the reserve potential at depth at the Verde deposits and the inferred resource at the nearby Pancho deposit, located approximately two kilometres northwest of the Verde pit. The exploration program ran from September 2002 to June 2003. During this period, a total of 262 drill holes (51,478 metres) were completed. The drilling focused on increasing the confidence level of the known mineralization below the current Verde pits as well as increasing the confidence level in the mineralization at the nearby Pancho deposit.

The 2003 reserves resulting from the exploration program were based on a detailed engineering study examining the economics of the project. The reserves were used to complete a life of mine production schedule that in turn served as the basis for a financial analysis which indicated project economics at gold prices in excess of \$325 per ounce. A decision was then made to reopen the mine.

During recommissioning, CMM spent approximately \$140 million on upgrading the process plant to handle 40,000 tonnes per day. The upgrade costs included pad expansion at the heap leach facility, infrastructure development, acquisition of mining equipment (including drills, loaders, haul trucks and dozers) and indirect costs associated with engineering, procurement and construction management.

In 2007, Kinross acquired 100% of the Maricunga project through the successful acquisition of Bema. Shortly following the acquisition, the project and mine were renamed from "Refugio" to "Maricunga".

Geological Setting

The Verde and Pancho gold deposits at Maricunga occur in the Maricunga Gold Belt of the high Andes in northern Chile. Since 1980, a total of 40 million ounces of gold have been defined in the belt.

Gold mineralization at Maricunga is hosted in the Refugio volcanic-intrusive complex of Early Miocene age. These rocks are largely of intermediate composition. The Refugio volcanic-intrusive complex is exposed over an area of 12 square kilometres and consists of andesitic to dacitic domes, flows, and breccias that are intruded by subvolcanic porphyries and breccias.

Most of the structural trends affecting the Verde and Pancho deposits are related to fracture systems rather than fault zones. One of the main structural features influencing the Pancho deposit is the Falla Guatita fault zone. Field mapping suggests that there may be significant vertical displacement on this structure. Another major fault affecting the Pancho deposit is the Falla Moreno. This structure trends roughly east-west and forms an approximate northern boundary for the mineralization at Pancho.

Exploration

Exploration of the Verde and Pancho deposits has been ongoing since 1984. A total of 667 holes (103,392 metres) of Core and RC drilling have been completed on the Verde deposit with an additional 147 holes (30,240 metres) completed at Pancho. The drilling has resulted in a drill spacing of approximately 50 x 50 metres at Verde and 75 x 75 metres at Pancho. Much of the 2002-2003 drilling was Core drilling, allowing geologists an opportunity to clearly delineate geological and alteration features affecting gold mineralization and recovery.

In 2004, CMM drilled eight condemnation holes around the property. Results outlined one area of mineralization with potentially economic grades.

In 2006, 51 holes were drilled at Pancho deposit (23,159 metres). The first metres (overburden) of 18 holes were drilled with RC (3,936 metres) and completed with Core. When the overburden did not exist, only Core drilling was used.

In 2007, CMM carried out drilling at the Guanaco deposit, which is a gold satellite deposit located approximately 1.5 to two kilometres from the Pancho deposit. In 2007, CMM completed 2,793 metres of RC drilling and 768.8 metres of Core drilling. Results of the 2007 drilling program suggested that there was potential to establish additional reserves in the area, however, additional drilling is required to determine this potential. In 2008, CMM carried out Core drilling at the Verde (2,959 metres) and Guanaco (6,154 metres) deposits, for a total of 9,113 metres.

In 2009, a ten-hole Core drilling program was carried out in the Verde West subpit totalling 2,752 metres. The program increased confidence in reserves and provided incremental gains in resources at depth below the pit bottom and southwest high wall. For 2010, an extensive drilling program will be undertaken with the goal of upgrading known resources to reserve in both the Verde and Pancho pits. Depending on access and operating activities, the program may take up to 18 months to complete.

Mineralization

Gold mineralization at Maricunga has been interpreted to be porphyry style gold systems. The porphyries occur within a sequence of intermediate tuffs, porphyries and breccias that are the host rocks to the gold mineralization. The most favourable ore hosts at Verde are the Verde breccia and dacite porphyry units. Mineralization at Pancho is concentrated within a subhorizontal volcanic breccia unit. Underlying the volcanic breccia is a large, laterally extensive, diorite porphyry, which outcrops half way down the Pancho west slope. This porphyry underlies the entire Pancho area.

Gold mineralization at Verde is interpreted to be the result of the fracturing and concentration of fluids in the carapace of an intrusive plug or stock. Gold is closely associated with quartz, magnetite, calcite, and garnet stockworks. Gold mineralization at Pancho is characterized as porphyry hosted stockwork and sheeted veins. The veins are subvertical and have a strong, preferred northwesterly strike. The northwest structural control is not only evident at the outcrop scale but is also reflected in the northwest alignment of intrusives and the three centres of mineralization in the district, Verde, Pancho and Guanaco.

Drilling

Historically, most of the drilling at Maricunga consisted of RC drilling. The destructive nature of this drill method made identification of lithology, structure and alteration difficult.

The mine survey crews establish the collar location and mark it in the field. The survey crew later verify alignment and inclination when the drill hole was in progress. Downhole inclinometry is completed at the end of each hole. Gyroscopic azimuth and inclination readings were taken every ten metres down the hole to within ten metres of the hole bottom and every 50 metres back up the hole as a double check. All field surveys were tied into the established mine grid. Guillermo Contreras and Sons Limitada (Santiago), licensed Chilean surveyors, completed a survey audit that verified an approximate 10% of the drill collars using a differential GPS survey system. No significant errors were noted.

CMM provided all of the technical support for the sampling, geologic logging, and drill supervision. Rig geologists and samplers were responsible for the quality/accuracy of each sample. Geologists and samplers typically had up to 15 years of sampling experience. All logging utilized standardized logging forms and a geological legend developed for the Verde and Pancho deposits. The legend has evolved from historic observation and is consistent with both the regional and local geology.

Mine operations personnel supervised the contract Core drilling in 2009, continuing with past procedures for control and logging.

Sampling and Analysis

Between 1989 and 1991, samples from RC drills were collected at two-metre intervals and were split on site using a riffle-type splitter into two “twin” samples each weighing four to six kilograms. One sample was shipped to Copiapó for preparation while the other was stored on site for reference.

Sample preparation of all drill hole material was completed by a contractor, with facilities located in Copiapó adjacent to the Bema offices.

All drill hole samples were fire assayed for gold and silver by Monitor Geochemical Laboratory Inc. (Monitor) of Elko, Nevada, using a one assay-ton sample and a gravimetric finish. Monitor also analyzed each sample for copper using atomic absorption. Any copper samples showing greater than 1,000 parts per million were reanalyzed and values were reported as percentages.

The 2003 drill program adopted a two metre standard sample length for all samples. All sample preparation, including core splitting (sawing), was performed and supervised by ALS Chemex personnel. ALS Chemex established, equipped and staffed a portable sample preparation facility at the Maricunga (then known as Refugio) mine for the duration of the program. After splitting, one half of the core was placed in sample trays along with the sample tag. The other half of the core was fitted back into the core box and returned to CMM for placement in permanent storage. The prepared samples were received at the ALS Chemex facility in La Serena (the primary analytical lab for the duration of the program) where gold, silver and copper as well as cyanide soluble gold and copper analyses were performed.

In 2009, logging took place at the mine and at the Kinross staging facility at Paipote near Copiapo. Core sawing and sampling were carried out by Kinross personnel under the supervision of a mine geologist from Maricunga. Each lot submitted for assay included blind blanks, standards, and duplicates for quality control using standardized protocols. No significant issues were encountered with the results from ALS Chemex labs.

Security of Samples

An independent engineer completed operational audits of the La Serena lab for the 2002-2003 program. The operational audits were performed measuring compliance with analytical best practices as well as NI 43-101 requirements with respect to quality control and quality assurance. The independent engineer did not note any significant problems with this facility, concluding that ALS Chemex's lab and procedures met the highest industry standards. ALS Chemex also inserted its own blanks, standards and duplicate samples for each sample batch as part of the lab's own internal quality management program.

Mineral Resource and Mineral Reserve Estimates

Refer to Kinross Mineral Reserves and Mineral Resources on pages 15 – 18 for quantity, grades and category. Assumptions are outlined in the Notes – 2009 Kinross Mineral Reserve and Mineral Resource Statements section commencing on page 18.

Mining and Milling Operations

Production at Maricunga (then known as Refugio) reopened in October 2005 and achieved its targeted rate of 14 million tonnes per year (40,000 tonnes per day) in late 2005. The mine operates two 12-hour shifts per day for 355 days annually allowing for inclement weather interruptions. Final pit design for Verde and Pancho assumed ten metre bench heights, bench face angles of 65 to 70 degrees, berm widths of eight to 11 metres, berm interval of 20 metres, inter-ramp angles of 38 to 53 degrees and haul road gradient at 10% with a 25 metre road width.

The Maricunga gold recovery process consists of a single line primary crushing, fines crushing (secondary and tertiary), heap leaching using cyanide solution, followed by adsorption and regeneration (“ADR”) plant operation. The process is designed to treat 40,000 tonnes per day of dry Maricunga ore. The crushing plant product is approximately 80% passing 10.5 millimetres. Crushed ore is hauled to the heap leach pads by haul trucks.

A comprehensive program of metallurgical testing incorporating bottle roll tests and column leach tests of samples obtained from drilling was established to determine gold recovery and reagent consumption data for the remaining Verde resources and the Pancho resource. To economically treat ore extracted from Pancho, the SART (Sulphidization, Acidification, Recycling, and Thickening) process will be added to the plant, principally to control soluble copper in the leachate.

Based on the recovery estimates by ore type, process recovery over the mine life averaged 65.9% of contained gold in the plant feed. Life of mine annual gold production is expected to range from 200,000 to 290,000 ounces on a 100% basis, with higher annual production during the years in which oxide ore is being mined and processed from the Pancho pit.

In the fourth quarter of 2009, the mine initiated programs to improve blasting, high-wall monitoring and maintenance, and geotechnical design of the Verde and Pancho pits. A ten-hole, 2829 metre geotechnical Core drilling program under the supervision of Kinross and AMEC personnel began in December 2009 with the purpose of collecting data for pit slope design and rock mass characterization in the Pancho pit and the Verde Phase 3 expansion. The program will be completed and results analyzed in the first quarter of 2010.

The actual reserves may result in the need to permit additional leach pad capacity, but this is not considered to be a material risk, as the existing permitted space is sufficient for the majority of the remaining reserves (218 million tonnes).

Life of Mine and Capital Expenditures

Based on the expected processing rates and reserves, the mine life of the Maricunga property is estimated to continue up to 2026.

Kinross spent approximately \$42.5 million on capital expenditures in 2009, and expects to complete the new camp by the second quarter of 2010.

Kinross is currently evaluating the possibility of expanding production by up to 50% by adding a new primary crusher and increasing secondary and tertiary capacity. The Company expects to complete a feasibility study in the second quarter of 2010.

Exploration and Development

During 2009, CMM continued to develop Pancho, nearly completing the pre-strip. In the third quarter of 2009, a scoping study was completed by AMEC to increase the throughput of the mine and crushing plant. Based on favourable economic projections from the scoping study, further study of the feasibility of expansion was undertaken and a progress report is expected to be issued in the second quarter of 2010. Additional work, including infill Core drilling, geotechnical studies, site layout and preliminary crusher and plant design modifications are included in the ongoing study. In 2010, Pancho is expected to produce 5.5 million tonnes of ore from the Phase 1 starter pit and 10.5 million tonnes is expected to be removed. Phase 3 stripping is expected to begin in the Verde pit in 2010.

In 2010, the Kinross exploration group is planning a 21,200 metre combined RC and Core drilling program to convert inferred resources to mineral reserves in the Verde and Pancho pit areas. The program may span 2010 and 2011 depending on platform availability and operational requirements. The geotechnical drilling, logging, and analysis will be completed in the second quarter of 2010.

Kupol mine, Russian Federation



General

Kinross' 75% (less one share) interest in the high grade Kupol mine in the northeast region of the Russian Federation is held through a commensurate interest in Chukotka Mining & Geological Company ("CMGC"). The remaining 25% (plus one share) of CMGC is held by the Government of Chukotka. Bema, which was acquired by Kinross in 2007, had acquired its interest in the property through a definitive framework agreement (the "Framework Agreement") with a State Unitary Enterprise of Chukotka Autonomous District, an autonomous Okrug (region) in the northeast region of the Russian Federation. Development and construction of the Kupol mine commenced in 2005.

Detailed financial, production and operational information for the Kupol mine is available in the MD&A.

Property Description and Location

The property comprises a 7.8 square kilometre licence for subsoil use for geological study and production of gold and silver. This licence was issued by the Ministry of Natural Resource of the Russian Federation on April 6, 2002, and is held by CMGC.

There are no royalties payable in respect of the Kupol mine, but an extraction tax is payable equal to 6% of the sales value for all gold contained in the mined ore and 6.5% of the sales value for all silver contained in the mined ore.

In 2006, CMGC was awarded two new exploration licences surrounding, and adjacent to, the Kupol project. With the acquisition of these two licences, known as Kupol West and Kupol East, CMGC increased its overall land position in the Kupol project area from approximately 17.5 square kilometres to a combined total of approximately 425.5 square kilometres. Pursuant to a purchase and sale agreement dated December 21, 2006, as amended (the "Purchase and Sale Agreement"), among Kinross, certain of its subsidiaries, and B2 Gold Corporation

(“B2”), it was contemplated that a subsidiary of B2 would acquire a 37.5% interest in an entity that would hold, indirectly, the Kupol West and Kupol East licenses, with the other shareholders of that entity being a subsidiary of Kinross and B2 and by Gossudarstvennoe Unitarnoe Chukotskogo Autonomnogo Okruga (“Cue”), Kinross’ 25% joint venture partner in the Kupol mine. The interests of each of Kinross and B2 in such entity were initially proposed to be 37.5%; subsequently it was proposed by Cue that each of the three shareholders have a 33.33% interest. A draft, unsigned joint venture and shareholders agreement among the three shareholders was proposed by Kinross and B2 to Cue but was not approved and agreed to by Cue. Under the terms of the Purchase and Sale Agreement, if certain conditions were not satisfied by December 31, 2009, then such acquisition by B2 would not proceed and the parties would cease to be obligated to enter into such joint venture and shareholders agreement. In that event, B2 was entitled to require that Kinross’ subsidiary, White Ice Ventures Limited, use its reasonable commercial efforts to structure an alternative transaction together with B2 so as to provide B2 with the equivalent of an indirect interest in the Kupol West and Kupol East licenses equal to one-half of Kinross’ interest in such licenses. In January 2010, B2 notified Kinross that it requires Kinross to proceed with the structuring of that alternative transaction. The Purchase and Sale Agreement provides for a 180-day period following such notice for this alternative transaction to be completed, after which either party will have a right to terminate any obligations to pursue such alternative transaction.

Kinross is in compliance with the Kupol permits in all material respects.

Accessibility, Climate, Local Resources, Infrastructure, and Physiography

The Kupol deposit is located in the northwest part of the Anadyr foothills on the boundary between the Anadyr and Bilibino Regions in the Chukotka Autonomous Okrug. The total distance between the Kupol property and Bilibino is approximately 200 kilometres.

A regional airport serving Bilibino is located 35 kilometres south of Bilibino in Keperveem. Keperveem airport is the closest public airport to Kupol. In 2009 the airstrip at Kupol was certified as an airport and direct daily flights from Magadan to Kupol commenced. The main access point for land freight to Kupol is from the port facilities at Pevek, approximately 400 kilometres north of Kupol. Pevek and Kupol are connected by a combined all-season and winter road for a total distance of approximately 440 kilometres. Freight is transported from the port and stored at a storage yard and shop 21 kilometres from Pevek. From there, a winter road, constructed in December and January of every year, follows the contour of Chaunskii Bay for 133 kilometres then travels due south to Dvoynoye camp and across the Maly Anui River to Kupol. The winter road is serviced by five temporary camps and one permanent 60-person, containerized camp and is passable between mid-December and late April.

During spring thaw, summer and fall, Kupol is only accessible by helicopter or fixed wing aircraft via a two and one half hour flight from Magadan, a one hour flight from Keperveem or a three hour flight from Anadyr. Magadan is serviced by an all season paved airstrip, Keperveem is serviced by a gravel airstrip capable of handling IL76 aircraft. Anadyr is serviced by an all-season paved airstrip. A 1,800 metre airstrip at the Kupol site is currently in use.

The climate of the region around the Kupol site belongs to the continental climatic region of the subarctic climate belt with extremely severe weather consisting of long and cold winters (8-8.5 months), overcast weather, and short summer periods (2.5 months). The average annual temperature at the Kupol site is -13 degrees Celsius, ranging from -58 degrees Celsius to 33 degrees Celsius.

The overall region is sparsely populated, with approximately 65,000 inhabitants. Of this population, approximately one half of the people live in the two districts where the Kupol deposit is located (Bilibino and Anadyr).

The Kupol property is situated on a height of land adjacent to the divide between the Arctic Ocean and Bering Sea drainages. The Straichnaya River drains north to the Anui River and the Sredniy Kaiemraveem River drains into the Mechkereva River to the south. Topography is moderate, characterized by low rolling hills and occasional flat midland areas. The Sredniy Kaiemveem River bisects the eastern portion of the property. The elevation ranges from 450 to 755 metres.

Permafrost is distributed throughout the property area. Depending on geomorphology, the thickness of the permafrost layer ranges from surface to a depth of 200 to 320 metres and reaches its maximum depth under riverbeds.

The property is located approximately 40 kilometres north of the tree line and is covered with tundra, rock outcrop and felsenmeer. The vegetation is limited to lichen, grass and arctic shrubs and flowers.

Environmental Considerations

Kupol operates in material compliance with applicable environmental laws and regulations and with Kinross' policies on environment, health and safety. There are no known material environmental concerns at Kupol. Kinross estimates the net present value of future cash outflows for site restoration costs at Kupol under CICA Handbook Section 3110 for the year ended December 31, 2009, at approximately \$21.8 million.

History

Quartz vein float was originally located in the Kupol area in 1966 during a Soviet government 1:200,000 regional mapping program. These float boulders assayed up to 3.0 grams per tonne gold and 660 grams per tonne silver and the find was designated as the "Oranzheviy Occurrence". The main Kupol deposit was discovered by the Bilibino-based, state-funded Anyusk State Mining and Geological Enterprise ("Anyusk") in 1995, through prospecting in the region of the "Oranzheviy Occurrence". Prospecting was aided by the identification of gold, silver, arsenic, and antimony anomalies in a 1:200,000 stream sediment geochemical sampling program. During 1996 and 1997, Anyusk completed mapping, prospecting, magnetic and resistivity surveys, and litho-geochemical and soil surveys.

During 1998, two drill holes were drilled and four trenches were excavated. In 1999, Metall, a Chukotka-based, Russian mining cartel, acquired the rights to the deposit and contracted Anyusk to conduct the exploration work. From 1999 through 2001, an additional 31 trenches and 24 drill holes were completed. In 2000 and 2001, 450 metres of the central portion of the vein system was stripped, mapped and channel sampled in detail. By the end of 2001, the work completed included 3,004 metres of drilling in 26 drill holes, 5,034.1 metres of trenching and 3,110.8 metres of channel sampling. Additionally, the majority of the licence area was surveyed, and a frame for a small mill was constructed immediately south of Bolotnoye Lake, where the 2004-2006 camp is located.

In 2002, Metall's licence was revoked due to nonpayment of contractors and incompleteness of the reporting required under the licence. As a result, there was no exploration activity in 2002. In December 2002, Bema entered into an agreement to acquire up to a 75% interest in the property.

Geological Setting

Gold and silver mineralization is hosted by low sulphidation epithermal quartz-adularia veins within a north-south fault zone in Cretaceous andesite flows and pyroclastic units. Gold-bearing banded chalcedonic quartz-adularia veins and breccias are associated with silicification, argillization and rhyolite dykes for 4.1 kilometres along strike. The main vein zone is up to 50 metres wide and has been drilled to a maximum vertical depth of approximately 725 metres. The vein dips range from vertical to 75 degrees to the east. The deposit has been divided into six contiguous zones; from north to south these are North Extension, North, Central, Big Bend, South and South Extension. Mineralization, with widths up to 22 metres, has been defined along 3.9 kilometres of strike.

In 2005, a series of polymetallic veins were discovered starting 350 metres west of the main Kupol structure. These veins strike northwest and dip 55 to 75 degrees to the west.

Exploration

During 2003, Bema completed 22,257 metres of drilling, extensive trenching, metallurgical test work, a site survey, hydrology studies and acquisition of environmental baseline information. In addition, Bema conducted initial engineering work and studies toward a scoping or preliminary economic assessment, which was successfully completed in 2004, and on procurement of equipment and supplies for the 2004 exploration and development program.

The 2004 exploration and development program included 52,828 metres of drilling in 309 holes to further explore the property and to conduct infill, reserve definition drilling. The highlights of results from the 2004 drilling program were: the extension of high grade mineralization in the North zone 250 metres to the north at depth; confirmation of multiple veins and identification of new veins in the North zone; the discovery of a new high-grade subparallel or offset vein in the South zone; the definition of existing, and discovery of a new, high-grade mineralized shoots in the Central zone. The development portion of the 2004 program also included the engineering and design of a runway for fixed wing aircraft, preparatory earthworks for mine and mill facilities, geotechnical and condemnation drill programs, water well drilling, final metallurgical test work and procurement of equipment for the planned commencement of mill construction in 2005.

The 2005 exploration and development program included 46,147 metres of drilling in 192 holes to further explore the property and to conduct infill and resource definition drilling.

The highlights of results from the 2005 drilling program were: the increase of indicated mineral resources; deep drilling in the North Extension which extended inferred mineral resources 200 metres north of previous estimates; deep drilling under the Big Bend and South zones; and further delineation of the South Offset zone located east of the main South veins. A new high grade vein system, the Vtoryi II, was discovered outside the main Kupol vein structure, which opens up the rest of the property and region for further exploration.

In late May 2006, an exploration program consisting of 20,000 metres of Core drilling was commenced, designed to test other veins, structures and extensions of the main Kupol vein, which continues to remain open in all directions. An additional 17 holes, totalling 4,672.2 metres, have been drilled on the 650 zone since its discovery. The 650 zone has now been traced through drilling over a strike length of 775 metres and down dip for approximately 200 metres. Continuous high-grade mineralization has been traced over 625 metres and appears to occur principally within a 100 to 125 metre elevation range, starting approximately 75 to 100 metres below surface. The zone remains open along strike to the north and south. Several parallel to subparallel veins are present in the 650 zone, with dips ranging from 70 degrees to vertical.

In 2007, exploration continued on the 650 zone with 14 drill holes (2,489 metres) to test the shallow projections of the vein and refine the geologic model of the area. Also during 2007, through its partial ownership of B2 Gold Corp., Kinross continued exploration activities on targets identified on adjacent properties. This exploration is ongoing.

In 2008, on-going drilling continued at the 650 zone with 42 holes (12,325 metres) completed. The program resulted in the conversion of 287,000 ounces of gold and 5.1 million ounces of silver to reserves.

The 2009 program included drilling on several nearby targets. Drilling was carried out on the Northern Extension zone (6,166 metres) and the 650 Zone (5,386 metres) to begin to convert resource ounces to reserve ounces. These programs are planned to continue in 2010. Two new targets, T1 and T2, on the Kupol mining license to the northeast of the main Kupol ore deposit. 1,002 and 1,004 metres were drilled at T1 and T2 respectively and no mineralisation was encountered at either target. A small program of 926 metres was completed north of the current open pit to confirm a small resource and bring it into a reserve category. These ounces will be reported in 2010.

Mineralization

Gold and silver occur as native gold, gold-silver alloy electrum, in acanthite and silver-rich sulphosalts (stephanite and pyrargyrite dominant). Gold and these minerals occur with pyrite and minor amounts of arsenopyrite, chalcopyrite, galena and sphalerite predominantly in bands within chalcedonic quartz, quartz and quartz-adularia colloform and crustiform veins and breccias.

Drilling

In 2009, underground definition drilling continued with N- and B-sized Core for a total of 23,397.8 metres. The Termite Core drill continued to test the limits of mineralization in the development headings and to optimize slashing operations and panel extraction, and 2240.9 metres were drilled during 2009. Average sample length was one metre.

The RC drilling rig continued drilling for grade control in the open pit, completing 7,961.5 metres in 2009. The RC drill results supplement, and to some extent replace, grade control trenching activities. The holes also help with forecasting future mining areas.

A 2008 SX (650) zone surface Core drilling program comprised 12,325 metres of H- and N-sized Core in 56 holes performed by Russian and Canadian drilling companies under Kinross' supervision. The program infilled the existing pattern of holes from previous campaigns and resulted in a significant conversion of inferred resources to probable mineral reserves. Total Core and RC drilling to date at Kupol is 157,580 metres.

In 2007, a 105-hole underground diamond drill hole definition program produced 5,606 metres of N and B-sized core from drill stations in Big Bend. The geologists laid out the drill fans in Micromine software, and then distributed the layouts to the mine surveyors who painted backsights and frontsights in the drill stations for hole alignment. Drill fans were spaced ten metres apart with four or five holes per fan, designed to penetrate the vein every 15 metres vertically on dip, or in the centre of each stope panel. Drill recovery was >90% overall, with very few instances of poor vein recovery. In instances of bad ground, e.g., in shear zones in the footwall of the vein, the standard NQ rods served as casing and the drillers completed the holes with BQ tools. A REFLEX E-Z Shot downhole digital magnetic recorder measured uncorrected azimuth, dip, temperature and local magnetic field. The readings were transcribed by hand in the field and given to a data entry clerk to enter in the drill hole database. To convert to local north, the azimuth reading was adjusted by -1.8 degrees, based on USGS model WMM 2000 projected to 2007. The mine surveyors also surveyed the collar coordinates in local coordinates, azimuth, and dip of each hole after it was drilled. The survey instrument was a Trimble total station device with a data collector. The surveyors were under the direction of the Russian Chief Mine Engineer.

Geological Core drill logs included header, survey, recovery, rock quality designation ("RQD"), structural, mineralogical, and lithologic information. The logging scheme was similar, but condensed from the exploration scheme described in the previous technical reports on Kupol. All information was logged directly into a data recording laptop with online validation parameters. The data logger downloaded to the Kupol server into the GBIS SQL database manager and the contents migrated to database tables. RC logs followed an abbreviated logging procedure excluding structural and geotechnical information. The first sampling task for the geologist was a log of recovery; RQD; and for selected Core drill holes, full geotechnical logging of fractures and rock quality. This required some reconstruction of the core in the boxes such as sorting out jumbled sections and fitting broken core together before taking measurements. Next, sampling intervals were determined, marked up, and tagged by the Russian geologists using preprinted sample ticket books. Sample intervals were based on geology (lithology or vein types, mineralogy, and structure). The geologist could sample across contacts if the vein width was less than the minimum sample width (30 centimetres). The maximum sample length was one metre and mineralized zones were bracketed by a minimum of one to three metres of sampling into the footwall and hanging wall. Geologists sampled all vein zones and alteration types; each major zone was continuously sampled. Definition drill hole sampling was whole core, with no sawing or splitting.

Shallow trenches in the open pit totalled 28,579 metres as of December 31, 2009. Trench spacing was five to ten metres along strike on the vein, and the median length of each trench was approximately 20 metres. A Komatsu 650 excavator or a dozer scraped a shallow trench through the subdrill or overburden to bedrock. A sampling crew led by a mine geologist cleaned the trench floors with shovels, picks, and/or a blowpipe to prepare the trench for geologic logging and sampling. The geologist used a form similar to a core log to record the lithology, vein type, mineralogy, structure and sample intervals with codes similar to the underground definition core logs. The geologist spray-painted and identified the sample intervals and lithology codes, and in most cases painted a line down the centreline of the proposed sample. The sampling crew collected samples either by moiling them with a hammer and chisel, or with a pavement breaker attached to a portable compressor. Any water that collected in the bottoms of the trenches was dispersed by draining or pumping prior to logging and sampling. The crew collected the samples once or twice a shift and transported them to the on-site assay lab. The average sample length was 0.9 metres.

The pit surveyors laid out end stakes prior to trench excavation. After work completion, the surveyors picked up the first, last, and a variable number of intermediate sample points in the trenches. The trenches were then backfilled prior to production drilling.

Chip channel sampling was the basis for all 2007-2009 underground development production grade control and reporting. Geologists followed written procedures for each face, including mapping geologic and sample intervals graphically, and listing the sample information on a form. The form included a face sketch, depiction of painted instructions, temporal, spatial, and other information adequate to form a complete record of the face. Chip samples from mine development compose a total of 18,490 metres as of year-end with an average length of 0.8 metres.

Sampling and Analysis

Underground chip sampling was a team effort conducted by a crew of one or two samplers, supervised and/or assisted by the geologist. Sampling equipment included a chipping hammer powered by a truck-mounted diesel compressor attached to a one inch air hose. The geologist inserted a sample ticket from preprinted books into each bag and the bags were laid on the ground in order. Sampling always occurred from the footwall to the hanging wall. The geologist painted a level sample line on the face at one metre above the ground and the objective was to make the line disappear during sampling. This approximated a five by five centimetre channel. The geologist also painted sample numbers on the face, and photos were taken as a record of the sampling after it was complete. Geologists broke samples based on the same criteria as for the Core sampling, and at the same maximum and minimum lengths.

Core sample minimum length was 0.25 metres for HQ diameter Core and 0.30 metres for NQ diameter Core. Generally, the maximum sample length was one metre. Mineralized zones were bracketed by a minimum of one to three metres of sampling into the footwall and hanging wall. All vein zones and alteration types of interest were sampled and each major zone was continuously sampled.

Samples containing visible gold or abundant sulphosalt mineralization were indicated by a sample bag at the start of the sample interval, so sampling technicians would employ contamination minimization protocols during cutting and laboratory preparation. Field duplicate samples were marked with flagging tape.

Core to be sampled was delivered to the splitting shack and either taken inside or dead-stacked on the pallets outside. Core was 2/3 split using a diamond saw; the remaining third was returned to the Core box as a permanent record. The rock saw core jig was calibrated to ensure that an even 2/3 split was taken of the Core for both HQ and NQ sized samples.

Trench sampling followed a simple protocol that was similar to Core sampling. The protocol was to attempt to cut intervals that were five to six centimetres wide by five centimetres deep to approximate a Core sample. The geologist placed tags in the bags, laid the bags next to the sample interval weighted down with a rock, and supervised the sampling process. The geologist wrote up a submittal sheet, picked up standards and blind pulp reruns at the office, and drove the samples to the lab the same day or to the ovens if they were wet and needed pre-drying.

RC drilling was dry; samples were collected in a cyclone, passed through a Gilson splitter at the end of the drilling interval, and a split transferred to a labelled bag. The hole was blown clean after each one metre sample interval and the cyclone and splitter cleaned with brushes and compressed air.

All samples and gold-silver analyses were performed on-site by a Russian-certified laboratory. Geology modified the on-site quality control program for 2007 to comprise: (a) insertion of standard reference material (standards) to monitor accuracy; (b) coarse blank material (blanks) to monitor contamination and sample mix-ups; and (c) field duplicates (duplicates) and prep duplicate split reruns to monitor precision. All quality control samples were blind to the Kupol laboratory. The Kupol lab also maintained its own internal quality control program. All lab results were electronically imported to a secure, server-based SQL database maintained by an expat database administrator. Russian regulations require periodic submittal of a certain percentage of pulp duplicates to an outside Russian-certified laboratory as an outside check.

Security of Samples

The geologists arranged and personally conducted transport of drill core from the drills in covered wooden boxes. The core was laid out in a secure core logging tent by the responsible geologist and photographed immediately after logging was complete.

Samples were bagged and field blanks/reference standards were inserted into the sample stream by the geologists. The samples were assembled into batches of twenty, in the order they were sampled, and submitted to the laboratory two to three times per day. Well-mineralized or visible gold-bearing samples were indicated on the submission form to ensure that contamination reduction protocols were followed by the laboratory.

Core containing veining is stored in racks in locked tents. Non-mineralized core from the 2005 program is stored either in open racks, or, if it is from areas of condemnation drilling, dead-stacked by hole.

Mineral Resource and Mineral Reserve Estimates

Refer to Kinross Mineral Reserves and Mineral Resources on pages 15 – 18 for quantity, grades, category and to the Notes – 2009 Kinross Mineral Reserve and Mineral Resource Statements section commencing on page 18 for assumptions.

Mining and Milling Operations

The Kupol deposit is mined by both open pit and underground mining. The open pit mining methods mine ore on a two shift per day, 340 days per year schedule (25 days are not scheduled to allow for weather delays). The underground mining methods utilize mechanized sublevel mining methods to mine ore on a two shift per day, 365 days per year schedule. The first gold pour at Kupol occurred during the second quarter of 2008 and the mill reached its full production rate of approximately 3,000 tonnes per day in October 2008.

The milling process consists of primary crushing and a SAG/ball mill grinding circuit, and includes conventional gravity technology followed by whole ore leaching. Merrill-Crowe precipitation is used to produce gold and silver doré bars. Counter-current decantation (“CCD”) wash thickeners recover soluble gold and silver values and a cyanide destruction system is used to reduce cyanide concentrations to an acceptable level for disposal. Tails gravity-flow through a pipeline to a conventional tailings impoundment.

Life of Mine and Capital Expenditures

Based upon the current reserve estimates, the life of mine for Kupol is expected to continue up to 2016.

Kinross spent approximately \$39.3 million on capital expenditures in 2009.

Exploration and Development

In 2010, exploration programs at the Kupol property will focus on drill testing the North Extension zone and structural targets (T1 and T2), as well as continued drilling at the 650 zone.

Financing

The Project Loan, which is used for the advancement and development of the Kupol project, consists of two tranches totalling \$400 million. Tranche A, for \$150.0 million, matures June 30, 2013 and is from a group of multilateral and industry finance institutions, of which the mandated lead arrangers are Caterpillar Financial SARL, Export Development Canada, International Finance Corporation (“IFC”), Bank of Tokyo and ING; as at December 31, 2009, \$69.5 million was outstanding (2008: \$135.0 million). Tranche B is for \$250.0 million, matures June 30, 2012, and was fully underwritten by the mandated lead arrangers, namely Bayerische Hypo- und Vereinsbank AG (“HVB”) and Société Générale Corporate & Investment Banking (“SG CIB”); as at December 31, 2009, \$88.9 million was outstanding (2008: \$225.0 million). Both tranches of the Project Loan were drawn down on a pro rata basis and administered by HVB, as documentation and facility agent, and SG CIB, as technical and insurance agent.

The annual interest rate per the loan agreements are: (a) London Inter Bank Offered Rate (“LIBOR”) plus 2% prior to economic completion of the Kupol mine; (b) LIBOR plus 2.5% for two years after economic completion; and (c) LIBOR plus 3% for each remaining term. The Project Loan is collateralized against the Kupol mine and guaranteed by EastWest until economic completion is achieved, as defined by the loan agreements. The loan agreements include customary covenants for debt financings of this type. Economic completion was achieved on September 23, 2009.

Under the terms of Project Loan, the Company accumulates funds for loan interest and principal payments in restricted account HVB London. At December 31, 2009 the balance in this account was \$22.2 million (2008: \$10.3 million).

As part of the project equity contribution, the Company has signed a subordinated loan for Kupol with International Finance Corporation (“IFC”) for \$25 million which was fully drawn during the year (2008: \$19.8 million) and subsequently assigned to EastWest as outlined below. The annual interest rate was LIBOR plus 2%.

On November 22, 2005, as part of the loan agreement, Bema (Now EastWest) issued 8.5 million share purchase warrants to the IFC, each warrant entitling IFC to purchase one common share of Bema at a price of \$2.94. Subsequently, as a result of the acquisition of Bema by Kinross, there were 8.5 million Kinross share purchase warrants outstanding relating to the issuance which are convertible into 3.8 million Kinross shares. Each warrant had entitled IFC to receive one Kinross share plus Cdn.\$0.01, at a price of \$6.61, until March 1, 2014. Proceeds from the exercise of the warrants are required to be used to repay the IFC loan.

On March 20 2009, 8.5 million Kinross share purchase warrants were converted into 3.8 million Kinross shares. As a result, the balance outstanding on the IFC loan of \$25 million (2008: \$19.8 million) was assigned to EastWest. As the loan is now of an intercompany nature, it is eliminated on consolidation.

For details as the terms and conditions of these credit facilities, refer to Note 9 in the Company’s most recently filed Financial Statements.

Cerro Casale, Chile



General

The Cerro Casale project is located in Chile. Kinross and Barrick each hold a 50% interest in the porphyry copper deposit. Pursuant to an agreement dated February 17, 2010, Kinross agreed to sell one-half of its 50% interest to Barrick. The feasibility study completed in February 2010 envisions a conventional open pit and milling/heap leach operation with production capacity of up to 160,000 tonnes per day of sulphide feed through a flotation facility and, for the initial part of the mine life, approximately 100,000 tonnes per day of oxide feed through a heap leach facility.

Property Description and Location

The Cerro Casale project is located in the Maricunga District of Region III of northern Chile. The city of Copiapó is 145 kilometres northwest of the deposit. The international border separating Chile and Argentina is located approximately 20 kilometres to the east.

The Cerro Casale project is owned by Compañía Minera Casale (“CMC”), a contractual mining company formed under the laws of the Republic of Chile. CMC owns 33 claim groups containing 4,239 exploitation claims and totalling 20,421 hectares. Some of these claims partially overlap, reducing the actual ground covered by all patented mining claims to an area of 19,520 hectares.

Water exploration concessions are held in three areas: Piedra Pomez, Pedernales and Cerro Casale. Piedra Pomez and Pedernales are located 121 kilometres and 210 kilometres, respectively, north of Cerro Casale.

CMC holds permits for 17 wells drilled at Piedra Pomez with a total yield of 1,237.62 litres per second. This area is expected to be the principal source of water for the Cerro Casale project.

There are no existing impediments to obtaining easements for rights of way for access roads, water pipelines or concentrate pipelines.

Minera Anglo American Chile Limitada (“MAAC”) and its affiliates are owed a royalty from production from the Cachito and Nevado mining concessions, which cover all of the Cerro Casale deposit. The royalty is

capped at \$3 million, is based on a gold trigger price, and varies from 1% to 3% of net smelter returns. At the gold prices used to constrain mineral resources and mineral reserves, a 3% NSR royalty is applicable.

Kinross is in compliance with the Cerro Casale permits in all material respects.

Accessibility, Climate, Local Resources, Infrastructure, and Physiography

The project is approximately 180 kilometres by road from Copiapó, which consists of 25 kilometres of paved highway and 155 kilometres of gravel road. Copiapó is served by a national airport with daily flights from Santiago. The city has most major services and utilities and serves as a regional centre for this part of Chile. The population of Copiapó is approximately 136,000 and a skilled labour force is available in the Copiapó region and surrounding mining areas of northern Chile.

The climate is typical for the northern Chilean Andes. Precipitation is generally limited to snowfall in April through September and rain is rare. Daytime temperatures in summer months reach 23 degrees Celsius, with night-time lows of five degrees Celsius. Daytime temperature in winter is around freezing, with night-time temperatures dropping to -15 degrees Celsius.

A source of electric power must be negotiated, but the current concept involves building a temporary power line from Maricunga to the site for initial power supply. Long term power will be provided from a generation plant expansion (yet to be permitted and built) near Huasco in the south of Chile. The power will be delivered to the Cardones substation near Copiapó utilizing existing infrastructure, with some sections requiring upgrades. Capital costs estimates for the power plant and required upgrades have been included in the operating cost of \$0.0502 per kilowatt-hour. Delivery of power from Cardones to the Cerro Casale site has been included in the capital cost estimates. The area topography consists of an area of major relief, with local variations in topography ranging from 3,700 metres to 5,800 metres in elevation. Vegetation is sparse and generally restricted to small plants, mostly along stream beds and river courses.

Environmental Considerations

Ongoing environmental studies for the Cerro Casale project were initiated by CMC in 1998 and led to the preparation of the environmental impact study ("EIS") presented to the Government of Chile's responsible authority on March 12, 2001. Following a documented review process, approval for this EIS was granted on February 1, 2002.

Since the approval was granted in 2002, there have been changes to the Project plan, including new components (for example, the heap leach facility) and changes to the original plan (for example, a change in the proposed alignment of the concentrate pipeline). CMC currently plans to submit a new EIS relating to these new components and changes in order to obtain the approvals necessary to proceed with development of the Project in accordance with the current Project plan.

The next step in relation to the environmental process will be to obtain sectorial permits from the various agencies that have authority over environmental resources and construction, operation and closure of project infrastructure.

History

MAAC first explored the Aldebarán area in the late 1980s, drill testing multiple areas of alteration. Anglo American drilled two holes in the Cerro Casale deposit in 1989. In 1991, Anglo American conveyed its interests in the Cerro Casale property to Compañía Minera Estrella de Oro Limitada ("CMEO") and Compañía Minera Aldebarán ("CMA"), two companies presently owned by Kinross and Barrick, with their respective predecessors, Bema and Arizona Star, being members of the Bema Shareholders Group, the legal entity at that time. CMA, on behalf of the Bema Shareholders Group, conducted exploration drilling from 1991 through 1997, targeting both oxide and sulphide gold-copper mineralization. In 1997, Bema completed a feasibility study for development of oxide gold-copper mineralization, a prefeasibility study for an oxide-sulphide operation and a scoping study for development of deep sulphides.

In 1998, Placer Dome Inc., through its subsidiary Placer Aldebarán (Cayman) Limited and the Bema Shareholders Group, established CMC to continue exploration and development of various gold-copper deposits in an area of interest covering the known gold-copper mineral occurrences in the Cerro Casale area.

Placer Dome Latin America (Placer Dome), as general manager of the project, continued drilling in 1998 and 1999, leading to completion of a feasibility study in 2000. Work in 1998 included property-wide geological mapping, ground and airborne magnetic surveys and Audio Frequency Magnetic Telluric surveys.

In 2005, Placer Dome agreed to sell its 51% interest in the project to Arizona Star Resource Corporation (“Arizona Star”). Prior to completion of the transaction, Placer Dome was taken over by Barrick. Arizona Star subsequently entered into definitive agreements in June 2006 with Barrick for acquisition by Arizona Star of Barrick’s 51% interest in CMC.

In February 2007, Kinross completed its acquisition of Bema and in March 2008, Barrick completed its acquisition of Arizona Star, with the resulting ownership of CMC being 51% Barrick and 49% Kinross. In September 2009, Barrick and Kinross restructured their shareholdings in CMC, such that each of Barrick and Kinross held a 50% interest. In February 2010, Kinross agreed to sell Barrick 50% of its interest.

During 2009, a pre-feasibility study was completed on the project which indicated that sufficient economic potential existed to warrant proceeding with a feasibility study. The feasibility study was completed in February 2010.

Geological Setting

The Cerro Casale deposit is located in the Aldebarán subdistrict of the Maricunga Volcanic Belt. The Maricunga Volcanic Belt is made up of a series of coalescing composite, Miocene andesitic to rhyolitic volcanic centres that extend for 200 kilometres along the western crest of the Andes. The volcanic rocks are host to multiple epithermal gold and porphyry-hosted gold-copper deposits, including Cerro Casale, Maricunga, Marte and La Coipa, as well as numerous other smaller mineral prospects. The volcanic rocks overlie older sedimentary and volcanic rocks of Mesozoic and Paleozoic age.

Reverse faults parallel to the axis of the Andes have uplifted hypabyssal intrusive rocks beneath the extrusive volcanics, exposing porphyry-hosted gold-copper deposits in the Aldebarán area such as Cerro Casale, Eva, Jotabeche, Estrella and Anfiteatro. Composite volcanic centres are still preserved in the immediate Cerro Casale area at Volcan Jotabeche and Cerro Cadillal.

Extensive hydrothermal alteration consisting of quartz-feldspar veinlet stockworks, biotite-potassium feldspar, quartz-sericite and chlorite occurs in these intrusive centres. Gold-copper mineralization is principally associated with intense quartz-sulphide stockworks, potassic and phyllic alteration.

Exploration

Exploration programs have been undertaken by a number of companies, including Anglo, Bema, Arizona Star, Placer Dome, Kinross and Barrick. Work completed during 1989-2009 comprised property-wide geological mapping, interpretation of Landsat imagery, ground and airborne geophysical surveys, rock-chip and geochemical sampling, including bulk leach extractable gold and -80 mesh stream sediment, soil, talus, road-cut and grab sampling, trenching, RC and Core drilling, metallurgical testwork, and studies to support pre-feasibility and feasibility-level project assessment.

RC and Core drilling have been carried out in multiple campaigns since 1989. Anglo American drilled two RC holes in 1989. Bema and Arizona Star drilled a large number of RC and Core holes between 1991 and 1997. Placer Dome drilled additional confirmation, infill and geotechnical core holes in 1998 and 1999. CMC completed an additional 32 Core holes for infill, metallurgical, and geotechnical purposes between 2007 and 2009.

A total of 195 RC and 128 Core and RC-Core holes comprising 137,993 metres support the resource estimate for Cerro Casale.

Mineralization

Gold-copper mineralization occurs in quartz-sulphide and quartz-magnetite-specularite veinlet stockworks developed in the dioritic to granodioritic intrusives and adjacent volcanic wall rocks. Stockworks are most common in two dioritic intrusive phases, particularly where intrusive and hydrothermal breccias are developed. Mineralization extends at least 1,450 metres vertically and 850 metres along strike. The strike of mineralization follows west-northwest fault and fracture zones. The main zone of mineralization pinches and swells from 250 to 700 metres along strike and down dip steeply to the southwest. The highest grade mineralization is coincident with well developed quartz-sulphide stockworks in strongly potassic-altered intrusive rocks.

Oxidation resulting from weathering and/or high oxygen activity in the last phase of hydrothermal alteration overprints sulphide mineralization in the upper portion of the Cerro Casale deposit. Oxidation locally extends deeply along fault zones or within steeply dipping breccia bodies. Oxidation generally goes no deeper than 15 metres where vertical structures are absent. Oxide is present in linear oxidation zones as deep as 300 metres along major fault and fracture zones, or as pendants along the intersection of multiple fault zones.

Drilling

Most RC and Core holes were drilled from south to north, inclined at -60 to -70 degrees to intersect the steeply south-dipping stockwork zones at the largest possible angle. Drill hole spacing varies with depth. Drill hole spacing in shallow oxide mineralization is approximately 45 metres. Average drill spacing in the core of the deposit in the interval between 3,700 and 4,000 metres and elevation is about 75 metres. Drill spacing increases with depth as the number of holes decrease and holes deviate apart. Average spacing at the base of the ultimate reserve pit is about 100 metres.

RC drilling was used principally to test the shallow oxide portion of the deposit on the north side of Cerro Casale and to pre-collar deeper core holes. RC holes have a range in depth from 23 to 414 metres and a mode of 100 metres. The average RC hole depth is 187 metres.

Core drilling was used to test mineralization generally below 200 metres. Core holes range from 30 to 1,473 metres deep. The average Core hole depth is 752 metres. Drilling tools produced NC (61 millimetre), HQ (61 millimetre), NQ (45 millimetre) and HX (63 millimetre) cores. Core recovery is poorly documented but appears to have exceeded 95%.

Sampling and Analysis

Sampling of Core and RC cuttings was done in accordance with standard industry practices. Collar surveying was of suitable accuracy to ensure reliable location of drill holes relative to the mine grid and other drill holes. Downhole surveys of RC and Core holes are not complete and locally downgrade the confidence in the position of individual intercepts of deep mineralization. Holes not surveyed are dominated by RC holes testing oxide mineralization less than 200 metres deep.

Logging of RC drill cuttings and core followed procedures suitable for recording lithology, alteration and mineralization in a porphyry deposit. AMEC found the quality of logging to be generally professional and interpretations of lithology and stockwork veining intensity to honour original logs. Geological data and interpretations are suitable to support resource estimates.

Sample preparation and assay protocols generally met industry standard practices for gold and copper, although the 150 gram split for pulverization from 1991 through 1994 is substandard for gold analyses and resulted in poorer precision compared to subsequent years.

Gold was determined on a one assay-ton aliquot by fire assay with either a gravimetric or atomic absorption finish. Copper and silver were obtained from a two gram sample aliquot by atomic absorption after an aqua regia digestion. Assay methods conform to industry standard practices.

Security of Samples

An extensive program of data verification was part of the development of the project, and included:

- An audit of the topographic control base of the project; there is a uniform offset of coordinates that was resolved by a high-precision resurvey program completed in 2008;
- Drill relogging of two selected cross sections was performed in 2008 for lithology, alteration, stockwork, and internal structure. The geological model was revised with respect to the definition of hydrothermal breccias versus other breccias;
- Drill collar coordinates and elevations were reviewed for a number of drill holes against their plotted position on topography. No drill holes were noted with discrepancies greater than the accuracy of the topographic survey;
- Review of RC sample weights for drilling prior to 1996, which found no relationship between copper grades and recovery and no relationship between gold grades and recovery in oxide intervals;
- Downhole survey data were checked and generally concluded to be reasonable and suitable to support mineral resource estimates;
- Review of QA/QC for assay data from 1994–2000 indicated sampling, preparation, and analytical procedures were adequate for obtaining acceptable analytical results for gold and copper;
- Review of data and QA/QC from the 2008 infill drill campaign was reviewed by CMC and determined to be suitable for use in mineral resource estimation; and
- Database validation in 2009, consisting of audits of geological and assay attributes against geological logs, check assays, and original assay certificates, showed that the database was acceptably free of errors.

QA/QC data for silver are limited, but a re-assay program of 7% of the sample pulps by Geovectra showed that there is no bias in the data relative to the original analyses.

The reviews indicated that the assay and geological databases are suitable to support the mineral resource estimates. Overall errors are within acceptable ranges.

Mineral Resource and Mineral Reserve Estimates

Refer to Kinross Mineral Reserves and Mineral Resources on pages 15 – 18 for quantity, grades and category. Assumptions are outlined in the Notes – 2009 Kinross Mineral Reserve and Mineral Resource Statements section commencing on page 18.

Mining and Processing

There are no mining or milling operations at Cerro Casale.

Exploration and Development

A preliminary feasibility study for the development of an open pit operation, 150,000 tonnes per day concentrator was completed in December 2008. In 2008, eight in-fill Core holes were completed within the deposit area to increase sample density in key areas of the deposit.

No exploration activity is contemplated for 2010.


Engineering and feasibility work was ongoing throughout 2009 to complete a final feasibility study for the Cerro Casale deposit in February 2010. As part of the feasibility study, geotechnical drilling was completed for the

pit slopes, tailings dam, leach pad and mill site. Drilling for hydrogeologic investigation and condemnation drilling to characterize the development sites was also completed in 2009.

A conventional open pit mining operation using trucks and shovels was planned using bulk mining methods. Mine design and production schedules were developed for a nominal mining rate of 225 million tonnes per year, which is required to provide a nominal 57.6 million tonnes per year of sulphide mill feed. The estimated mine life is 20 years.

The Cerro Casale deposit contains both oxide and sulphide mineralization. The design capacity of the proposed plant is to process up to 160,000 tonnes per day of sulphide feed through a flotation facility, and, for the initial part of the mine life, approximately 100,000 tonnes per day of oxide feed through a heap leach facility. Gold recovery and sulphidization, acidification, recycling, and thickening (SART) circuits are planned. The planned process route primarily uses tested extractive technologies. The SART process was collaboratively developed by Teck Cominco Ltd. and SGS Lakefield in the 1990s, and is a relatively new addition to gold process designs. The process is in use at a number of global operations, including the Telfer mine operated by Newcrest in Australia.

Fruta del Norte, Ecuador



General

Kinross acquired Aurelian in 2008 and now wholly owns the Condor project, which includes the Fruta del Norte (“FDN”) deposit. FDN was discovered in the first half of 2006 and is a significant gold and silver deposit. In addition to this deposit, the Condor project area has several other unexplored exploration targets.

Property Description and Location

The Condor project consists of 39 mining concessions covering approximately 95,152 hectares located in southeast Ecuador, largely in the province of Zamora-Chinipe, with some in Morona-Santiago. The majority of the concessions form a large contiguous block that extends from the Rio Nangaritza eastward to the international border with Peru. The La Zarza concession, which hosts the Fruta del Norte deposit, is situated between 9,575,900 to 9,585,000 mN and 781,000 to 773,000 mE of UTM zone 17S (PSAD 1956 datum). The term of each concession is 25 years according to the recently promulgated Ecuadorian mining law.

Certain obligations must be met by the concessionaire; non-compliance can lead to cancellation of the concession. Non-compliances include:

- Non-payment of prescribed patent fees, royalties, or other levies and taxes;
- Non-filing of the required annual report detailing exploration activity or non-filing of the required report on annual production. Production reports are required bi-annually on or before January 15 and July 15; exploration reports are required annually on or before March 31. Misrepresentation of work completed, or fraudulent information contained in these annual reports can also cause concession cancellation;
- Misrepresentation of benchmark concession development stages;
- Commencement of mining activities prior to grant of the appropriate extraction permits; and
- Cases where severe environmental damage has occurred, or damage to Ecuadorian cultural heritage is irreparable, or where human rights have been violated.

A concessionaire who loses a mining concession due to a breach of one or more legal or contractual obligations, cannot have a concession in the same area (whether in whole or in part) for a period of three years, taken from the expiry date of the concession.

Environmental Considerations

Current environmental liabilities are restricted to the exploration camp, and to grids, roads, and drill pads established to support exploration activity. There is an expectation that environmental contamination may be associated with sites where artisanal miners have been active.

As of the date hereof, environmental licensing of a mining project could be carried out through one of two mechanisms: through the successful presentation to the Ministry of the Environment (MOE) of a project socio-environmental impact study (EIS), when the project does not already have an approved EIS, and through an environmental audit, when the project has an approved EIS. In both cases, the EIS or environmental audit must be prepared and executed based on terms of reference issued and approved by the MOE.

In addition to environmental permits, mining operations require additional permitting and approvals. Key permits include: water rights, archaeological clearance, wood felling permit and power generation permit.

Accessibility, Climate, Local Resources, Infrastructure, and Physiography

The nearest city to the Condor project area is Loja, which has a population of approximately 190,000 and lies approximately 80 km west-southwest of La Zarza concession. Loja has daily scheduled air service from the national capital Quito, as well as from Ecuador's largest city and port Guayaquil. The Condor project area is located approximately 195 road-kilometres from Loja.

The Cordillera del Condor is a mountain system situated east of, and parallel to, the axis of the Andes Mountains. It defines the international border with Peru in southeastern Ecuador. Locally, the Cordillera del Condor consists of heavily dissected, steep ridges that rise from the Rio Zamora and Rio Nangaritza valleys (approximately 850 metres above sea level) to sharp ridges and flat-topped mesas, up to 2,400 metres above sea level, which lie along the border. The majority of the Condor project, including La Zarza concession, lies in the highlands south of the Rio Zamora and east of the Rio Nangaritza, both of which flow into the Amazon River drainage system.

Tropical rainforest canopies most of the region except where cleared for agriculture in the river valleys and adjacent slopes. The flat-topped mesas, or paramos, along the border are covered by low shrub and heathland. Typically, over 0.5 metres of composting vegetation overlies many metres of saprolite. Landslides are common, transporting soil, weathered bedrock and vegetation down-slope to locally expose relatively fresh rock on hill slopes. Variably weathered bedrock is also locally exposed in mountain streams within ravines (quebradas).

As a result of its location near the equator, daily average temperatures are fairly constant between 21 and 24 degrees Celsius. Annual precipitation in the region averages between 1,800 and 2,500 millimetres. Lower average daily temperatures and higher monthly rainfall prevail at higher elevations such as La Zarza concession.

Kinross has commenced the process of acquiring sufficient surface rights to support project development and access. Approximately 80% of the required surface rights had been obtained to date.

The Loja branch of the National Water Secretary's Office has granted Kinross the right to use water in an unnamed ravine, located in the La Zarza sector, in the parish of Los Encuentros, Yanzatza canton, province of Zamora Chinchipe, for industrial use for advanced exploration mining activities at a flow rate of 1.40 L/s.

History

Modern exploration of La Zarza concession began in 1996 with reconnaissance sampling by Minera Climax del Ecuador ("Climax"), a subsidiary of Climax Mining Ltd. of Australia. It optioned the concession from Amlatminas S.A. ("Amlatminas") in March 1997 and began a more extensive exploration program.

During 1997 and 1998, Climax conducted mapping, soil sampling, induced polarization (“IP”) geophysical surveying and drilling programs on La Zarza concession. The work focused on the Bonza and Ubewdy prospects, located approximately 1.5 and three kilometres, respectively, to the south of Fruta del Norte. Both of these prospects were mined by artisanal miners between 1993 and 1996. Work completed in the Fruta del Norte area included the gradient array IP survey that produced a strong coincident resistivity and chargeability anomaly due north of the BLP prospect and approximately 200 metres east of the Fruta del Norte epithermal system.

Climax mapped La Zarza concession in 1997 and took the first known rock samples from the Fruta del Norte area. Stockwork veining in the Misahuallí volcanics, 50 metres west of the IP anomaly, assayed 102 parts per billion gold. Two additional samples described as “quartz stockwork veining in conglomerate” from an area further to the west assayed up to 1,034 parts per million arsenic, 159 parts per million antimony and 101 parts per billion gold. These are believed to have probably come from weak mineralization above the Fruta del Norte epithermal deposit.

Climax interpreted the Suarez conglomerate as filling a fault bounded pull-apart basin in the volcanics but also concluded that the conglomerate predated epithermal mineralization. As a result, Climax did not consider drill testing below the conglomerate for buried mineralization. Although listed as its Priority 1 target, the IP anomaly was never drill tested before Climax ceased exploration of the concession in mid-1998. The terms of the option agreement to purchase La Zarza concession were not fulfilled and the concession reverted to Amlatminas in March 1999.

Following the departure of Climax, artisanal miners recommenced bedrock operations at Las Peñas, and started similar mining operations at Aguas Mesas Norte and Sur.

In 2001, Aurelian Resources Corporation Ltd. (the private, predecessor company to Aurelian), recognized the exploration potential of southeast Ecuador and began compiling a land package in the Cordillera del Condor region through staking under the recently revised mining act. Aurelian’s exploration began in 2001 with an initial site visit and continued in 2002 with confirmation sampling on La Zarza concession, completed for the Technical Report supporting the company’s TSX-V listing.

Up until late 2005, Aurelian’s exploration focused on more advanced targets peripheral to the Suarez pull-apart basin such as the Bonza las Peñas, Aguas Mesas and Puente-Princesa prospects. Simultaneously, Aurelian conducted a regional stream geochemical survey on all concessions.

Aurelian’s exploration focus shifted in late 2005 due to the reinterpretation of the timing of the Suarez conglomerate deposition relative to the epithermal gold mineralizing event. This work came after the recognition that the epithermal gold mineralization at Bonza las Peñas was partially buried by the Suarez conglomerate, with only a late pulse of epithermal mineralization occurring after sedimentation.

The new exploration model surmised that significant epithermal gold mineralization may have formed in andesite within dilational faults along the Suarez basin margins. This was the turning point that resulted in the discovery of Fruta del Norte. Subsequently, the Suarez conglomerate was interpreted to have buried the epithermal system and these rocks experienced only a late, weak pulse of hydrothermal alteration. Based on the new exploration model, the Aurelian board of directors approved a program of drill testing for buried mineralization within the Suarez pull-apart basin in September 2005.

Geology and Mineralization

The Fruta del Norte epithermal gold-silver deposit and encompassing Condor property is located in the Cordillera del Condor. The cordillera dominantly comprises Jurassic arc-related plutonic and attendant volcanic host units. Basin-related Lower Cretaceous volcano-sedimentary rocks unconformably overlie the Jurassic units and were deposited post-mineralization at Fruta del Norte. The mineralized corridor of the Cordillera del Condor and the contiguous Corriente Copper Belt consists of numerous porphyry copper, copper-gold skarn and epithermal gold-silver deposits.

The Fruta del Norte deposit is classified as an intermediate sulphidation epithermal gold-silver system, with multi-phase quartz-carbonate-sulphide stockwork veining and hydrothermal brecciation traced over widths of 80 to

150 metres at the central part and increasing to over 300 metres at the southern end. The epithermal system is currently defined over a strike length of 1,300 metres. The stockwork veining comprises closely spaced, multidirectional veinlets at shallow levels, but at depth the number of sheeted veins increases while the overall stockwork intensity progressively decreases. Hydrothermal brecciation textures vary from fine, millimetre-scale crackle brecciation to matrix-supported brecciated “veins”. The deposit is also cut by a series of more discrete, larger (0.5 to 5 metres wide), banded epithermal veins. These veins typically exhibit classic space-filling epithermal textures with crustiform-colloform banding, cockade and bladed calcite (usually pseudo-morphed by quartz).

The alteration mineral assemblages within the host andesite consist of proximal intense silicification with fine disseminated sulphide (primarily pyrite and marcasite) within a broad illite-pyrite + silica halo, grading out into widespread propylitic (chlorite-epidote-calcite) alteration. Smectite (often as iron-rich celadonite) occurs high up in the system, grading downwards into illite. Sericite is also locally detected at depth. Rarer kaolinite has been observed in veins and fractures high up in the system. Carbonate alteration is usually present within the manganese-carbonate stockwork zone.

Mineralization at Fruta del Norte is dominantly associated with chalcedonic to crystalline quartz, manganese carbonates (manganite calcite with lesser kutnahorite and rhodochrosite), calcite, adularia, barite, marcasite, pyrite as well as subordinate sphalerite, galena, chalcocopyrite with trace tetrahedrite and other silver sulphosalts. Rare accessory minerals that have been identified include cinnabar, rhodonite, stibnite and arsenopyrite, pyrrhotite, hematite, acanthite and native silver.

The bulk of the gold is microscopic and is associated with quartz, carbonates and sulphides. Much of the gold is “free milling”, but the mineralization is moderately refractory, with approximately 40% of the gold locked in sulphides. Coarse visible gold is common, however, and is usually associated with higher grades. Individual gold grains range from discrete specks less than 0.1 millimetres to “broccoli-like” arborescent crystals more than ten millimetres across. Visible gold occurs in all mineralized zones, in quartz or carbonate, as well as within pyrite or silver sulphosalt clusters.

Exploration

The regional exploration program is currently focused on targets beneath the Suarez Formation cover within the pull-apart basin, where dilational faulting may have produced other epithermal systems of similar or greater tenor to Fruta del Norte. This exploration model, however, does not preclude exploration for other types of deposits within the Condor project.

Drilling

Drill campaigns completed project-wide from 1997 to the end of 2009 comprise 304 Core holes (119,841 metres). A total of 166 drill holes (83,895 metres) between 2006 and 2009 were completed in the Fruta del Norte deposit area, of which 128 are used to support reserve and resource estimation. Drill spacing varies from about 100 metres x 100 metres on the periphery of the deposit to approximately 50 x 25 metres spacing in the deposit core.

Core sizes produced varied according to the rig type; the majority of core, however, ranges from HQ (63.5 millimetres diameter) to NQ (47.6 millimetres) with lesser HQ3–NQ3 (drilled for geotechnical purposes), NTW (56 millimetres) and BTW (42 millimetres) sizes.

Drilling operations at Fruta del Norte involved rig set-ups at inclinations ranging between -45° and -84°, the majority of which were drilled from west to east (azimuth 90°). The bulk of the drill holes were collared west of the West Fault.

Sample intervals for Core drilling are typically a maximum sample length of two metres in un-mineralized lithologies and a maximum sample length of one metre in mineralized lithologies. Geological changes in the core such as major mineralization/alteration intensity and lithology changes were used as sample breaks, as were zones of core loss, and where drill size changed.

Sampling and Analysis

All strongly altered or epithermal mineralized intervals of core were sampled, with the exception of some intervals within the Suarez Formation once it was established that this material did not contain potentially economic levels of gold. Sampling always began at least five samples above the start of mineralization. Sample intervals were a maximum of two metres in unmineralized lithologies and a maximum of one metre in mineralized lithologies. Smaller samples were selected around high grade, visible gold-bearing veins, with a minimum sample length of 20 centimetres.

Geological changes in the core such as major mineralization/alteration intensity and lithology changes were used as sample breaks. Also, core size changes and any zones of core loss were used as sample breaks. Large discrete veins that might possibly be modelled or mined as separate structures were sampled separately.

All samples were originally cut in half using custom-made, gasoline engine-powered diamond core saws. These saws were recently changed to electric-powered saws. Each saw has sliding trays and customized core cradles sized for each core diameter in order to ensure a straight cut down the cut line and to minimize the loss of friable core during cutting.

Areas of very soft rock (e.g., fault gouge) are cut with a machete, using the side of the core channel to ensure a straight cut. Areas of very broken core (pieces less than one centimetre) were sampled using spoons.

Samples from the Fruta del Norte drilling program have been assayed by ALS Chemex and Inspectorate, which both maintain sample preparation facilities in Quito. Samples from ALS Chemex have been analyzed in its Vancouver, B.C. laboratory or, since January 1, 2007 at Lima, Peru. Inspectorate performs its analyses in Lima, Peru. Both laboratories are ISO 9001 accredited.

All of the samples were analyzed for gold. A multi-element geochemical package was also used, with re-assays for some elements which exceeded certain threshold values. As with the sample preparation, the assaying protocols used have varied somewhat over the course of the drilling program.

Gold was determined by fire assay with an inductively-coupled plasma-atomic emission spectroscopy ("ICP-AES") finish and by fire assay with an atomic absorption spectroscopy finish. If gold assays greater than 10 g/t were detected, then over-limit re-assays were completed using a fire assay with a gravimetric finish.

Multi-element analysis was completed using a 32- or 34-element package (including silver) with an aqua regia acid digestion and ICP-AES finish.

Security of Samples

Core trays were stored briefly at the drill rig (24 hour a day drilling schedule), before having a wooden lid nailed to the top for transportation to the Peñas exploration camp by Aurelian personnel. The trays have either been carried by Aurelian field workers, mules, on quad bikes or in light pickups.

Once at Las Peñas, the core is checked by geologists and stored in the core shed during the logging and sampling process. As soon as samples have been taken, they are sealed in plastic bags and rice sacks using single-use plastic cable ties and stored in a locked shed overnight. The Peñas camp has 24-hour security guard patrols (minimum two guards per shift, day and night) that monitor any activity in the core shed area. A professional security company has been retained for this purpose.

Until November 2006, sample transport to the road end was by canoe, with a minimum of two Aurelian personnel on each trip. After November 2006, the exploration camp was accessible by all-weather road and the canoes were no longer necessary. Samples were transported to Quito by Aurelian drivers in light trucks where the custody of the samples is handed over to laboratory.

Mineral Resource and Mineral Reserve Estimates

Refer to Kinross Mineral Reserves and Mineral Resources on pages 15 – 18 for quantity, grades and category. Assumptions are outlined in the Notes – 2009 Kinross Mineral Reserve and Mineral Resource Statements section commencing on page 18.

Mining and Milling Operations

There are no mining or milling operations at Fruta del Norte. Kinross is planning to prepare a feasibility study commencing in 2010.

Exploration and Development

Kinross plans to continue its exploration of Fruta del Norte in 2010 and has budgeted \$7.4 million for exploration activities. A total of \$44.1 million has been budgeted to upgrade mineral resources and support a feasibility study on the Fruta del Norte deposit in 2010.

Other Kinross Properties

Round Mountain, Nevada, United States

Kinross owns an undivided 50% interest in and operates the Round Mountain gold mine through its wholly-owned subsidiary Round Mountain Gold Corporation (“RMGC”). Two affiliates of Barrick collectively own the remaining 50% interest in the joint venture common operation known as the Smoky Valley Common Operation. Kinross acquired its interest in Round Mountain in January 2003. Detailed financial, production and operations information for Round Mountain is available in the MD&A.

The Round Mountain mine is located 96 kilometres north of Tonopah in Nye County, Nevada. The Smoky Valley Common Operation controls the mineral and surface rights covering approximately 26,676 hectares through ownership of 105 patented lode claim, four patented placer claims, and two leased patented lode claims, 3,387 unpatented lode claims, 12 unpatented mill site claims and 196 leased unpatented claims.

Mine production is subject to a net smelter return royalty ranging from 3.53% at gold prices of \$320 per ounce to 6.35% at gold prices of \$440 or more per ounce. During 2009, a total of \$16.2 million in royalties was paid. In addition, there is a 5% Net Proceeds of Minerals Tax payable in respect of production from Round Mountain.

The first gold production from the Round Mountain district occurred in 1906. The original Smoky Valley Common Operation was formed in 1975 to operate the mine and commercial production commenced in 1977. A series of ownership changes occurred which eventually led to the current 50-50 ownership by Barrick and Kinross.

The Round Mountain mine currently operates a conventional open pit that is approximately 2,700 metres long in the north-west, south-east direction and 1,700 metres wide. The operation uses conventional open-pit mining methods and recovers gold using four independent processing operations. These include crushed ore leaching (reusable pad), run-of-mine ore leaching (dedicated pad), milling and the gravity concentration circuit. Most of the ore is heap leached, with higher grade oxidized ores crushed and placed on the reusable pad. Lower grade ore, ore removed from the reusable leach pad and stockpiled ore that was previously leached are placed on the dedicated pad.

The site Plan of Operations and Comprehensive Reclamation Plan filed with the BLM and the Nevada Division of Environmental Protection (“NDEP”) have been approved for all current operational facilities. Annual updates of the Reclamation Plan are prepared to adjust for cost inflation and to take credit for concurrent reclamation activities and submitted to the BLM and NDEP. Kinross has posted letters of credit totalling approximately \$21.7 million in support of its share of site restoration costs as of December 31, 2009.

Round Mountain is currently in the process of permitting an expansion, which is expected to extend the mine life to 2016, with production expected to continue from the leach pad and stockpiled ore until 2022.

Exploration will continue in 2010 with the primary emphasis on the Manhattan area to the south of the Round Mountain mine. The program will consist of drilling at Salisbury Peak and South Manhattan, near Manhattan and Stibnite Hill, which is north of the Round Mountain Mine. Generative work to identify new targets will consist of geologic mapping, geophysical survey and geochemical surveys.

La Coipa, Chile

Kinross owns a 100% interest in the La Coipa mine, following the completion of an asset swap transaction with Goldcorp on December 21, 2007 pursuant to which Kinross acquired the 50% interest previously owned by the operator Goldcorp. Kinross acquired its initial 50% interest in January 2003. Detailed financial, production and operational information for the La Coipa mine is available in the MD&A.

The La Coipa mine, located approximately 1,000 kilometres north of Santiago in Copiapo Province, consists of six deposits (notable deposits being Ladera-Farellon, Coipa Norte, Brecha Norte, Can Can, Chimberos and Puren), which are operated by Compania Minera Mantos de Oro ("MDO"), a Chilean subsidiary of Kinross, except for Puren which is operated through a joint venture between MDO and Codelco-Chile, with participation interests of 65% and 35%, respectively. The La Coipa mine consists of approximately 7,500 hectares of mineral claims. In addition, Kinross holds a 50% interest in CMLC which covers approximately 7,294 hectares in the area surrounding the La Coipa mine.

No royalties are payable on gold and silver produced from the La Coipa mine properties. A 35% withholding tax is applicable on all dividends disbursed to foreign shareholders, less the corporate income tax already paid. In addition, mining tax is applicable, the specific applicable tax rate being based on a progressive scale that ranges from 0.5 to 5% based on the volume of sales made converted into metric tonnes of copper.

The La Coipa area was identified as a potential precious metals prospect almost a century ago, but did not receive much attention until the 1970s when several companies began to actively explore the area. MDO began drilling in the La Coipa area in 1984 and has completed 361,570 metres of drilling since then, consisting of 1,815 RC holes and 212 Core holes.

The La Coipa mine currently operates four open pits (Ladera Ferellon, Coipa Norte, Brecha Norte and Puren). Mining is carried out with one hydraulic shovel, front-end loaders, diesel rotary drills and 154-tonne trucks. Ore is crushed then ground in a circuit incorporating a SAG mill with a pebble crusher and two ball mills with a throughput of 16,000 tonnes per day. The ground ore is leached, then filtered and washed to separate out the tailings, and the solution is passed through a Merrill-Crowe plan. The precipitate is then sent to the refinery.

The La Coipa mine received an ISO 14001 certification in July 2002, which was confirmed in 2006. There are comprehensive management systems and procedures in place for environment, health and safety. The most significant environmental issue relates to the mercury contained in ore, which after processing and placement in the tailings facility resulted in mercury contamination of the Quebrada La Coipa Aquifer. In the mid 1990s, mercury and cyanide (Hg/CN) from tailings seepage were detected in control wells. MDO made appropriate notifications to responsible authorities and took remedial measures including installation of a groundwater treatment plant at the leading edge of the groundwater management area in 2000. During 2005 and 2006, geochemical investigations in the tailings were completed to characterize the source of the mercury and groundwater hydrochemical and hydrological models were updated for the Quebrada La Coipa Aquifer. The recommendation resulting from a workshop held in 2005 with various consultants and experts was to construct two concrete cut-off walls in the valley at the toe of the tailings areas to isolate the contaminated water and control the Hg/CN migration downstream in the valley. Construction of both cut-off walls was completed in 2007. Water treatment pilot plant tests, to treat water isolated between the concrete walls, were initiated in 2008. A seepage model for the tailings facility was developed that indicated tailings pore water will drain for about 80 years after the operation closes. During that period, a water treatment plant will treat the seepage water to acceptable levels. The effluent from the water treatment plant is planned to be injected into the groundwater system. In addition, the water treatment plant at the leading edge of the groundwater management area will continue to operate until the mercury concentration is reduced to acceptable levels. MDO voluntarily provided the remediation project to the regional regulatory authority with a Declaration of Environmental Impact ("DIA"), which DIA was approved on August 31, 2007.

Based on the reserves and resources at La Coipa as at December 31, 2009, the production plan has been extended to 2015.

Kinross believes that there is potential for additional reserves and resources to be discovered near the present mine site. In 2010, MDO plans to continue exploring the Cominor property surrounding the La Coipa mine as it is believed to be an area of high potential for locating additional reserves for the MDO plant.

Kettle River – Buckhorn, Washington State, United States

Kinross owns a 100% interest in the Buckhorn Mine following the acquisition of Crown Resources in August 2006. Crown is a wholly-owned subsidiary of Kinross and is the operator of the Buckhorn Mine. Echo Bay Minerals Company is a wholly-owned subsidiary of Kinross and is the operator of the Kettle River Mill and also holds mineral properties in northern Washington State. Detailed financial, production and operational information for the Buckhorn Mine is available in the MD&A.

The Buckhorn Mine is located in the Myers Creek Mining District of north-eastern Okanogan county, Washington, approximately 77 kilometres by road from the town of Republic, Washington. The Project consists of approximately 4,700 hectares of contiguous patented and unpatented lode mining claims, mill site claims and state mining leases.

No royalties are payable on gold and silver produced from the mine. In 2006 Kinross exercised its right to buy-out the royalties on gold and silver production that had been retained by Newmont Mining Corporation.

Prospecting in the early 1900s lead to the development of several mines which produced minor amounts of copper, gold, silver and iron ore up to 1950. Several of these occur within the limits of the project. Exploration continued sporadically with concerted campaigns by large companies in the 1960s and 1970s. Systematic gold exploration began on the current property in 1988 and discovery of significant gold mineralization occurred during this year. Since 1988 a total of 956 holes, or 111,270 meters of drilling, have been completed on the property. These consist of 484 RC, 348 Core, 109 Airtrack and 15 Percussion holes.

The Buckhorn Mine is a three portal access underground mine which will produce material from two primary zones, the South-West and Gold Bowl zones. The primary mining method employed is cut and fill and the target production rate is 900 tonnes per day. The Buckhorn Mine ore is trucked 77 kilometers to the Kettle River Mill where the ore is crushed and then goes through a rod and ball mill and floatation circuit before being treated by Carbon-In-Leach. Throughput is capable of 1,800 tonnes per day. With excess capacity, the Kettle River Mill occasionally processes material from other mining companies in the western United States and Canada.

The environmental aspects of the project have been studied extensively since 1991 and on September 25, 2006 the Washington Department of Ecology issued a Final Supplemental Environmental Impact Statement and construction commenced. All permits necessary to commence commercial mining operations were issued by the end of 2007.

In 2008, the Buckhorn mine commenced gold production and reached its 900 tonnes per day capacity in July 2009.

Based on the existing proven and probable reserves at Buckhorn, the mine is scheduled to cease production in 2016. However, Kinross believes that there is potential for additional reserves and resources to be discovered near the present mine site.

Lobo Marte, Chile

Kinross holds a 100% interest in the Lobo Marte project, having acquired a 40% interest in the project from Anglo in 2008, and the remaining 60% interest from Teck in early 2009.

The Lobo Marte project currently comprises two open-pit minable gold ore deposits, located approximately seven kilometres apart, in Region III of Northern Chile, approximately 650 kilometres north of Santiago and 100

kilometres east of Copiapó. The project lies approximately 65 kilometres south of Kinross' La Coipa operation and 60 kilometres north of the Maricunga mine.

The Lobo Marte project includes 38 concessions that are either granted (33) or under application (5) covering a total of 31,637 hectares in a single contiguous block. Concessions are held in the name of Minera. Kinross has two established easements for the construction of roads, stockpiles, process facilities, camp, support facilities, water extraction and associated pipelines. Additional rights will be required to support project development.

The project has a 1.75% net smelter return royalty on 60% of future production, payable when the gold price is US\$760 per ounce or more. Kinross' obligation to make royalty payments will cease when an aggregate amount of US\$40 million has been paid.

The Marte deposit was discovered in 1982 through a program of regional soil sampling, geophysical surveys and geological mapping. The Lobo deposit was discovered through regional geochemical surveys in 1981-1982. The Marte deposit was mined by a joint venture of Anglo American and Cominco from 1988 – 1992; a total of 3.78 million tonnes of ore grading 1.51 grams per tonne of gold, 0.3 million tons of low-grade mineralization and 4.7 million tonnes of waste were mined.

The project is located within a biological corridor established between two sectors of the Nevado Tres Cruces National Park, created to preserve and protect the vegetation of the desert steppes and the Andean salars (salt lakes). In addition, this area has been designated a Ramsar site (an international agreement to preserve wetlands). Kinross has commenced work on an environmental impact study (EIA) for the Project which includes a biophysical and socioeconomic baseline study. Because of the recognized environmental importance of these areas, the Baseline Study for the EIA is critical to the development of the project. Areas to be addressed include proper management of water extraction, disposition of waste material, heap leach facilities and other installations that interact with the environment.

Prior to 2009, a total of 153 Core and RC drill holes (34,685 meters) were completed at Lobo, with an additional 211 Core and RC drill holes (26,182 meters) at Marte. During 2009, an additional 8,411 meters of Core drilling and 2,050 meters of RC drilling were completed at Lobo and Marte. For 2010, 8,500 meters of Core drilling and 7,500 meters of RC drilling are planned.

Significant near-deposit exploration potential remains in the project. The Lobo deposit remains open at depth, to the northeast, and to the southeast, outside of pit limits. Mineralization in a fault down-dropped block to the northeast of the Marte pit shell requires additional drill testing as do two satellite pits, Marte Northwest and Marte Southwest. A number of greenfields targets have been identified that have characteristics that suggest the presence of additional centres of porphyry–epithermal mineralization. In addition, potential remains for the identification of new mineralized zones under gravel and post mineral cover.

Kinross has completed a prefeasibility study at the Lobo Marte project which confirms the viability of a 47,000 tonnes per day open heap leach operation incorporating SART technology, and identifies proven and probable mineral reserves of approximately 5.6 million ounces of gold at an average grade of 1.22 grams of gold per tonne. The study estimates initial capital expenditures of approximately \$575-650 million and operating costs of approximately \$11.50-12.50 per tonne, with annual production estimated to be approximately 350,000-400,000 gold equivalent ounces for the first five years of full production. Project infrastructure would include an upgraded powerline providing 16 MW of power, and a 1,500 person camp to accommodate construction and phase into operations. Once operational, the project is expected to employ approximately 700 people, including permanent contractors.

The prefeasibility study is based on estimated heap leach recovery rates of 60-70%. Optimization opportunities and options that are being explored include potential recovery improvements through the addition of high pressure grinding rolls, plus potential improvements to plant layout and infrastructure.

Kinross is currently targeting first gold production at Lobo Marte between the third quarter of 2013 and mid-2014. A more definitive timetable will be developed as part of the project feasibility study and will depend,

among other things, on discussions with government authorities regarding estimated permitting timeframes and projected construction schedules.

In 2010, pending permit approvals, Kinross plans to follow up on regional exploration opportunities and undertake further infill and engineering drilling to support a feasibility study, which is targeted for completion in the first quarter of 2011.

RISK FACTORS

The business and operations of Kinross are subject to risks. In addition to considering the other information in this Annual Information Form, you should consider carefully the following factors in deciding whether to invest in securities of Kinross. If any of these risks occur, or if other risks not currently anticipated or fully appreciated occur, the business and prospects of Kinross could be materially adversely affected, which could have a material adverse effect on Kinross' valuation and the trading price for its shares.

The success of Kinross is dependent on gold and silver prices.

The profitability of Kinross' operations is significantly affected by changes in the market price of gold and silver. Gold and silver prices fluctuate on a daily basis and are affected by numerous factors beyond the control of Kinross. The price of gold and/or silver can be subject to volatile price movements and future serious price declines could cause continued commercial production to be impractical. Industry factors that may affect the price of gold and silver include: industrial and jewellery demand; the level of demand for the metal as an investment; central bank lending, sales and purchases of the metal; speculative trading; and costs of and levels of global production by producers of the metal. Gold and silver prices may also be affected by macroeconomic factors, including: expectations of the future rate of inflation; the strength of, and confidence in, the US dollar (the currency in which the price of the metal is generally quoted) and other currencies; interest rates; and global or regional political or economic uncertainties.

If the world market price of gold and/or silver were to drop and the prices realized by Kinross on gold and/or silver sales were to decrease significantly and remain at such a level for any substantial period, Kinross' profitability and cash flow would be negatively affected. In such circumstances, Kinross may determine that it is not economically feasible to continue commercial production at some or all of its operations or the development of some or all of its current projects, which could have an adverse impact on Kinross' financial performance and results of operations. Under such circumstances, Kinross might curtail or suspend some or all of its exploration activities, with the result that depleted reserves are not replaced. In addition, the market value of Kinross' gold and/or silver inventory might be reduced and existing reserves might be reduced to the extent that ore cannot be mined and processed economically at the prevailing prices. Furthermore, certain of Kinross' mineral projects include copper, which is similarly subject to price volatility based on factors beyond Kinross' control.

Kinross' operations and profitability are affected by shortages and price volatility of other commodities and equipment.

Kinross is dependent on various commodities (such as diesel fuel, electricity, steel, concrete and cyanide) and equipment to conduct its mining operations and development projects. The shortage of such commodities, equipment and parts or a significant increase of their cost could have a material adverse effect on the Company's ability to carry out its operations and therefore limit, or increase the cost of, production. The Company is also dependent on access to and supply of water to carry out its mining operations, and such access and supply may not be readily available, especially at the Company's operations in Chile. Market prices of commodities can be subject to volatile price movements which can be material, occur over short periods of time and are affected by factors that are beyond Kinross' control. If the costs of certain commodities consumed or otherwise used in connection with Kinross' operations and development projects were to increase significantly, and remain at such levels for a substantial period, Kinross may determine that it is not economically feasible to continue commercial production at some or all of Kinross' operations or the development of some or all of Kinross' current projects, which could have an adverse impact on Kinross' financial performance and results of operations.

Kinross' future plans rely heavily on mine development projects.

The Company's ability to increase present gold and silver production levels is dependent in part on the successful development of new mines and/or expansion of existing mining operations. Major development projects for Kinross include the addition of the third ball at Paracatu, Lobo Marte, Fruta del Norte, Cerro Casale, the expansion at Maricunga, the extension of mine life at Round Mountain and the Fort Knox heap leach project in Alaska. Development projects rely on the accuracy of predicted factors including: capital and operating costs; metallurgical recoveries; reserve estimates; and future metal prices. Development projects are also subject to accurate feasibility studies, the acquisition of surface or land rights and the issuance of necessary governmental permits. As a result of the substantial expenditures involved, developments are prone to material cost overruns versus budget. The project development schedules are also dependent on obtaining the governmental approvals necessary for the operation of a project. The timeline to obtain these government approvals is often beyond the control of Kinross. It is not unusual in the mining industry for new mining operations to experience unexpected problems during the start-up phase, resulting in delays and requiring more capital than anticipated.

Changes to the extensive regulatory and environmental rules and regulations to which Kinross is subject could have a material adverse effect on Kinross' future operations.

Kinross' mining and processing operations and exploration activities are subject to various laws and regulations governing the protection of the environment, exploration, development, production, exports, taxes, labour standards, occupational health, waste disposal, toxic substances, mine safety, and other matters. The legal and political circumstances outside of North America cause these risks to be different from, and in many cases, greater than, comparable risks associated with operations within North America. New laws and regulations, amendments to existing laws and regulations, or more stringent enforcement of existing laws and regulations could have a material adverse impact on Kinross, increase costs, cause a reduction in levels of production and/or delay or prevent the development of new mining properties. Compliance with these laws and regulations is part of the business and requires significant expenditures. Changes in regulations and laws, including those pertaining to the rights of leaseholders or the payment of royalties, net profit interest or similar amounts, could adversely affect Kinross' operations or substantially increase the costs associated with those operations. Kinross is unable to predict what legislation or revisions may be proposed that might affect its business or when any such proposals, if enacted, might become effective.

The operations of Kinross require licenses and permits from various governmental authorities to exploit its properties, and the process for obtaining licenses and permits from governmental authorities often takes an extended period of time and is subject to numerous delays and uncertainties. Such licenses and permits are subject to change in various circumstances. Failure to comply with applicable laws and regulations may result in injunctions, fines, suspensions or revocation of permits and licenses and other penalties. There can be no assurance that Kinross has been or will be at all times in compliance with all such laws and regulations and with its licenses and permits or that Kinross has all required licenses and permits in connection with its operations. Kinross may be unable to timely obtain or maintain in the future all necessary licenses and permits that may be required to explore and develop its properties, commence construction or operation of mining facilities and properties under exploration or development or to maintain continued operations that economically justify the cost.

Kinross' exploration programs in North America are subject to federal, state, and local environmental regulations. For example, in the U.S., some of Kinross' mining claims are on United States public lands and The United States Forest Service (the "USFS") and BLM extensively regulate mining operations conducted on public lands. Most operations involving the exploration for minerals are subject to laws and regulations relating to exploration procedures, safety precautions, employee health and safety, air quality standards, pollution of stream and fresh water sources, odour, noise, dust, and other environmental protection controls adopted by federal, state, and local governmental authorities as well as the rights of adjoining property owners. In addition, in order to conduct mining operations, Kinross will be required to obtain performance bonds related to environmental permit compliance. These bonds may take the form of cash deposits, letters of credit provided through the banking syndicate line of credit, or, if available, could be provided by outside insurance policies. Kinross will be required to prepare and present to federal, state, or local authorities data pertaining to the effect or impact that any proposed exploration or mining activity may have upon the environment and propose mitigation to decrease environmental impacts. All requirements imposed by any such authorities may be costly and time-consuming and may delay commencement or continuation of exploration, mine development or production operations.

The reserve and resource figures of Kinross are only estimates and are subject to revision based on developing information.

The figures for reserves and resources presented herein, including the anticipated tonnages and grades that will be achieved or the indicated level of recovery that will be realized, are estimates and no assurances can be given as to their accuracy. Such estimates are, in large part, based on interpretations of geological data obtained from drill holes and other sampling techniques. Actual mineralization or formations may be different from those predicted. It may also take many years from the initial phase of drilling before production is possible, and during that time the economic feasibility of exploiting a deposit may change. Reserve and resource estimates are materially dependent on prevailing gold and silver prices and the cost of recovering and processing minerals at the individual mine sites. Market fluctuations in the price of gold or silver or increases in recovery costs, as well as various short-term operating factors, may cause a mining operation to be unprofitable in any particular accounting period.

Prolonged declines in the market price of gold and/or silver may render reserves containing relatively lower grades of gold and/or silver mineralization uneconomic to exploit and could reduce materially Kinross' reserves and resources. Should such reductions occur, material write downs of Kinross' investment in mining properties or the discontinuation of development or production might be required, and there could be material delays in the development of new projects, increased net losses and reduced cash flow. The estimates of mineral reserves and resources attributable to a specific property are based on accepted engineering and evaluation principles. The estimated amount of contained gold and silver in proven and probable mineral reserves does not necessarily represent an estimate of a fair market value of the evaluated properties.

There are numerous uncertainties inherent in estimating quantities of mineral reserves and resources. The estimates in this Annual Information Form are based on various assumptions relating to gold prices and exchange rates during the expected life of production, mineralization of the area to be mined, the projected cost of mining, and the results of additional planned development work. Actual future production rates and amounts, revenues, taxes, operating expenses, environmental and regulatory compliance expenditures, development expenditures, and recovery rates may vary substantially from those assumed in the estimates. Any significant change in these assumptions, including changes that result from variances between projected and actual results, could result in material downward revision to current estimates.

Kinross' operations may be adversely affected by changing political, legal and economic conditions.

Kinross has mining and exploration operations in various regions of the world, including the United States, Brazil, Chile, Ecuador and the Russian Federation and such operations are exposed to various levels of political, economic, and other risks and uncertainties. These risks and uncertainties vary from country to country and include, but are not limited to: terrorism; hostage taking; extreme fluctuations in currency exchange rates; high rates of inflation; labour unrest; the risks of civil unrest; expropriation and nationalization; renegotiation or nullification of existing concessions, licenses, permits and contracts; illegal mining; changes to policies and regulations impacting the mining sector; restrictions on foreign exchange and repatriation; and changing political conditions, currency controls, and governmental regulations that favour or require the awarding of contracts to local contractors or require foreign contractors to employ citizens of, or purchase supplies from, a particular jurisdiction.

Future political and economic conditions in countries in which Kinross operates may result in these governments adopting different policies respecting foreign investment, and development and ownership of mineral resources. Any changes in such policies may result in changes in laws affecting ownership of assets, foreign investment, mining exploration and development, taxation, rates of exchange, gold sales, environmental protection, labour relations, price controls, repatriation of income, and return of capital, which may affect both the ability of Kinross to undertake exploration and development activities in respect of future properties in the manner currently contemplated, as well as its ability to continue to explore, develop, and operate those properties to which it has rights relating to exploration, development, and operation. Future governments in these countries may adopt substantially different policies, which might extend to, as an example, expropriation of assets.

Tax regimes in the countries in which Kinross operates may be subject to differing interpretations and are subject to frequent change. Kinross' interpretation of taxation law as applied to its transactions and activities may not coincide with that of the tax authorities. As a result, transactions may be challenged by tax authorities and Kinross' operations may be assessed, which could result in significant additional taxes, penalties and interest.

Kinross is subject to hazards and risks associated with exploration and mining activities and insurance may be insufficient to cover these risks.

The operations of Kinross are subject to the hazards and risks normally incidental to exploration, development, and production activities of precious metals mining properties, any of which could result in damage to life or property, environmental damage and possible legal liability for such damage. The activities of Kinross may be subject to prolonged disruptions due to weather conditions depending on the location of operations in which Kinross has interests. Hazards and risks, such as unusual or unexpected formations, faults and other geologic structures, rock bursts, pressures, cave-ins, flooding, pit wall failures, ground and slope failures and inventory theft, could have an adverse impact on Kinross' operations. Severe weather conditions, including those resulting from global climate change, may adversely impact Kinross' operations. For example, a significant and prolonged increase in temperatures near Kinross' Kupol mine could result in the melting of the ice road which leads in and out of the Kupol mine or could cause ground instability at the mining operations. At the Paracatu mine, a significant increase in rainfall could result in flooding, which may disrupt mining operations.

Further, few mining properties that are explored are ultimately developed into producing mines. Major expenses are required to establish reserves by drilling and to construct mining and processing facilities. Large amounts of capital are frequently required to purchase necessary equipment. Delays due to equipment malfunction or inadequacy may adversely affect Kinross' results of operations. It is impossible to ensure that the current or proposed exploration programs on properties in which Kinross has an interest will result in profitable commercial mining operations.

Mining, processing, development, and exploration activities depend, to one degree or another, on adequate infrastructure. Reliable roads, bridges, power sources, and water supply are important determinants which affect capital and operating costs. Lack of such infrastructure or unusual or infrequent weather phenomena, sabotage, terrorism, government, or other interference in the maintenance or provision of such infrastructure could adversely affect Kinross' operations, financial condition, and results of operations.

Available insurance does not cover all the potential risks associated with a mining company's operations. Kinross may also be unable to maintain insurance to cover insurable risks at economically feasible premiums, and insurance coverage may not be available in the future or may not be adequate to cover any resulting loss. Moreover, insurance against risks such as the validity and ownership of unpatented mining claims and mill sites and environmental pollution or other hazards as a result of exploration and production is not generally available to Kinross or to other companies in the mining industry on acceptable terms. As a result, Kinross might become subject to liability for environmental damage or other hazards for which it is completely or partially uninsured or for which it elects not to insure because of premium costs or other reasons. Losses from these events may cause Kinross to incur significant costs that could have a material adverse effect upon its financial condition and results of operations.

If Kinross does not develop additional mineral reserves, it may not be able to sustain future operations.

Because mines have limited lives, Kinross must continually replace and expand its mineral reserves as they are depleted by production at its operations in order to maintain or grow its total mineral reserve base. The life-of-mine estimates included in this Annual Information Form for each of Kinross' material properties are based on a number of factors and assumptions and may prove to be incorrect. Kinross' ability to maintain or increase its annual production of gold and silver will significantly depend on its ability to bring new mines into production and to expand mineral reserves at existing mines. Once a site with mineralization is discovered, it may take several years from the initial phases of drilling until production is possible, during which time the economic feasibility of production may change. Substantial expenditures are required to establish mineral reserves and to construct mining and processing facilities. As a result of these uncertainties, there is no assurance that current or future exploration programs will be successful. There is a risk that depletion of reserves will not be offset by discoveries. As a result, the reserve base of Kinross may decline if reserves are mined without adequate replacement and Kinross may not be able to sustain production beyond the current mine lives, based on current production rates.

The mineral resources of Kinross may not be economically developable, in which case Kinross may never recover its expenditures for exploration and/or development.

Mineral resources that are not mineral reserves do not have demonstrated economic viability. Due to the uncertainty of measured, indicated or inferred mineral resources, these mineral resources may never be upgraded to proven and probable mineral reserves. Measured, indicated and inferred mineral resources are not recognized by the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission and U.S. investors are cautioned not to assume that any part of mineral deposits in these categories will ever be converted into reserves or recovered.

Kinross is subject to risks related to environmental liability, including liability for environmental damages caused by mining activities prior to ownership by Kinross and reclamation costs and related liabilities.

Mining, like many other extractive natural resource industries, is subject to potential risks and liabilities associated with the effects on the environment resulting from mineral exploration and production. Environmental liability may result from mining activities conducted by others prior to the ownership of a property by Kinross. The payment of such liabilities would reduce funds otherwise available and could have a material adverse effect on Kinross. Should Kinross be unable to fully fund the cost of remedying an environmental problem, Kinross might be required to suspend operations or enter into interim compliance measures pending completion of the required remedy, which could have a material adverse effect on the operations and business of Kinross.

Kinross is generally required to submit for government approval a reclamation plan and to pay for the reclamation of its mine sites upon the completion of mining activities. Kinross estimates the net present value of future cash outflows for reclamation costs under CICA Handbook Section 3110 at \$269.8 million as at December 31, 2009 based on information available as of that date. Any significant increases over the current estimates of these costs could have a material adverse effect on Kinross.

There are currency, tax and permitting risks related to Kinross' Russian operations, which could adversely affect those operations.

Kinross is subject to the considerations and risks of operating in the Russian Federation. Certain currency conversion risks exist in the Russian economy.

Russian laws, particularly licensing and permitting requirements and taxation laws, have been in a state of change and new laws may be given retroactive effect. Russian laws may contemplate implementing regulations that may not yet exist which poses regulatory risks and leaves discretion to the relevant authorities. Further, the existing licensing requirements are subject to frequent change and inconsistent interpretation by various authorities.

Licenses and permits, including construction, environmental and operational permits required from time to time in respect of a mineral project, may not be obtained on a basis consistent with our current expectations. Further, ambiguity exists in regard to the interpretation of licenses and permits and the application of rules and regulations in regard to mining activities and associated environmental requirements in the Russian Federation. Russian regulatory authorities may exercise considerable discretion in the timing of license issuance and renewal, and the monitoring of licensees' compliance with license terms. Requirements imposed by these authorities may be costly and time-consuming and may result in delays in the commencement or continuation of exploration or production operations. The suspension, limitation in scope or revocation of a significant license or the levying of substantial fines could have a material adverse effect on our operations in the Russian Federation and Kinross' financial results. In such circumstances, the construction, development and mining activities may be significantly and adversely affected.

Additionally, the Russian authorities and the Russian court system may not be predictable. Independence of the judiciary system from political influences in Russian cannot be assured. Challenges to foreign companies' asset ownership, operations and regulatory compliance may be brought by Russian authorities for reasons that cannot be predictable. It is also not unusual in the context of dispute resolution in the Russian Federation for parties to use the uncertainty in the Russian legal environment as leverage in business negotiations.

The Russian Federal Strategic Investments Law and amendments to the Russian Subsoil Law could have adverse effects on Kinross' Russian operations.

On May 7, 2008, the federal laws "On the Procedure for Foreign Investment in Companies of Strategic Significance for State Defence and Security" (the "Strategic Investments Law") and "On Amendments to the Subsoil Law" (the "Law on Amendments") came into effect. The Strategic Investments Law sets forth the criteria whereby certain transactions entered into by a foreign investor require prior approval from the Russian Federation ("RF") authorities. Such approval will be required if: (1) the Russian Company ("RusCo") is engaged in activities which are defined as strategic for the purposes of national security and defence, and (2) where a RusCo holds rights to a "strategic deposit" (such as Kupol), a potential foreign investor directly or indirectly obtains 10% or more of the voting shares of or there exists some other mechanism for control over (such as a management agreement) the RusCo. These laws also apply to transactions and agreements entered into outside of the RF if such transactions or agreements result in the control over RusCo.

The Strategic Investments Law designates geological study and/or mining work in subsoil areas of federal significance as strategic activity. According to the Law on Amendments, subsoil areas of federal significance, among other things, include those that contain according to the records of the state balance of mineral reserves as of January 1, 2006, gold reserves of 50 tonnes (or 1,763,698 ounces) or more and/or 500,000 tonnes or more of copper. The law does not designate deposits containing silver in the list of deposits that are deemed "strategic". In accordance with the Law on Amendments, the list of the subsoil areas of federal significance was published on March 5, 2009 by the Ministry of Natural Resources ("MNR") in an official publication approved by the RF. The Kupol deposit was listed as a strategic deposit as its gold reserves exceed 50 tonnes.

Although the Kupol deposit is on the strategic deposit list, Kinross believes that these laws do not have a material impact on its current ownership and operation of the Kupol mine as it was obtained prior to the enactment of the Strategic Investments Law and the Law on the Amendments. However, in the event that Kinross increases its ownership interest in Kupol, such transaction would be subject to applicable governmental approvals under the Strategic Investments Law. Further, a foreign purchaser of 10% or more of Kinross' ownership interest will be required to obtain applicable governmental approvals.

Certain procedures, rules and regulations have yet to be promulgated under the Strategic Investments Law and the Law on Amendments. Further, some provisions of these laws are unclear, making their application and interpretation difficult in certain areas and thus it may not be possible to predict with certainty how these laws will be applied in practice.

Under the new laws and RF Government Resolution no. 697 dated September 16, 2008, combined license holders (such as CMGC with respect to the Kupol East and Kupol West licenses) are required to seek approval from the RF Government prior to commissioning mining operations on a strategic deposit under a combined license. The RF government is entitled to terminate the combined license after completion of geological surveys, if 50 or more tonnes (a "strategic deposit") are discovered during the exploration stage with respect to either of these deposits. If such approval is not obtained or the license is terminated, CMGC will not be able to mine under the Kupol East and Kupol West combined exploration and mining licenses after completion of geological surveys, if 50 or more tonnes (a "strategic deposit") are discovered during the exploration stage with respect to either of the deposits. Although the RF Government has granted such approval to other foreign parties, there can be no assurance that such approval to mine will be granted to the license holder by the RF Government or what the terms of such approval might be. In the case of a withdrawal of a license, the RF Government is required to reimburse the expenses (including finance expenses, but subject to a cap on interest) incurred in respect of the geological study of the subsoil plot and any tender fee amount paid by the license holder plus a termination fee (in the case of a gold deposit, the termination fee is equal to 30% of the amount of reimbursable expenses). In addition, the license holder may be paid a finder's fee by the RF Government in its discretion.

There are political and economic risks relating to Kinross' activities in Ecuador, which could adversely affect Kinross' activities in Ecuador.

Kinross may be negatively affected by political uncertainty and economic instability, or by unanticipated legislative, regulatory or public policy initiatives, in Ecuador in the future.

On January 29, 2009, Ecuador's new mining law took effect. However, the regulations pursuant to the new law, and the form of exploitation contract to which private mining companies will be subject, have yet to be finalized.

There are risks that, should they materialize, could create a situation adverse to the Company or which could undermine the ability of mining companies to operate successfully in the country. These risks include, but are not limited to, the possibility that: (1) the mining law is amended or administered in a manner which renders the development of the FDN deposit, or large-scale mining in general, uneconomic; (2) the Company is unsuccessful in entering into an "exploitation contract" with the government, as required under the mining law; (3) the interpretation and the implementation of the mining law regulations, and/or related permitting requirements, make it difficult or impossible to proceed with the development of the FDN deposit on an economic basis; (4) a deterioration in Ecuador's economy and public finances, or other unforeseen matters, causes the government to introduce fiscal measures which make it difficult or impossible for the Company to raise or justify the investment of capital necessary to successfully develop the FDN deposit; (5) the government decides to replace the dollar as the official currency of Ecuador with an alternative or secondary currency and introduces an exchange system and capital controls that make it difficult for international companies to operate in Ecuador; and (6) legal challenges, such as the March 2009 constitutional challenge to the mining law initiated by CONAIE (the Confederacion de Nacionadlidades Indigenas Del Ecuador), result in court rulings that find the mining law to be unconstitutional or that require substantive amendments to the mining law that are adverse to the future development of the mining industry. If the Ecuadorian government continues its existing default or subsequently defaults on additional foreign debt obligations, this could have negative implications for the country's economy and investment climate, although Kinross does not anticipate it will impact the implementation and application of the new mining law.

Title and access to Kinross' properties may be uncertain and subject to risks.

The validity of mining claims which constitute most of Kinross' property holdings may, in certain cases, be uncertain and is subject to being contested. Kinross' titles, particularly title to undeveloped properties, may be defective.

Certain of Kinross' United States mineral rights consist of unpatented mining claims. Unpatented mining claims are unique property interests, and are generally considered to be subject to greater title risk than other real property interests because the validity of unpatented mining claims is often uncertain and is always subject to challenges of third parties or contests by the United States government. The validity of an unpatented mining claim, in terms of both its location and its maintenance, is dependent on strict compliance with a complex body of United States federal and state statutory and decisional law. In addition, there are few public records that definitively control the issues of validity and ownership of unpatented mining claims. The General Mining Law of the United States includes provisions for obtaining a patent, which is essentially equivalent to fee title, for an unpatented mining claim upon compliance with certain statutory requirements (including the discovery of a valuable mineral deposit). However, a Congressional moratorium against the filing of new applications for a mineral patent is currently in effect and is expected to remain in effect.

Certain of Kinross' mining properties are subject to various royalty and land payment agreements. Failure by Kinross to meet its payment obligations under these agreements could result in the loss of related property interests.

Certain of Kinross' properties may be subject to the rights or the asserted rights of various community stakeholders, including indigenous people. The presence of community stakeholders may also impact on the Company's ability to develop or operate its mining properties. In certain circumstances, consultation with such stakeholders may be required and the outcome may affect the Company's ability to develop or operate its mining properties.

For example in Brazil, there is legislation requiring the government to grant title to the Quilombola people who either still occupy their traditional lands or who are found, through a process administered by the Instituto Nacional de Colonizacao e Reforma Agraria (INCRA), to have rights to certain lands. There are Quilombola communities in the Paracatu area. An INCRA report issued on March 6, 2009 concluded that the Machadinho Quilombola community has rights to 2,217.52 hectares of land in the area, a portion of which (900 hectares) would be affected by the operation of the planned new tailings facility at Paracatu.

As a result the Company is negotiating an agreement with the Machadinho Quilombola Association (AQUIMA) to compensate the affected community for the tailings facility construction in the lands that once were occupied by their ancestors. The Company expects that the negotiations will be successful and that it will fully compensate the Quilombola community, but there remains a risk that such agreement is not concluded on a timely basis which may adversely impact on the Company's plan to complete the construction of and operate a new tailings facility in the desired location under its current timetable. While the Company obtained an installation permit to construct the new tailings facility, it also requires an operating permit issued by a state environmental protection agency to operate the new tailings facility. In order to obtain the operating permit, Kinross will need to acquire the necessary ownership rights for the remaining areas.

The installation permit is the subject of an administrative appeal by the state public attorney against the state environmental protection agency that issued it. The Company expects the subject agency to prevail on such appeal. However, there is a risk that such agreement or appeal will not be concluded or successful, respectively, which may adversely impact on the Company's plan to complete the construction of and operate a new tailings facility in the desired location under its current timetable.

Numerous other companies compete in the mining industry, some of which may have greater resources and technical capacity than Kinross and, as a result, Kinross may be unable to effectively compete, which could have a material adverse effect on Kinross' future operations.

The mineral exploration and mining business is competitive in all of its phases. Kinross competes with numerous other companies and individuals, including competitors with greater financial, technical and other resources than Kinross, in the search for and the acquisition of attractive mineral properties. The ability of Kinross to operate successfully in the future will depend not only on its ability to develop its present properties, but also on its ability to select and acquire suitable producing properties or prospects for mineral exploration. Kinross may be unable to compete successfully with its competitors in acquiring such properties or prospects on terms it considers acceptable, if at all.

Internal controls provide no absolute assurances as to reliability of financial reporting and statement preparation, and ongoing evaluation may identify areas in need of improvement.

Kinross has invested resources to document and assess its system of internal controls over financial reporting and it is continuing its evaluation of such internal controls. Internal controls over financial reporting are procedures designed to provide reasonable assurance that transactions are properly authorized, assets are safeguarded against unauthorized or improper use, and transactions are properly recorded and reported. A control system, no matter how well designed and operated, can provide only reasonable, not absolute, assurance with respect to the reliability of financial reporting and financial statement preparation.

Kinross is required to satisfy the requirement of Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 (the "Sarbanes-Oxley Act"), which requires an annual assessment by management of the effectiveness of Kinross' internal control over financial reporting and an attestation report by Kinross' independent auditors addressing the effectiveness of Kinross' internal control over financial reporting.

If Kinross fails to maintain the adequacy of its internal control over financial reporting, as such standards are modified, supplemented, or amended from time to time, Kinross may not be able to ensure that it can conclude on an ongoing basis that it has effective internal controls over financial reporting in accordance with Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act. Kinross' failure to satisfy the requirement of Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act on an ongoing, timely basis could result in the loss of investor confidence in the reliability of its financial statements, which in turn could harm Kinross' business and negatively impact the trading price of its common shares. In addition, any failure to implement required new or improved controls, or difficulties encountered in their implementation, could harm Kinross' operating results or cause it to fail to meet its reporting obligations.

Although Kinross intends to devote substantial time and incur substantial costs, as necessary, to ensure ongoing compliance, Kinross cannot be certain that it will be successful in complying with Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act.

To operate successfully, Kinross is reliant on finding and retaining qualified personnel, including key executives.

In order to operate successfully, Kinross must find and retain qualified employees. Kinross and other companies in the mining industry compete for personnel and Kinross is not always able to fill positions in a timely manner. One factor that has contributed to an increased turnover rate is the ageing workforce and it is expected that this factor will further increase the turnover rate in upcoming years. If Kinross is unable to attract and retain qualified personnel or fails to establish adequate succession planning strategies, Kinross' operations could be adversely affected.

In addition, Kinross has a relatively small executive management team and in the event that the services of a number of these executives were no longer available, Kinross and its business could be adversely affected. Kinross does not carry key-man life insurance with respect to its executives.

Kinross may require additional capital that may not be available.

The mining, processing, development, and exploration of Kinross' properties may require substantial additional financing. Failure to obtain sufficient financing may result in the delay or indefinite postponement of exploration, development or production on any or all of Kinross' properties, or even a loss of property interest. Additional capital or other types of financing may not be available if needed or, if available, the terms of such financing may be unfavourable to Kinross.

Kinross' level of indebtedness and an inability to satisfy repayment obligations could have a significant impact on its operations and financial performance.

Although Kinross has been successful in repaying debt in the past, there can be no assurance that it can continue to do so. Kinross' level of indebtedness could have important consequences for its operations and the value of its common shares including: (a) limiting Kinross' ability to borrow additional amounts for working capital, capital expenditures, debt service requirements, execution of Kinross' growth strategy or other purposes; (b) limiting Kinross' ability to use operating cash flow in other areas because of its obligations to service debt; (c) increasing Kinross' vulnerability to general adverse economic and industry conditions, including increases in interest rates, particularly given that a substantial portion of Kinross' indebtedness bears interest at variable rates; (d) limiting Kinross' ability to capitalize on business opportunities and to react to competitive pressures and adverse changes in government regulation; and (e) limiting Kinross' ability or increasing the costs to refinance indebtedness.

Kinross expects to obtain the funds to pay its expenses and to pay principal and interest on its debt by utilizing cash flow from operations. Kinross' ability to meet these payment obligations will depend on its future financial performance, which will be affected by financial, business, economic and other factors. Kinross will not be able to control many of these factors, such as economic conditions in the markets in which it operates. Kinross cannot be certain that its future cash flow from operations will be sufficient to allow it to pay principal and interest on Kinross' debt and meet its other obligations. If cash flow from operations is insufficient or if there is a contravention of its debt covenants, Kinross may be required to refinance all or part of its existing debt, sell assets, borrow more money or issue additional equity. There can be no assurance that Kinross will be able to refinance all or part of its existing debt on terms that are commercially reasonable.

The operations of Kinross in various countries are subject to currency risk.

Currency fluctuations may affect the revenues which Kinross will realize from its operations since gold is sold in the world market in United States dollars. The costs of Kinross are incurred principally in Canadian dollars, United States dollars, Chilean pesos, Brazilian reais, and Russian roubles. The appreciation of non-U.S. dollar currencies against the U.S. dollar increases the cost of gold production in U.S. dollar terms. From time to time, Kinross transacts currency hedging to reduce the risk associated with currency fluctuations. Currency hedging involves risks and may require margin activities. Sudden fluctuations in currencies could result in margin calls that could have an adverse effect on Kinross' financial position. While the Chilean peso, Brazilian real and Russian rouble are currently convertible into Canadian and United States dollars, they may not always be convertible in the future.

While Kinross has a “no gold hedging” policy, the Company may from time to time acquire gold and/or silver hedge (or derivative product) obligations through acquisitions and/or employ hedge/derivative products in respect of other commodities, interest rates and/or currencies.

While Kinross has a “no gold hedging” policy, the Company has from time to time through acquisition acquired gold and/or silver hedge (or derivative product) obligations and may do so in the future. Kinross has also from time to time employed hedge/derivative products in respect of other commodities, interest rates and/or currencies, and may do so in the future. Hedge (or derivative) products are used to manage the risks associated with gold or silver price volatility, changes in commodity prices, interest rates, foreign currency exchange rates and energy prices. Where Kinross holds such derivative positions, the Company will deliver into such arrangements in the prescribed manner. The use of derivative instruments involves certain inherent risks including: (a) *credit risk* - the risk of default on amounts owing to Kinross by the counterparties with which Kinross has entered into such transactions; (b) *market liquidity risk* – the risk that Kinross has entered into a derivative position that cannot be closed out quickly, by either liquidating such derivative instrument or by establishing an offsetting position; and (c) *unrealized mark-to-market risk* – the risk that, in respect of certain derivative products, an adverse change in market prices for commodities, currencies or interest rates will result in Kinross incurring an unrealized mark-to-market loss in respect of such derivative products.

In the case of a gold or silver forward sales program, if the metal price rises above the price at which future production has been committed under a forward sales hedge program, Kinross may have an opportunity loss. However, if the metal price falls below that committed price, revenues will be protected to the extent of such committed production. There can be no assurance that Kinross will be able to achieve future realized prices for gold that exceed the spot price as a result of any forward sales hedge program.

The business of Kinross is dependent on good labour and employment relations.

Production at Kinross’ mines is dependent upon the efforts of, and maintaining good relationships with, employees of Kinross. Relations between Kinross and its employees may be impacted by changes in labour relations which may be introduced by, among others, employee groups, unions, and the relevant governmental authorities in whose jurisdictions Kinross carries on business. Adverse changes in such legislation or in the relationship between Kinross and its employees may have a material adverse effect on Kinross’ business, results of operations, and financial condition.

The results of Kinross’ operations could be adversely affected by its acquisition strategy and Kinross may not realize the anticipated benefits of recent acquisitions.

As part of Kinross’ business strategy, it has sought, and will continue to seek, to acquire new mining and development opportunities in the mining industry. Any acquisition that Kinross may choose to complete which may be of a significant size, may change the scale of Kinross’ business and operations, and may expose Kinross to new geographical, political, operational, financial and geological risks. Kinross’ success depends on its ability to identify appropriate acquisition candidates, negotiate acceptable arrangements, including arrangements to finance acquisitions, and to integrate the acquired businesses and their personnel. Kinross may be unable to complete any acquisition or business arrangement that it pursues on favourable terms. Any acquisitions or business arrangements completed may not ultimately benefit Kinross’ business and could impair its results of operations, profitability and financial results. Acquisitions and business arrangements are accompanied by risks including, without limitation: a significant change in commodity prices after Kinross has committed to complete the transaction and established the purchase price or exchange ratio; an acquired material orebody may prove to be below expectations; Kinross may have difficulty integrating and assimilating the operations, technologies and personnel of any acquired companies, including the recently acquired Minera and Aurelian (see “General Development of the Business – Three Year History”), realizing anticipated synergies and maximizing the financial and strategic position of the combined enterprise, and maintaining uniform standards, policies and controls across the organization to support the expansion of Kinross’ operations resulting from these acquisitions; the integration of the acquired business or assets may divert management’s attention and disrupt Kinross’ ongoing business and its relationships with employees, customers, suppliers and contractors; and the acquired business or assets may have unknown liabilities which may be significant. In the event that Kinross chooses to raise debt capital to finance any such acquisition, Kinross’ leverage will be increased. If Kinross chooses to use equity as consideration for such acquisition, existing shareholders may suffer dilution. Alternatively, Kinross may choose to finance any such acquisition with its existing resources. There

can be no assurance that Kinross would be successful in overcoming these risks or any other problems encountered in connection with such acquisitions.

Kinross may be adversely affected by global financial conditions.

Recent global financial conditions have been characterized by increased volatility and several financial institutions have either gone into bankruptcy or have had to be rescued by governmental authorities. The fallout from the current global financial crisis has resulted in the following conditions, which may have an impact on the operations and cash flows of the Company:

- volatility in commodity prices and foreign exchange rates,
- tightening of credit markets,
- increased counterparty risk, and
- volatility in the prices of publicly traded entities.

Although the tightening of credit markets has restricted the ability of certain companies to access capital, to date this has not had an impact on the Company's liquidity. In February 2009, the Company raised approximately \$396 million in net proceeds from a public common share offering. Additionally, the Company recently re-negotiated its credit facilities and has additional availability under its revolving credit facility of \$354.2 million. However, continued tightening of credit markets may impact the ability of the Company to obtain equity or debt financing in the future on terms favourable to the Company.

The Company has not experienced any difficulties to date with respect to the counterparties it transacts with. The counterparties continue to be highly rated and as noted above, the Company has employed measures to reduce the impact of counterparty risk.

Continued volatility in equity markets may have an impact on the value of publicly listed companies in Kinross' equity portfolio. Should declines in the equity values continue and are deemed to be other than temporary, impairment losses may result.

Kinross is subject to certain legal proceedings and may be subject to additional litigation in the future.

Kinross is a party to the legal proceedings described under the caption "Legal Proceedings". If decided adversely to Kinross, these legal proceedings, or others that could be brought against Kinross in the future which are not now known, for example, litigation based on its business activities, environmental laws, volatility in its stock price or failure to comply with its disclosure obligations, could have a material adverse effect on Kinross' financial condition or prospects.

In the event of a dispute arising at Kinross' foreign operations, Kinross may be subject to the exclusive jurisdiction of foreign courts or may not be successful in subjecting foreign persons to the jurisdiction of courts in Canada. Kinross' inability to enforce its rights could have an adverse effect on its future cash flows, earnings, results of operations and financial condition.

Kinross may not be able to control the decisions and strategy of joint ventures to which it is a party.

Some of the mines in which Kinross owns interests are operated through joint ventures with other mining companies and are subject to the risks normally associated with the conduct of joint ventures. The existence or occurrence of one or more of the following circumstances and events could have a material adverse impact on Kinross' profitability or the viability of its interests held through joint ventures, which could have a material adverse impact on Kinross' results of operations and financial condition: (a) inability to exert influence over certain strategic decisions made in respect of joint venture properties; (b) disagreement with partners on how to develop and operate mines efficiently; (c) inability of partners to meet their obligations to the joint venture or third parties; and (d) litigation between partners regarding joint venture matters.

DIVIDEND POLICY

In 2009, Kinross paid a total cash dividend of \$0.09 on its common shares - \$0.04 in March and \$0.05 in September. On February 17, 2010, Kinross' board of directors declared a dividend of \$0.05 per common share, payable on March 31, 2010. Prior to 2008, Kinross had not paid any dividends on its common shares. While the present intention is to pay a dividend semi-annually, Kinross is under no obligation to declare or pay any further dividends on its common shares. Payment of any future dividends will be at the discretion of Kinross' board of directors, after taking into account many factors, including Kinross' operating results, financial condition, and current and anticipated cash requirements. Further, pursuant to Kinross' syndicated credit facility, Kinross may be required to obtain consent from the lenders prior to declaring any common share dividend.

LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

Kinam Preferred Shares

The Company was named as a defendant in a Class Action Complaint filed on or about April 26, 2002 (the "Complaint"), entitled *Robert A. Brown, et al. v. Kinross Gold U.S.A., Inc., et al.*, Case No. CV-S-02-0605-PMP-RJJ, in the United States District Court for the District of Nevada. The Complaint named as defendants the Company, its subsidiaries, Kinross Gold U.S.A., Inc. and Kinam Gold, Inc. ("Kinam"), and Robert M. Buchan, former President and CEO of the Company. The Complaint was filed on behalf of one potential class and three subclasses, i.e., those who tendered their Kinam \$3.75 Series B Preferred Stock (the "Kinam Preferred") into the tender offer for the Kinam Preferred made by the Kinross Gold U.S.A., those who did not tender their Kinam Preferred but later sold it directly to the Company or any of its controlled entities after closure of the tender offer and delisting of the Kinam Preferred, and those who continue to hold Kinam Preferred. The Complaint alleged, among other things, that amounts historically advanced to Kinam should be treated as capital contributions rather than loans, that the purchase of Kinam Preferred from certain institutional investors in July 2001 constituted a constructive redemption of the Kinam Preferred, an impermissible amendment to the conversion rights of the Kinam Preferred, or the commencement of a tender offer, that the Company and its subsidiaries have intentionally taken actions for the purpose of minimizing the value of the Kinam Preferred, and that the amount offered in the tender offer of \$16.00 per share was not a fair valuation of the Kinam Preferred. The Complaint alleged breach of contract based on the governing provisions of the Kinam Preferred; breach of fiduciary duties; violations of the "best price" rule under Section 13(e) of the *Securities Exchange Act of 1934*, as amended, and the New York Stock Exchange rules; federal securities fraud in violation of Section 10(b) and 14(c) of the *Securities Exchange Act of 1934*, as amended, and Rules 10b-5 and 14c-6(a) thereunder; violation of Nevada's anti-racketeering law; and control person liability under Section 20A of the *Securities Exchange Act of 1934*, as amended. A second action seeking certification as a class action and based on the same allegations was also filed in the United States District Court for the District of Nevada on or about May 22, 2002. It named the same parties as defendants. This action has been consolidated into the Brown case, and the Brown plaintiffs have been designated as lead plaintiffs.

The parties entered into a memorandum of understanding to settle all claims in the litigation for an aggregate cash payment by Kinross to the plaintiff class of \$29.25 million, inclusive of any fees and costs, without admission of any fault or liability. The settlement was approved by the court on January 29, 2009. Pursuant to the terms of the settlement, no further dividends are payable on the Kinam Preferred and all Kinam Preferred held by persons who were class members (i.e. other than Kinross) have been cancelled.

Kettle River – Buckhorn Permitting

In November 2005, the Kettle River mill was temporarily shut down as all mining activities had been completed. The Buckhorn property was acquired in August 2006 pursuant to a share exchange transaction between Kinross and Crown Resources Corporation, with the intent of employing the Kettle River mill to process ore from the Buckhorn property. All permits and approvals required to complete development and initiate commercial mining operations at the Buckhorn property were received by the end of 2007. However, certain Project opponents appealed certain permits and approvals during 2006 and 2007.

In April 2008, the Company reached a settlement agreement pursuant to which all such appeals were dismissed. Commercial production was achieved in October, 2008.

Aurelian Warrants

Aurelian, which was acquired by Kinross on September 30, 2008, was named as a defendant in six actions relating to warrants issued by it on June 6, 2003. Each warrant entitled the holder to purchase one common share at Cdn\$0.60 per share during the first year and at Cdn\$0.75 per share during the second year. The terms of the warrants provided that they would expire on June 6, 2005 unless, prior to that time, Aurelian met a series of conditions set forth in an agreement between Aurelian and Equity Transfer Services Inc. dated June 6, 2003 (the “Warrant Agreement”), and Aurelian received approval from the relevant stock exchange to extend the expiry date of the warrants for an additional two-year period. The Warrant Agreement provided that Aurelian was to use commercially reasonable efforts to ensure that the warrants remained outstanding for four years. In order for the warrants to remain outstanding beyond the initial two years, Aurelian needed to obtain an upgraded listing from Tier 2 on the TSX Venture Exchange (“TSX-V”) to either Tier 1 on the TSX-V or to the TSX by meeting certain minimum listing requirements and obtain approval for the extension from the exchange. Aurelian failed to upgrade its stock listing and, in turn, could not obtain approval to extend the term of the warrants.

The six actions commenced by or on behalf of former warrant holders alleged that Aurelian was able to meet the applicable minimum listing requirements prior to the end of the second year of the four-year term of the warrants and, that had Aurelian acted in good faith and used its best efforts, it would have obtained stock exchange approval necessary for the warrants to remain outstanding for the four-year term. The plaintiffs alleged that they would have exercised their warrants following the announcement of the discovery at Fruta del Norte. In aggregate, the plaintiffs claimed approximately 3.8 million Aurelian shares (on a pre-split basis²) or, alternatively, approximately Cdn\$150 million in monetary damages plus interest and costs.

In the fourth quarter of 2009, Kinross reached settlements with all plaintiff groups.

Income Taxes

The Company operates in numerous countries around the world and accordingly is subject to, and pays annual income taxes under the various regimes in countries in which it operates. These tax regimes are determined under general corporate income tax laws of the country. The Company has historically filed, and continues to file, all required income tax returns and to pay the taxes reasonably determined to be due. The tax rules and regulations in many countries are complex and subject to interpretation. From time to time the Company will undergo a review of its historic tax returns and in connection with such reviews, disputes can arise with the taxing authorities over the Company’s interpretation of the country’s income tax rules. As at December 31, 2009, the Company did not have any material disputes. Please refer to the Company’s most recently filed financial statements and MD&A for a description of ongoing disputes with taxing authorities.

Regulatory Investigations

In July 2005, Kinross was notified by the enforcement division of the SEC that Kinross would be requested to provide documentation in connection with an informal inquiry focused on Kinross’ accounting of the business

² On June 22, 2007, Aurelian effected a four-for-one split of its shares.

combination with TVX and Echo Bay. On June 1, 2006, the SEC requested that Kinross produce certain related documents. Kinross was responsive to the request and completed production on August 31, 2006. Kinross' legal counsel received written notice from the SEC on July 10, 2007 that the SEC has completed its investigation relating to the Company and does not intend to recommend enforcement action.

DESCRIPTION OF CAPITAL STRUCTURE

KINROSS COMMON SHARES

Kinross has an unlimited number of common shares authorized and 696,758,497 common shares issued and outstanding as of March 26, 2010. There are no limitations contained in the articles or bylaws of Kinross on the ability of a person who is not a Canadian resident to hold Kinross common shares or exercise the voting rights associated with Kinross common shares. A summary of the rights of the Kinross common shares is set forth below.

Dividends

Holders of Kinross common shares are entitled to receive dividends when, as and if declared by the board of directors of Kinross out of funds legally available therefor, provided that if any Kinross preferred shares are at the time outstanding, the payment of dividends on common shares or other distributions (including repurchases of common shares by Kinross) will be subject to the declaration and payment of all cumulative dividends on outstanding Kinross preferred shares and any other preferred shares which are then outstanding. The *Business Corporations Act* (Ontario) provides that a corporation may not declare or pay a dividend if there are reasonable grounds for believing that the corporation is, or would after the payment of the dividend, be unable to pay its liabilities as they fall due or the realizable value of its assets would thereby be less than the aggregate of its liabilities and stated capital of all classes of shares of its capital.

Liquidation

In the event of the dissolution, liquidation, or winding up of Kinross, holders of Kinross common shares are entitled to share rateably in any assets remaining after the satisfaction in full of the prior rights of creditors, including holders of Kinross' indebtedness, and the payment of the aggregate liquidation preference of the Kinross preferred shares, and any other preferred shares then outstanding.

Voting

Holders of Kinross common shares are entitled to one vote for each share on all matters voted on by shareholders, including the election of directors.

MARKET PRICE FOR KINROSS SECURITIES

In Canada, the Kinross common shares trade on the TSX under the symbol “K.” In the United States, the Kinross common shares trade on the NYSE under the symbol “KGC.” The Kinross common shares began trading on the NYSE on February 3, 2003. The following table sets forth, for the periods indicated, the high and low sales prices of the Kinross common shares on the TSX and the NYSE and the trading volume.

	Kinross Common Shares on the TSX			Kinross Common Shares on the NYSE		
	High	Low	Trading Volume (in millions of shares)	High	Low	Trading Volume (in millions of shares)
	(CDN Dollars)	(CDN Dollars)		(U.S. Dollars)	(U.S. Dollars)	
Fiscal Year Ending December 31, 2009						
January	24.66	19.56	121.0	19.52	15.50	42.5
February	24.78	19.20	103.6	19.64	15.11	32.8
March	23.84	18.40	112.3	19.41	14.33	32.8
April	23.50	16.52	104.8	18.65	13.62	34.0
May	22.50	18.25	68.6	20.49	15.33	24.8
June	22.66	19.07	66.6	20.98	16.48	27.6
July	22.94	20.01	58.4	20.75	17.22	21.0
August	22.24	19.91	50.2	20.72	17.96	21.7
September	25.22	20.21	93.3	23.65	18.43	44.5
October	24.59	19.25	78.8	23.91	17.85	42.5
November	21.33	18.82	92.2	20.31	17.45	36.5
December	23.47	18.73	83.7	22.45	17.50	35.3

	Kinross Common Shares on the TSX			Kinross Common Shares on the NYSE		
	High	Low	Trading Volume (in millions of shares)	High	Low	Trading Volume (in millions of shares)
	(CDN Dollars)	(CDN Dollars)		(U.S. Dollars)	(U.S. Dollars)	
Fiscal Year Ending December 31, 2008						
January	24.45	18.73	159.0	24.05	18.74	72.0
February	24.95	20.78	85.5	25.51	20.65	42.7
March	27.16	21.02	145.8	27.40	20.49	42.2
April	25.55	18.30	89.5	25.45	18.09	32.3
May	21.64	18.36	83.8	21.99	18.00	28.1
June	24.40	18.50	82.5	24.08	17.97	31.6
July	25.37	17.84	100.0	25.36	17.42	34.8
August	19.00	15.60	87.6	18.52	14.59	28.2
September	18.81	12.31	150.1	18.18	11.47	41.2
October	18.75	8.96	151.7	17.68	6.85	45.7
November	19.18	12.60	121.1	15.48	10.42	36.2
December	22.50	15.80	103.8	19.07	12.12	33.7

As of March 26, 2010 there were 2,829 registered holders of Kinross common shares.

DIRECTORS AND OFFICERS

DIRECTORS

Set forth below is information regarding the directors of Kinross as of March 26, 2010. Wilson Brumer was elected as a director of the Company on May 6, 2009. He subsequently resigned effective January 31, 2010.

Name and Place of Residence	Principal Occupation	Director Since	Current Committees⁽¹⁾
John A. Brough Toronto, Ontario Canada	Corporate Director	January 19, 1994	A, H, S
Tye W. Burt Toronto, Ontario Canada	President and Chief Executive Officer of Kinross	March 23, 2005	None
John K. Carrington Thornhill, Ontario Canada	Corporate Director	October 26, 2005	CG, E, S
John M. H. Huxley Toronto, Ontario Canada	Corporate Director	May 31, 1993	A, H
John A. Keyes The Woodlands, Texas United States	Corporate Director	March 3, 2003	E
Catherine McLeod-Seltzer Vancouver, British Columbia Canada	Chairman and Director, Pacific Rim Mining Corp.	October 26, 2005	H
George A. Michals Vero Beach, Florida United States	Corporate Director	January 31, 2003	CG, S
John E. Oliver Halifax, Nova Scotia Canada	Corporate Director	March 7, 1995	H, S
Terence C.W. Reid Toronto, Ontario Canada	Corporate Director	January 5, 2005	A, E

(1) Committees: A-Audit and Risk, CG-Corporate Governance, E-Environmental, Health & Safety, H-Human Resources, Compensation and Nominating, S-Special Committee.

Each of the directors has held the principal occupation set forth opposite his or her name, or other executive offices with the same firm or its affiliates, for the past five years.

Below is a biography of each of the directors of Kinross:

John A. Brough

Mr. Brough retired as President of both Torwest Inc. and Wittington Properties Limited, both of which are real estate companies, effective December 31, 2007, positions he had held since 1998. Prior thereto, from 1996 to 1998, Mr. Brough was Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of iSTAR Internet, Inc. From 1974 to 1996, Mr. Brough held a number of positions with Markborough Properties, Inc., his final position being Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer, which position he held from 1986 to 1996. Mr. Brough is an executive with over 30 years of experience in the real estate industry. He is currently lead director and Chairman of the Audit Committee of Silver Wheaton Corp., a director and Chairman of the Audit Committee of First National Financial Income Fund, a director of Canadian Real Estate Investment Trust ("CREIT") and a director of Quadra Mining Ltd. Mr. Brough holds a Bachelor of Arts (Economics) degree from the University of Toronto and is a Chartered Accountant. Mr. Brough has graduated from the Director's Education Program at the University of Toronto, Rotman School of Management and is a member of the Institute of Corporate Directors.

Tye W. Burt

Mr. Burt was appointed President and Chief Executive Officer of Kinross in March, 2005. Prior to that, Mr. Burt held the position of Vice Chairman and Executive Director of Corporate Development of Barrick. From December 2002 to February 2004, he was Executive Director of Corporate Development of Barrick and a member of the board of directors. From May – December 2002 he consulted to Barrick on a full time basis. From May 2000 – May 2002, Mr. Burt was President, Cartesian Capital Corp. Mr. Burt was a director and the Vice Chairman of the Audit Committee of the Ontario Financing Authority. Mr. Burt is a Vice-chair of the Board of Governors of the University of Guelph. He has been appointed to the Russian Federation's Foreign Investment Advisory Committee. Mr. Burt is a member of the Law Society of Upper Canada and holds a Bachelor of Laws degree from Osgoode Hall Law School. He also holds a Bachelor of Arts degree from the University of Guelph.

John K. Carrington

Mr. Carrington was Vice Chairman and a director of Barrick from 1999 through 2004. Prior to that, Mr. Carrington was Chief Operating Officer of Barrick from 1996 until February 2004. He has also occupied the functions of President and Executive Vice President, Operations of Barrick in 1997 and 1995, respectively. Prior to that, Mr. Carrington occupied officerships in other mining companies, including Noranda Minerals Inc., Brunswick Mining & Smelting Inc. and Minnova Inc. Mr. Carrington holds a Bachelor of Applied Science (Mining Engineering) and a Master of Engineering (Mining). He is a member of the Association of Professional Engineers of Ontario.

John M. H. Huxley

Mr. Huxley was most recently a principal of Algonquin Management Inc., the manager of the Algonquin Power Income Fund, from 1997 until his retirement in 2006. Prior to that, he was President of Algonquin Power Corporation, a builder, developer and operator of hydroelectric generating facilities in Canada and the United States. Mr. Huxley is currently serving as a director of Nordex Explosives Ltd. and Phoenix Coal Inc. He holds a Bachelor of Laws degree from Osgoode Hall Law School. He is also a member of the Institute of Corporate Directors.

John A. Keyes

Mr. Keyes most recently held the position of President and Chief Operating Officer of Battle Mountain Gold Company from 1999 until his retirement in 2001. Prior to that, he served as the Senior Vice President - Operations for Battle Mountain with responsibilities for operations in the United States, Canada, Bolivia, Chile and Australia. Mr. Keyes received his Bachelor of Science Mine Engineering degree from Michigan Technological University and successfully completed an executive Masters of Business Administration program at the University of Toronto. Mr. Keyes has graduated from the Director's Education Program at the University of Toronto, Rotman School of Management. He is also a member of the Institute of Corporate Directors.

Catherine McLeod-Seltzer

Ms. McLeod-Seltzer is Chairman and a director of Pacific Rim Mining Corp. She has been an officer and a director of Pacific Rim since 1997. From 1994 to 1996, she was President, Chief Executive Officer and a director of Arequipa Resources Ltd., a publicly traded company which she co-founded in 1992. From 1985 to 1993, she was employed by Yorkton Securities Inc. as an institutional trader and broker, and also as Operations Manager in Santiago, Chile (1991-92). She has a Bachelor in Business Administration from Trinity Western University. She holds directorships in other publicly traded companies including Bear Creek Mining Corporation, Stornoway Diamond Corporation and Troon Ventures.

George F. Michals

Mr. Michals retired as President of Baymont Capital Resources Inc., an investment holding company, in 2007. Mr. Michals has also served as an active member on the boards of a number of public and private companies. Prior to January 2003, Mr. Michals was also Chairman of the board of TVX, and from 1987 to 1990, he held the position of Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of Canadian Pacific Limited. He holds a Bachelor of Commerce degree from Concordia University and is a Chartered Accountant.

John E. Oliver

Mr. Oliver retired as Senior Vice President, Atlantic Region, of Bank of Nova Scotia in August 2008, a position he had held since March 2004. Prior to that, Mr. Oliver was Executive Managing Director and Co-Head of Scotia Capital U.S., Bank of Nova Scotia since October 1999. From 1997 to 1999, Mr. Oliver was Senior Vice President, Corporate and Real Estate Banking of Bank of Nova Scotia and prior thereto, he was Senior Vice President of Real Estate Banking of Bank of Nova Scotia. Mr. Oliver was appointed as the Independent Chairman of Kinross in August 2002.

Terence C.W. Reid

Mr. Reid retired as Vice Chairman of CIBC Wood Gundy in 1997 after a career there spanning 31 years during which he provided investment banking services to many of Canada's leading corporations. He subsequently acted as a consultant in the electricity industry and helped develop an internet start-up business. Between 2001 and 2003 he was president of Laketon Investment Management, a leading Canadian investment asset manager. Mr. Reid has served on a number of investment industry committees and was Chairman of the Montreal Stock Exchange. Mr. Reid holds a Diploma in Law from the University of Witwatersrand, Johannesburg and a Masters in Business Administration from the University of Toronto. Mr. Reid is a director of Pizza Pizza Royalty Income Fund.

CORPORATE GOVERNANCE

The corporate governance practices established by Kinross' board of directors are described in Kinross' latest management information circular for its annual meeting of shareholders available at www.sedar.com. Details of Kinross' corporate governance practices compared to the corporate governance listing standards of the New York Stock Exchange are available for review on Kinross' website at www.kinross.com under the corporate governance section of the website.

OFFICERS

The following table sets forth the names of each of the executive and certain other officers of Kinross and all offices held by each of them as of March 26, 2010.

<u>Name</u>	<u>Office Held</u>
RICK A. BAKER Sparks, Nevada, United States	Senior Vice President, Environment and Project Permitting
TIMOTHY C. BAKER Toronto, Ontario, Canada	Executive Vice President and Chief Operating Officer

<u>Name</u>	<u>Office Held</u>
THOMAS M. BOEHLERT Toronto, Ontario, Canada	Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer
TYE W. BURT Toronto, Ontario, Canada	President and Chief Executive Officer
LISA J. COLNETT Toronto, Ontario, Canada	Senior Vice President, Human Resources and Corporate Services
JAMES CROSSLAND Toronto, Ontario, Canada	Executive Vice President, External Relations & Corporate Responsibility
GEOFFREY P. GOLD Toronto, Ontario, Canada	Executive Vice President and Chief Legal Officer
ROBERT D. HENDERSON Oakville, Ontario, Canada	Senior Vice President, Technical Services
CHRISTOPHER T. HILL Toronto, Ontario, Canada	Senior Vice President and Treasurer
JOHN E. OLIVER Halifax, Nova Scotia, Canada	Independent Chairman
SHELLEY M. RILEY Toronto, Ontario, Canada	Vice President, Administration and Corporate Secretary
J. PAUL ROLLINSON Toronto, Ontario, Canada	Executive Vice President, Corporate Development
DR. KENNETH THOMAS Toronto, Ontario, Canada	Senior Vice President, Projects

The following sets forth biographical information for each of the above officers of Kinross who is not also a director of Kinross:

Rick A. Baker was appointed Senior Vice President, Environmental, Health & Safety on March 1, 2005 and assumed the newly created role of Senior Vice President, Environment and Project Permitting in September 2009. Prior to that Mr. Baker held the positions of Vice President, Operations from October 2003 to February 2005 and Vice President and General Manager, Reclamation Operations from March to September 2003 of Kinross Gold U.S.A., Inc., a wholly-owned subsidiary of Kinross. Prior to that, he held the positions of General Manager, from August 2001 to February 2002 and Operations Manager from April 2000 to July 2001, respectively, with Fairbanks Gold Mining, Inc. a wholly-owned subsidiary of Kinross. From July 1997 to March 2000, Mr. Baker was General Manager, McCoy/Cove Operation, Echo Bay Minerals Company.

Timothy C. Baker was appointed Executive Vice President and Chief Operating Officer effective June 2006. Prior to that, Mr. Baker was Executive General Manager for Placer Dome Chile from 2005 until 2006; Managing Director for Placer Dome Tanzania from July 2003 until December 2004; Senior Vice President for Compania Minera Zaldivar from February 2002 until July 2003; Mine General Manager for Getchell Gold Corp from July 2000 until February 2002, and General Manager Operations for Minera Las Cristinas C.A from February 1999 until June 2000. Mr. Baker held various operational roles from 1988 until 1999.

Thomas M. Boehlert was appointed Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer effective April 2006. Prior to that, Mr. Boehlert was Chief Financial Officer, Executive Vice President for Texas Genco from February 2005 until August 2005; Chief Financial Officer, Executive Vice President for Centrica North America

from January 2004 until February 2005; Chief Financial Officer, Senior Vice President for Sitré Energies Inc., from 2000 until 2003; Director, Investment Banking, Credit Suisse First Boston from 1997 to 2000 and Head of Project Finance – Europe, Africa and Middle East for Credit Suisse from 1993 to 1997.

Lisa J. Colnett was appointed Senior Vice President, Human Resources and Corporate Services effective November 2008. She joined Kinross from Celestica Inc., where she most recently held the position of Senior Vice President, Human Resources. As one of Celestica's founding executives, she held a number of senior roles within the organization, including President, Memory Division and Chief Information Officer. Prior to joining Celestica, Lisa spent 13 years in manufacturing and operations at IBM Canada. She holds an Honours B.A. in Business Administration from the Richard Ivey School of Business at the University of Western Ontario.

James Crossland joined Kinross in June 2007 as Senior Vice President, Government Relations and Corporate Affairs and was appointed Executive Vice President, External Relations and Corporate Responsibility in September, 2009. From October 2003 to May 2007, he was Executive Vice President of Cossette Communication Group Inc. Prior to that, from 2000 to 2002, he served as Executive Vice President and, subsequently, President, of National Public Relations.

Geoffrey P. Gold was appointed Executive Vice President and Chief Legal Officer on February 21, 2008. Prior to that, he had been Senior Vice President and Chief Legal Officer since May 2006. Prior to that, he was Vice President, Assistant Secretary and Associate General Counsel for Placer Dome Inc. from 2001 until 2006; Assistant Secretary and Associate General Counsel for Placer Dome from 1999 to 2001; General Counsel and Secretary for Placer Dome North America from 1998 to 1999; and held other legal positions with Placer Dome from 1994 to 1998.

Christopher T. Hill is currently Senior Vice President and Treasurer and prior to March 2006, he had been Senior Vice President, Corporate Communications since August 2005. Prior to that, he had been Vice President, Investor Relations since May 2004. Mr. Hill was Vice President, Treasurer from May 1998 to March 2004.

Robert D. Henderson was appointed Senior Vice President, Technical Services in February, 2009. Prior to that, he had been Vice President, Technical Services since September 2006. He joined Kinross in April 2004 as Manager, Metallurgy and Mineral Processing. Prior to that, he was a Project Manager with Hatch Vancouver since May 2000. Prior to that, Mr. Henderson held various positions with mining operations in Johannesburg and with mining engineering service companies in Vancouver. Mr. Henderson is a registered Professional Engineer in Ontario and has a degree in Chemical Engineering and a Masters in Business Administration, both from the University of Cape Town.

Shelley M. Riley has been the Corporate Secretary of Kinross since June 1993 and was appointed Vice President, Administration and Corporate Secretary in September 2005.

J. Paul Rollinson was appointed Executive Vice President, Corporate Development, in September 2009. Paul joined Kinross as Executive Vice President, New Investments in September 2008 after a long career in investment banking, most recently as the Deputy Head of Investment Banking at Scotia Capital. During his time with Scotia, he was responsible for the mining, power/utilities, forestry and industrial sectors. He also served as Managing Director / Head of Americas for the mining group within Deutsche Bank AG, and, before that, was a senior member of the mining team at BMO Nesbitt Burns. Paul has an Honours BSc in Geology from Laurentian University and an MEng in Mining from McGill University.

Dr. Kenneth Thomas was appointed Senior Vice President, Projects on December 2, 2009. Dr. Thomas joined Kinross with 45 years of experience in technical services and project development. Most recently, he was Global Managing Director at Hatch. From 2003 to 2005, he was Chief Operating Officer at Crystallex International, and earlier in his career, he was Senior Vice-President, Technical Services at Barrick. Dr. Thomas has a Ph.D. from Delft University of Technology in The Netherlands, with a focus on technical services and project implementation. He is a member of the Professional Engineers of Ontario, and a Fellow of the Canadian Institute of Mining, Metallurgy & Petroleum.

As at March 26, 2010, the directors and officers of Kinross, as a group owned, directly or indirectly, or exercised control or direction over 5,946,066 common shares of Kinross, representing less than one percent of the total number of common shares outstanding before giving effect to the exercise of options or other convertible

securities held by such directors and officers. The statement as to the number of common shares beneficially owned directly or indirectly or over which control or direction is exercised by the directors and officers of Kinross as a group is based upon information provided by the directors and officers.

CEASE TRADE ORDERS, BANKRUPTCIES, PENALTIES OR SANCTIONS

No director or executive officer of Kinross or a shareholder holding a sufficient number of securities to affect materially the control of Kinross is, or within the ten years prior to the date hereof has been, a director or executive officer of any company (including Kinross) that, while that person was acting in that capacity: (i) was the subject of a cease trade or similar order or an order that denied the relevant company access to any exemption under securities legislation, for a period of more than 30 consecutive days; (ii) was subject to an event that resulted, after the director or executive officer ceased to be a director or executive officer, in the company being the subject of a cease trade or similar order or an order that denied the relevant company access to any exemption under securities legislation for a period of more than 30 consecutive days; or (iii) within a year of that person ceasing to act in that capacity, became bankrupt, made a proposal under any legislation relating to bankruptcy or insolvency or was subject to or instituted any proceedings, arrangement or compromise with creditors or had a receiver, receiver manager or trustee appointed to hold its assets, except as follows:

On April 14, 2005, the Ontario Securities Commission issued a definitive management cease trade order which superseded a temporary management cease trade order dated April 1, 2005 against all the directors and officers of the Company in connection with the Company's failure to file its audited financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2004. The missed filings resulted from questions raised by the SEC about certain accounting practices related to the accounting for goodwill. The following current officers and directors of Kinross were the subject of the Ontario Securities Commission's order: J. Brough, J. Huxley, J. Keyes, G. Michals, T. Reid, J. Oliver, R. Baker, C. Hill, S. Riley and T. Burt. A similar order was issued by the Nova Scotia Securities Commission against Mr. John Oliver dated July 6, 2005. These management cease trade orders were lifted on February 22, 2006 when the Company completed the necessary filings following the SEC's acceptance of Kinross' accounting treatment for goodwill.

No director or executive officer of Kinross or a shareholder holding a sufficient number of securities of Kinross to affect materially the control of Kinross has, within the ten years prior to the date hereof, become bankrupt, made a proposal under any legislation relating to bankruptcy or insolvency, or become subject to or instituted any proceedings, arrangement or compromise with creditors, or had a receiver, receiver manager or trustee appointed to hold the assets of the director, officer or shareholder.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

To the best of Kinross' knowledge, and other than as disclosed in this Annual Information Form, in the notes to Kinross' financial statements and its MD&A, there are no known existing or potential conflicts of interest between Kinross and any director or officer of Kinross, except as disclosed below and that certain of the directors and officers serve as directors and officers of other public companies and therefore it is possible that a conflict may arise between their duties as a director or officer of Kinross and their duties as a director or officer of such other companies.

The directors and officers of Kinross are aware of the existence of laws governing accountability of directors and officers for corporate opportunity and requiring disclosure by directors of conflicts of interest and Kinross will rely upon such laws in respect of any directors' and officers' conflicts of interest or in respect of any breaches of duty by any of its directors or officers. All such conflicts will be disclosed by such directors or officers in accordance with the *Business Corporations Act* (Ontario) and they will govern themselves in respect thereof to the best of their ability in accordance with the obligations imposed upon them by law.

INTEREST OF MANAGEMENT AND OTHERS IN MATERIAL TRANSACTIONS

Other than as described elsewhere in this Annual Information Form, the notes to the Company's financial statements and its MD&A, since January 1, 2006, no director, executive officer or 10% shareholder of Kinross or any associate or affiliate of any such person or company, has or had any material interest, direct or indirect, in any transaction that has materially affected or will materially affect the Company or any of its subsidiaries.

TRANSFER AGENT AND REGISTRAR

The transfer agent and registrar for Kinross' common shares is Computershare Investor Services Inc. at its principal office at 100 University Avenue, Toronto, Ontario, Canada M5J 2Y1, telephone 1-800-663-9097.

MATERIAL CONTRACTS

Kinross Material Contracts

The only material contracts entered into by the Company within the financial year ended December 31, 2009 or before such time that are still in effect, other than in the ordinary course of business, are as follows:

The Kupol Project Loan Agreement dated December 1, 2005. See "General Development of the Business – Material Properties – Kupol gold and silver project, the Russian Federation".

The purchase and sale agreement between Kinross and Goldcorp dated September 25, 2007. See "General Development of the Business – Three Year History".

The purchase agreement between Kinross and Merrill Lynch, Pierce, Fenner & Smith Incorporated and UBS Securities LLC dated January 23, 2008 relating to the purchase of the Notes. See "General Development of the Business – Three Year History".

The note indenture between Kinross and Wells Fargo Bank, National Association, as trustee, dated January 29, 2008, governing the Notes. See "General Development of the Business – Three Year History".

The support agreement between Kinross and Aurelian dated July 23, 2008. See "General Development of the Business – Three Year History".

The warrant agreement between Kinross and Computershare Trust Company of Canada dated September 3, 2008 relating to the creation and governing of warrants issued by Kinross in connection with its acquisition of Aurelian. See "General Development of the Business – Three Year History".

The share purchase agreement between KG Minera LM S.A. ("KGM"), Anglo American Norte S.A., Minera Anglo American Chile Limitada and Minorco Inversud S.A. dated November 19, 2008 relating to the acquisition by KGM of Anglo's 40% interest in Minera. See "General Development of the Business – Three Year History".

The share purchase agreement between KGM, Kinross, Teck, Teck Gold Ltd. and Teck Gold Ltd., Agencia Chile dated January 6, 2009 relating to the acquisition by KGM of Teck's 60% interest in Minera. See "General Development of the Business – Three Year History".

The underwriting agreement between Kinross and a syndicate of underwriters, dated January 21, 2009 relating to the purchase of 24,035,000 Kinross common shares. See “General Development of the Business – Three Year History”.

The subscription agreement between Kinross and Harry Winston, dated March 19, 2009, relating to the acquisition by Kinross’ of 19.9% of Harry Winston’s outstanding common shares; the amended limited partnership agreement dated March 31, 2009 between Harry Winston Diamond Mines Limited (“HWDML”), 6355137 Canada Inc. and Kinross; and the shareholders agreement dated March 31, 2009 between Harry Winston, HWDML and Kinross.

The indenture dated May 15, 2009 between Kinross and Wells Fargo Bank, National Association, as trustee, governing the issuance of any debt securities under the Company’s shelf prospectus. See “General Development of the Business – Three Year History”.

INTERESTS OF EXPERTS

The Company’s independent auditors for fiscal 2009, KPMG LLP, have audited the consolidated financial statements of Kinross for the three years ended December 31, 2009.

Mr. Robert Henderson is the qualified person who supervised the preparation of the Company’s mineral reserve and mineral resource estimates as at December 31, 2009. Mr. Henderson was at the time an officer of the Company.

The experts named in this section beneficially owned, directly or indirectly, less than 1% of any class of shares of the Company’s outstanding shares at the time of the preparation of the reserve and resource estimates and the technical reports.

AUDIT AND RISK COMMITTEE

The Audit and Risk Committee’s charter sets out its responsibilities and duties, qualifications for membership and reporting to the Company’s board of directors. A copy of the charter is attached hereto as Schedule “A”.

As of the date of this Annual Information Form, the members of the Company’s Audit and Risk Committee are John Brough (Chairman), John Huxley and Terence Reid. Each of Messrs. Brough, Huxley and Reid are independent and financially literate within the meaning of Multilateral Instrument 52-110 *Audit Committees* (“MI 52-110”). In addition to being independent directors as described above, all members of the Company’s Audit Committee must meet an additional “independence” test under MI 52-110 in that their directors’ fees are the only compensation they, or their firms, receive from the Company and that they are not affiliated with the Company. Mr. Brough is a “financial expert” in accordance with SEC requirements.

Relevant Education and Experience

Set out below is a description of the education and experience of each Audit and Risk Committee member that is relevant to the performance of his responsibilities as an Audit and Risk Committee member.

John A. Brough

Mr. Brough holds a Bachelor of Arts (Economics) degree from the University of Toronto and is a Chartered Accountant. Mr. Brough has graduated from the Director’s Education Program at the University of Toronto, Rotman School of Management and is a member of the Institute of Corporate Directors. Mr. Brough had been President of both Torwest Inc. and Wittington Properties Limited, real estate companies from 1998 until his retirement

on December 31, 2007. Prior thereto, from 1996 to 1998, Mr. Brough was Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of iSTAR Internet, Inc. Prior thereto, from 1974 to 1996, he held a number of positions with Markborough Properties, Inc., his final position being Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer which position he held from 1986 to 1996. Mr. Brough is an executive with over 30 years of experience in the real estate industry. He is currently lead director and Chairman of the Audit Committee of Silver Wheaton Corp., a director of Livingston International Income Fund, a director and Chairman of the Audit Committee of First National Financial Income Fund, a director of CREIT and a director of Quadra Mining Corp.

John M.H. Huxley Mr. Huxley has a Bachelor of Laws degree, and was most recently a principal of Algonquin Management Inc., the manager of Algonquin Power Income Fund, from 1997 to 2006. Prior to that Mr. Huxley was President of Algonquin Power Corporation.

Terence C.W. Reid Mr. Reid holds a diploma in law from the University of Witwatersrand, Johannesburg and a Masters in Business Administration from the University of Toronto. Mr. Reid retired as Vice Chairman of CIBC Wood Gundy in 1997 after a career there spanning 31 years during which he provided investment banking services to many of Canada's leading corporations. Between 2001 and 2003 he was president of Laketon Investment Management, a leading Canadian investment asset manager. Mr. Reid has served on a number of investment industry committees and was Chairman of the Montreal Stock Exchange. Mr. Reid is a director of Norcast Income Fund and Pizza Pizza Property Fund.

Pre-Approval Policies and Procedures

The Audit and Risk Committee has formalized its approach to non-audit services by the external auditors in its charter, a copy of which is attached hereto as Schedule "A".

External Auditor Service Fees

Audit Fees

The audit fees billed by the Company's external auditors for the financial year ended December 31, 2009 were Cdn\$2,568,000 (December 31, 2008 – Cdn\$2,944,000).

Audit-Related Fees

The audit-related fees billed by the Company's external auditors for the financial year ended December 31, 2009 were Cdn\$182,000 (December 31, 2008 – Cdn\$300,000) relating to due diligence and translation services.

Tax Fees

The tax fees in respect of tax compliance and tax advice billed by the Company's external auditors for the financial year ended December 31, 2009 were Cdn\$331,000 (December 31, 2008 – Cdn\$867,000).

All Other Fees

Cdn\$792,000 was paid to the Company's auditors in 2009 under this caption (December 31, 2008 – Cdn\$100,000).

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

Additional information relating to the Company can be found on SEDAR at www.sedar.com. Additional information, including directors' and officers' remuneration and indebtedness, principal holders of the Company's

securities and securities authorized for issuance under equity compensation plans is contained in the management information circular of the Company filed for its most recent annual meeting of shareholders. Additional financial information is provided in the Company's audited Consolidated Financial Statements and the MD&A for the financial year ended December 31, 2009.

GLOSSARY OF TECHNICAL TERMS

adularia

A variety of orthoclase, a mineral part of the feldspar group. A common mineral of granitic rocks.

alluvial

Referring to material which has been placed by the action of surface water.

arsenopyrite

The most common arsenic mineral and principal ore of arsenic; occurs in many sulfide ore deposits, particularly those containing lead, silver and gold.

assay

To determine the value of various elements within an ore sample, streambed sample, or valuable metal sample.

B2 horizon

A local geological term identifying a particular formation of rock.

ball mill

A steel cylinder filled with steel balls into which crushed ore is fed. The ball mill is rotated, causing the balls to cascade and grind the ore.

basalt

An extrusive volcanic rock composed primarily of plagioclase, pyroxene and some olivine.

belt

A series of mineral deposits occurring in close proximity to each other, often with a common origin.

biotite

A common rock-forming mineral in crystalline rocks, either as an original crystal in igneous rocks or as a metamorphic product in gneisses and schists; a detrital constituent of sedimentary rocks.

boudins

Series of sausage-shaped segments occurring in a boudinage structure. Boudinage occurs when bed sets are divided by cross-fractures into pillowlike segments. The cross-fractures are not sharp, but rather rounded, and may be compared with the necks that develop in ductile metal pieces under tension. The overall resulting appearance is that of a string of linked sausages when observed in section.

breccia

A coarse-grained clastic rock, composed of angular broken rock fragments held together by a mineral cement or in a fine-grained matrix; it differs from conglomerate in that the fragments have sharp edges and unworn corners.

carbon-in-leach

A process step wherein granular activated carbon particles much larger than the ground ore particles are introduced into the ore pulp. Cyanide leaching and precious metals adsorption onto the activated carbon occur simultaneously. The loaded activated carbon is mechanically screened to separate it from the barren ore pulp and processed to remove the precious metals and prepare it for reuse.

carbon-in-pulp

A process step wherein granular activated particles much larger than the ground ore particles are introduced into the ore pulp after primary leaching in cyanide. Precious metals adsorption occurs onto the activated carbon from the pregnant cyanide solution.

care and maintenance

The status of a mining operation when mining has been suspended but reclamation and closure of the property has not been commenced. The mill and associated equipment is being cared for and maintained until operations recommence.

cathode

A rectangular plate of metal, produced by electrolytic refining, which is melted into commercial shapes such as wire-bars, billets, ingots, etc.

chalcopyrite

A copper mineral composed of copper, iron and sulphur. This mineral is very similar to marcasite in its characteristics; it tarnishes easily; going from bronze or brassy yellow to yellowish or grayish brown, has a dark streak, and is lighter in weight and harder than gold.

chert

A compact, glass-like siliceous rock composed of silica of various types (opaline or chalcedonic).

chlorite

1. The mineral group chamosite, clinochlore, cookeite, gonyerite, nimite, orthochamosite, pennantite, and sudoite. 2. Chlorites are associated with and resemble micas (the tabular crystals of chlorites cleave into small, thin flakes or scales that are flexible, but not elastic like those of micas); they may also be considered as clay minerals when very fine grained. Chlorites are widely distributed, especially in low-grade metamorphic rocks, or as alteration products of ferromagnesian minerals.

circuit

A processing facility for removing valuable minerals from the ore so that it can be processed and sold.

clay

An extremely fine-grained natural earthy material composed primarily of hydrous aluminum silicates. It may be a mixture of clay minerals and small amounts of nonclay materials or it may be predominantly one clay mineral. The type is determined by the predominant clay mineral. Clay is plastic when sufficiently pulverized and wetted, rigid when dry, and vitreous when fired to a sufficiently high temperature.

core

The long cylindrical piece of rock, about an inch in diameter, brought to surface by diamond drilling.

cut-off grade

The lowest grade of mineral resources considered economic; used in the calculation of reserves in a given deposit.

cyanidation

A method of extracting exposed gold or silver grains from crushed or ground ore by dissolving the contained gold and silver in a weak cyanide solution. May be carried out in tanks inside a mill or in heaps of ore out of doors.

cyclone underflow

A coarser sized fraction, which leaves via apex aperture of hydrocyclone.

dedicated pad

An area of topography where gold ore will be placed in order to be leached. The ore will remain permanently upon this pad upon the completion of the gold extraction.

dilution

The effect of waste or low-grade ore being included unavoidably in the mine ore, lowering the recovered grade.

doré

Unrefined gold and silver bullion bars, which will be further refined to almost pure metal.

electrowinning

Recovery of a metal from a solution by means of electro-chemical processes.

epithermal

Said of a hydrothermal mineral deposit formed within about 1 kilometre of the Earth's surface and in the temperature range of 50 to 200 degrees Celsius, occurring mainly as veins. Also, said of that depositional environment.

fault

A fracture in the earth's crust accompanied by a displacement of one side of the fracture with respect to the other and in a direction parallel to the fracture.

feldspar

1. Constituting 60% of the Earth's crust, feldspar occurs in all rock types and decomposes to form much of the clay in soil, including kaolinite. 2. The mineral group albite, andesine, anorthite, anorthoclase, banalsite, buddingtonite, bytownite, celsian, hyalophane, labradorite, microcline, oligoclase, orthoclase, paracelsian, plagioclase, reedmergnerite, sanidine, and slawsonite.

flocculent

A chemical used to promote the formation of denser slurries.

flotation

A separation process in which valuable mineral particles are induced to become attached to bubbles and float, while the non-valuable minerals sink.

fold

Any bending or wrinkling of rock strata.

formation

Unit of sedimentary rock of characteristic composition or genesis.

galena

A lead mineral, which occurs with sphalerite in hydrothermal veins, also in sedimentary rocks as replacement deposits; an important source of lead and silver.

garnet

The silicate minerals almandine, andradite, calderite, goldmanite, grossular, hibshite, katoite, kimzeyite, knorringite, majorite, pyrope, schlorlomite, spessartine, and uvarovite.

gold

A yellow malleable ductile high density metallic element resistant to chemical reaction, often occurring naturally in quartz veins and gravel, and precious as a monetary medium, in jewellery, etc. Symbol – Au.

gold equivalent production

Gold equivalent production represents gold production plus silver production computed into gold ounces using a market price ratio.

grade

The amount of valuable metal in each tonne of ore, expressed as grams per tonne for precious metals.

Cut-off grade – is the minimum metal grade at which a tonne of rock can be processed on an economic basis.

Recovered grade – is actual metal grade realized by the metallurgical process and treatment of ore, based on actual experience or laboratory testing.

gravity recovery circuit

Equipment used within a plant to recover gold from the ore using the difference in specific gravity between the gold and the host rock. Typically used are shaking tables, spirals, etc.

greenschist

A metamorphosed basic igneous rock, which owes its color and schistosity to abundant chlorite.

heap leaching

A process whereby gold is extracted by “heaping” broken ore on sloping impermeable pads and repeatedly spraying the heaps with a weak cyanide solution which dissolves the gold content. The gold-laden solution is collected for gold recovery.

hedging

Taking a buy or sell position in a futures market opposite to a position held in the cash market to minimize the risk of financial loss from an adverse price change.

high-grade

Rich ore. As a verb, it refers to selective mining of the best ore in a deposit.

high rate thickener

A type of equipment used to perform solid liquid separation. Slurry (a mixture of rock and water) is fed into this unit with a clear solution produced in one stream and a moist solid produced in the second stream.

HQ

A diamond drill core measuring 2.500 inches in diameter (6.35 centimetres).

intrusive

Rock which while molten, penetrated into or between other rocks but solidified before reaching the surface.

leach

A method of extracting gold from ore by a chemical solution usually containing cyanide.

lode

Vein of metal ore.

low-grade

A term applied to ores relatively poor in the metal they are mined for; lean ore.

metamorphism

The process by which the form or structure of rocks is changed by heat and pressure.

mica

1. A group of phyllosilicate minerals having the general composition, $X_2Y_4-6Z_8O_{20}(OH,F)$ where $X=(Ba,Ca,Cs,H_3O,K,Na,NH_4)$, $Y=(Al,Cr,Fe,Li,Mg,Mn,V,Zn)$, and $Z=(Al,Be,Fe,Si)$; may be monoclinic, pseudo-hexagonal or pseudo-orthorhombic; soft; perfect basal (micaceous) cleavage yielding tough, elastic flakes and sheets; colorless, white, yellow, green, brown, or black; excellent electrical and thermal insulators (isinglass); common rock-forming minerals in igneous, metamorphic, and sedimentary rocks. 2. The mineral group anandite, annite, biotite, bityite, celadonite, chernykhite, clintonite, ephesite, ferri-annite, glauconite, hendricksite, kinoshitalite, lepidolite, margarite, masutomilite, montdorite, muscovite, nanpingite, norrishite, paragonite, phlogopite, polyolithionite, preiswerkite, roscoelite, siderophyllite, sodium phlogopite, taeniolite, tobelite, wonesite, and zinnwaldite.

micaceous

Consisting of or containing mica; e.g., a micaceous sediment.

mill

A plant where ore is ground fine and undergoes physical or chemical treatment to extract the valuable metals.

mineral claim

A mineral claim usually authorizes the holder to prospect and mine for minerals and to carry out works in connection with prospecting and mining.

mineralization

The process or processes by which a mineral or minerals are introduced into a rock, resulting in a valuable or potentially valuable deposit. It is a general term, incorporating various types; e.g., fissure filling, impregnation, and replacement.

muscovite

A monoclinic mineral, $KAl_2(Si_3Al)O_{10}(OH,F)_2$; mica group; pseudohexagonal; perfect basal cleavage; forms large, transparent, strong, electrically and thermally insulating, stable sheets; a common rock-forming mineral in silicic plutonic rocks, mica schists, gneisses, and commercially in pegmatites; also a hydrothermal and weathering product of feldspar and in detrital sediments.

net smelter return

A type of royalty payment where the royalty owner receives a fixed percentage of the revenues of a property or operation.

open pit

A mine that is entirely on surface. Also referred to as open-cut or open-cast mine.

Oligocene

An epoch of the early Tertiary Period, after the Eocene and before the Miocene; also, the corresponding worldwide series of rocks. It is considered to be a period when the Tertiary is designated as an era.

oxidation

A reaction where a material is reacted with an oxidizer such as pure oxygen or air in order to alter the state of the material.

Paleozoic

The era of geologic time that includes the Cambrian, Ordovician, Silurian, Devonian, Mississippian, Pennsylvanian and Permian periods and is characterized by the appearance of marine invertebrates, primitive fishes, land plants and primitive reptiles.

phases

Stages in time and/or composition in forming the rock.

placer

A place where gold is obtained by the washing of materials: rocks, boulders, sand, clay, etc. containing gold or other valuable minerals by the elements. These are deposits of valuable minerals, in Kinross' case, native gold, which are found in the form of dust, flakes, grains, and nuggets. In the United States mining law, mineral deposits, not veins in place, are treated as placers as far as locating, holding, and patenting are concerned. The term "placer" applies to ancient (Tertiary) gravel as well as to recent deposits, and to underground (drift mines) as well as surface deposits.

porphyry

An igneous rock in which relatively large crystals, called phenocrysts, are set in a fine-grained groundmass.

pyrite

A yellow iron sulphide mineral, normally of little value. It is sometimes referred to as "fool's gold."

pyroclastic

Produced by explosive or aerial ejection of ash, fragments, and glassy material from a volcanic vent. Applied to the rocks and rock layers as well as to the textures so formed.

qualified person

An individual who: (a) is an engineer or geoscientist with at least five years of experience in mineral exploration, mine development or operation, or mineral project assessment, or any combination of these; (b) has experience relevant to the subject matter of the mineral project; and (c) is a member in good standing of a professional association as defined by NI 43-101.

quartz

Common rock-forming mineral consisting of silicon and oxygen.

quartzite

1. A granoblastic metamorphic rock consisting mainly of quartz and formed by recrystallization of sandstone or chert by either regional or thermal metamorphism; metaquartzite. 2. A very hard but unmetamorphosed sandstone, consisting chiefly of quartz grains that are so completely cemented with secondary silica that the rock breaks across or through the grains rather than around them; an orthoquartzite. 3. Stone composed of silica grains so firmly cemented by silica that fracture occurs through the grains rather than around them. 4. As used in a general sense by drillers, a very hard, dense sandstone. 5. A granulose metamorphic rock consisting essentially of quartz. 6. Sandstone cemented by silica that has grown in optical continuity around each fragment.

reclamation

The restoration of a site after mining or exploration activity is completed.

recovery

A term used in process metallurgy to indicate the proportion of valuable material obtained in the processing of an ore. It is generally stated as a percentage of valuable metal in the ore that is recovered compared to the total valuable metal present in the ore.

run-of-mine

Said of ore in its natural, unprocessed state; pertaining to ore just as it is mined.

reusable pad ore

Ore which is processed on a reusable pad. The reusable pad is an area where heap leaching takes place on ore material temporarily placed onto it. Upon completion of leaching, the ore is removed from the pad and sent to disposal. New material is then applied.

sample

A small portion of rock or a mineral deposit taken so that the metal content can be determined by assaying.

schist

A foliated metamorphic rock the grains of which have a roughly parallel arrangement; generally developed by shearing.

sedimentary rocks

Secondary rocks formed from material derived from other rocks and laid down under water. Examples are limestone, shale and sandstone.

semi-autogenous (SAG) mill

A steel cylinder with steel balls into which run-of-mine material is fed. The ore is ground in the action of large lumps of rock and steel balls.

sericite

A white, fine-grained potassium mica occurring in small scales as an alteration product of various aluminosilicate minerals, having a silky luster, and found in various metamorphic rocks (especially in schists and

phyllites) or in the wall rocks, fault gouge, and vein fillings of many ore deposits. It is commonly muscovite or very close to muscovite in composition, but may also include paragonite and illite.

shear zone

A geological term used to describe a geological area in which shearing has occurred on a large scale.

silica

The chemically resistant dioxide of silicon, SiO₂; occurs naturally as five crystalline polymorphs: trigonal and hexagonal quartz, orthorhombic and hexagonal tridymite, tetragonal and isometric cristobalite, monoclinic coesite, and tetragonal stishovite. Also occurs as cryptocrystalline chalcedony, hydrated opal, the glass lechatelierite, skeletal material in diatoms and other living organisms, and fossil skeletal material in diatomite and other siliceous accumulations. Also occurs with other chemical elements in silicate minerals.

slurry

Fine rock particles are suspended in a stream of water.

sphalerite

A zinc mineral which is composed of zinc and sulphur. It has a specific gravity of 3.9 to 4.1.

stock

A magma that has intruded into preexisting rock in a columnar shape typically a kilometre or more in diameter.

stockpile

Broken ore heaped on surface, pending treatment or shipment.

stockwork

A mineral deposit consisting of a three-dimensional network of planar to irregular veinlets closely enough spaced that the whole mass can be mined.

tailings

The material that remains after all metals considered economic have been removed from ore during milling.

terrane

Area of land of a particular character, *e.g.*, mountainous, swampy.

tuff

Rock composed of fine volcanic ash.

vein

A fissure, fault or crack in a rock filled by minerals that have traveled upwards from some deep source.

volcanics

A general collective term for extrusive igneous and pyroclastic material and rocks.

zone

An area of distinct mineralization.

SCHEDULE “A”

KINROSS GOLD CORPORATION (“KINROSS”)

CHARTER OF THE AUDIT AND RISK COMMITTEE

I. Purpose

The Audit and Risk Committee shall provide assistance to the Board of Directors in fulfilling its financial reporting and oversight responsibilities to the shareholders of Kinross and the investment community. The Audit and Risk Committee’s primary duties and responsibilities are to:

- ◆ Oversee (i) the integrity of Kinross’ financial statements; (ii) Kinross’ compliance with legal and regulatory requirements regarding financial disclosure; (iii) the independent auditors’ qualifications and independence; and (iv) the performance of Kinross’ internal audit function.
- ◆ Serve as an independent and objective party to monitor Kinross’ financial reporting processes and internal control systems.
- ◆ Review and appraise the audit activities of Kinross’ independent auditors and the internal auditing functions.
- ◆ Annually evaluate the performance of the Audit and Risk Committee in light of the requirements of its Charter.
- ◆ Provide open lines of communication among the independent auditors, financial and senior management, and the Board of Directors for financial reporting and control matters. The Audit and Risk Committee will meet, periodically, with management, with the members of the internal audit function and with the independent auditors.

The primary responsibility of the Committee is to oversee Kinross’ financial reporting process on behalf of the Board of Directors and to report the results of its activities to the Board of Directors. While the Committee has the responsibility and powers provided in this Charter, it is the responsibility of management and the external auditors, not the responsibility of the Committee, to plan and conduct audits and prepare and determine that Kinross’ financial statements are complete and accurate and are in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. It is also the responsibility of management to establish, document, maintain and review systems of internal control and policies designed to assure compliance with accounting standards and applicable laws. Absent knowledge to the contrary (details of which shall be promptly reported to the Board of Directors), each member of the Committee is entitled to rely on the accuracy of the financial and other information provided to the Committee by management and the external auditors and any representations made by management or the external auditors as to any non-audit services provided to Kinross or any of its subsidiaries.

II. Composition

The Audit and Risk Committee shall be comprised of at least three directors. Each Committee member shall be an “independent director” as determined in accordance with applicable legal requirements for Audit and Risk Committee service, including the requirements of National Instrument 52-110 of the Canadian Securities Administrators (“NI 52-110”) and the Corporate Governance Rules of the New York Stock Exchange (“NYSE Rules”), as such rules are revised,

updated or replaced from time to time. A copy of such requirements is reproduced in Schedule “I” attached hereto.

All members shall, to the satisfaction of the Board of Directors, be “financially literate”, and at least one member shall have accounting or related financial management expertise to qualify as a “financial expert” in accordance with applicable legal requirements, including the requirements of NI 52-110, and the rules adopted by the United States Securities and Exchange Commission, as revised, updated or replaced from time to time. A copy of such requirements is reproduced in Schedule “I” attached hereto.

No director may serve as a member of the Committee if such director serves on the Audit and Risk Committee of more than two other public companies unless the Board of Directors determines that such simultaneous service would not impair the ability of such director to effectively serve on the Audit and Risk Committee, and this determination is disclosed in the annual management information circular.

The Committee members will be appointed by the Board of Directors annually at the first meeting of the Board of Directors following the annual general meeting of shareholders.

The Board of Directors may remove a member of the Committee at any time in its sole discretion by resolution of the Board of Directors. Unless a Chair of the Committee is appointed by the full Board of Directors, the members of the Committee may designate a Chair of the Committee by majority vote of the full membership of the Committee.

III. Responsibilities and Powers

Responsibilities and powers of the Audit and Risk Committee include:

- ◆ Annually reviewing and recommending revisions to the Charter, as necessary for consideration by the Board of Directors.
- ◆ Reviewing disclosure respecting the activities of the Audit and Risk Committee included in Kinross’ annual filings.
- ◆ Subject to the powers of the Board of Directors and the shareholders under Kinross’ articles and by-laws and under the *Business Corporations Act* (Ontario), the Audit and Risk Committee is responsible for the selection, appointment, oversight, evaluation, compensation, retention and, if necessary, the replacement of the independent auditors who prepare or issue an auditors’ report or perform other audit, review or attest services for Kinross.
- ◆ Overseeing procedures relating to the receipt, retention and treatment of complaints received by Kinross regarding accounting, internal accounting controls or auditing matters and the confidential anonymous submission by employees of Kinross of concerns regarding questionable accounting or auditing matters, pursuant to Kinross’ whistleblower policy, or otherwise.
- ◆ Approving the appropriate audit engagement fees and the funding for payment of the independent auditors’ compensation and any advisors retained by the Audit and Risk Committee.
- ◆ Requiring that the auditors report directly to the Audit and Risk Committee and be accountable to the Board and the Audit and Risk Committee, as representatives of the shareholders to whom the auditors are ultimately responsible.

- ◆ Reviewing the independence of the auditors, which will require receipt from the auditors of a formal written statement delineating all relationships between the auditors and Kinross and any other factors that might affect the independence of the auditors and reviewing and discussing with the auditors any significant relationships and other factors identified in the statement. Reporting to the Board of Directors its conclusions on the independence of the auditors and the basis for these conclusions.
- ◆ Requiring the external auditors to provide the Committee with all reports which the external auditors are required to provide to the Committee or the Board of Directors under rules, policies or practices of professional or regulatory bodies applicable to external auditors.
- ◆ Prohibiting the independent auditors from providing the following non-audit services and determining which other non-audit services the independent auditors are prohibited from providing:
 - bookkeeping or other services related to the accounting records or financial statements of Kinross;
 - financial information systems design and implementation;
 - appraisal or valuation services, fairness opinions, or contribution-in-kind reports;
 - actuarial services;
 - internal audit outsourcing services;
 - management functions or human resources;
 - broker or dealer, investment adviser or investment banking services;
 - legal services and expert services unrelated to the audit; and
 - any other services which the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board determines to be impermissible.
- ◆ Approving any permissible non-audit engagements of the independent auditors in accordance with applicable laws.
- ◆ Obtaining from the independent auditors in connection with any audit a timely report relating to the Kinross' annual audited financial statements describing all critical accounting policies and practices used, all alternative treatments within generally accepted accounting principles for policies and practices related to material items that have been discussed with management, ramifications of the use of such alternative disclosures and treatments, and the treatment preferred by the independent auditors, and any material written communications between the independent auditors and management, such as any "management" letter or schedule of unadjusted differences.
- ◆ Meeting with the auditors and financial management of Kinross to review the scope of the proposed audit for the current year, and the audit procedures to be used.
- ◆ Reviewing with management and the independent auditors:
 - Kinross' annual and interim financial statements and related notes, management's discussion and analysis, earnings releases and the annual information form, for the

purpose of recommending approval by the Board of Directors prior to being released or filed with regulators, and:

- reviewing with management has significant judgments affecting the financial statements, including any disagreements between the external auditors and management
- discussing among the members of the Committee without management or the independent auditors present, the information disclosed to the Committee
- receiving the assurance of both financial management and the independent auditors that Kinross' financial statements are fairly presented in conformity with Canadian GAAP in all material respects
- discussing with management the use of "pro forma" or "non GAAP information" in Kinross' continuous disclosure documents
- discussing with management and counsel any matter, including any litigation, claim or other contingency (including tax assessments) that could have a material effect on the financial position or operating results of Kinross and the manner in which any such matter has been described in the financial statements
- reviewing the effect of any regulatory and accounting initiatives, including any off balance sheet structures, on Kinross' financial statements
- The financial reporting of any transactions between Kinross and any officer, director or other "related party" (including any significant shareholder) or any entity in which any person has a financial interest and any potential conflicts of interest
- Any significant changes in the independent auditors' audit plan
- Other matters related to the conduct of the audit that are to be communicated to the Committee under generally accepted auditing standards.
- ◆ Reviewing the financial reporting
- ◆ With respect to the internal auditing department,
 - (i) reviewing the appointment and replacement of the director of the internal auditing department;
 - (ii) advising the director of the internal auditing department that he or she is expected to provide to the Audit and Risk Committee copies of significant reports to management prepared by the internal auditing department and management's responses thereto; and
 - (iii) considering if the internal auditing department has the resources needed to carry out its responsibilities.
- ◆ With respect to accounting principles and policies, financial reporting and internal control over financial reporting,
 - (i) to advise management, the internal auditing department and the independent auditors that they are expected to provide to the Audit and Risk Committee a timely analysis

of significant issues and practices relating to accounting principles and policies, financial reporting and internal control over financial reporting;

- (ii) to consider any reports or communications (and management's and/or the internal audit department's responses thereto) submitted to the Audit and Risk Committee by the independent auditors required by or referred to in SAS 61 (as codified by AU Section 380), as it may be modified or supplemented or other professional standards, including reports and communications related to:
 - deficiencies, including significant deficiencies or material weaknesses, in internal control identified during the audit or other matters relating to internal control over financial reporting;
 - consideration of fraud in a financial statement audit;
 - detection of illegal acts;
 - the independent auditors' responsibility under generally accepted auditing standards;
 - any restriction on audit scope;
 - significant accounting policies;
 - significant issues discussed with the national office respecting auditing or accounting issues presented by the engagement;
 - management judgments and accounting estimates;
 - any accounting adjustments arising from the audit that were noted or proposed by the auditors but were passed (as immaterial or otherwise);
 - the responsibility of the independent auditors for other information in documents containing audited financial statements;
 - disagreements with management;
 - consultation by management with other accountants;
 - major issues discussed with management prior to retention of the independent auditors;
 - difficulties encountered with management in performing the audit;
 - the independent auditors' judgments about the quality of the entity's accounting principles;
 - reviews of interim financial information conducted by the independent auditors; and
 - the responsibilities, budget and staffing of the Company's internal audit function.
- ◆ Satisfying itself that adequate procedures are in place for the review of Kinross' public disclosure of financial information extracted or derived from Kinross' financial statements, other than the annual and interim financial statements and related notes, management's discussion and analysis, earnings releases and the annual information form, and assessing the adequacy of such procedures periodically.

- ◆ Reviewing with the independent auditors and management the adequacy and effectiveness of the financial and accounting controls of Kinross.
- ◆ Reviewing the quality and appropriateness of Kinross' accounting policies and the clarity of financial information and disclosure practices adopted by Kinross and considering the independent auditor's judgments about the quality and appropriateness of Kinross' accounting principles and financial disclosure practices, as applied in its financial reporting and whether the accounting principles and underlying estimates are common or minority practices.
- ◆ Establishing procedures: (i) for receiving, handling and retaining of complaints received by Kinross regarding accounting, internal controls, or auditing matters, and (ii) for employees to submit confidential anonymous concerns regarding questionable accounting or auditing matters.
- ◆ Reviewing with the independent auditors any audit problems or difficulties and management's response and resolving disagreements between management and the auditors.
- ◆ Making inquiries of management and the independent auditors to identify significant, financial and control risks and exposures and assess the steps management has taken to minimize such risk to Kinross.
- ◆ Assessing the overall process for identifying principal financial and control risks and providing its views on the effectiveness of this process to the Board.
- ◆ Reviewing the adequacy of Kinross' disaster recovery plan to consider if operations can be resumed as quickly and efficiently as possible following the occurrence of any disaster.
- ◆ Reviewing reports of compliance with Kinross' policies on internal controls.
- ◆ Discussing any earnings guidance provided to analysts and rating agencies.
- ◆ Reviewing any significant tax exposures and tax planning initiatives intended to promote compliance with applicable laws while minimizing tax costs.
- ◆ At least annually obtaining and reviewing a report prepared by the independent auditors describing (i) the auditors' internal quality-control procedures; (ii) any material issues raised by the most recent internal quality-control review, or peer review, of the auditors, or by any inquiry of investigation by governmental or professional authorities, within the preceding five years, respecting one or more independent audits carried out by the auditors, and any steps taken to deal with any such issues; and (iii) (to assess the auditors' independence) all relationships between the independent auditors and Kinross, including each non-audit service provided to the Company and at least the matters set forth in Independent Standards Board No.1.
- ◆ Setting clear hiring policies for partners, employees or former partners and former employees of the independent auditors.
- ◆ Engaging and compensating (for which Kinross will provide appropriate funding) independent counsel and other advisors if the Committee determines such advisors are necessary to assist the Committee in carrying out its duties.
- ◆ Reporting disclosure respecting the mandate of the Committee and the Committee's activities included in Kinross' Management Information Circular prepared for the annual and general meeting of shareholders and Kinross' Annual Information Form.

IV. Meetings and Other Matters

The Audit and Risk Committee will meet regularly at times necessary to perform the duties described above in a timely manner, but not less than four times a year. Meetings may be held at any time deemed appropriate by the Committee.

The Audit and Risk Committee will meet periodically with representatives of the independent auditors, appropriate members of management and personnel responsible for the internal audit function, all either individually or collectively as may be required by the Committee.

The Audit and Risk Committee will also meet periodically without management present.

The independent auditors will have direct access to the Committee at their own initiative.

The Chair of the Committee will report periodically the Committee's findings and recommendations to the Board of Directors.

The Audit and Risk Committee shall have the resources and authority appropriate to discharge its duties and responsibilities, including the authority to select, retain, terminate, and approve the fees and other retention terms of special or independent counsel, accountants or other experts and advisors, as it deems necessary or appropriate, without seeking approval of the Board or management.

Kinross shall provide for appropriate funding, as determined by the Audit and Risk Committee, in its capacity as a committee of the Board, for payment of:

1. Compensation to the independent auditors and any other public accounting firm engaged for the purpose of preparing or issuing an audit report or performing other audit, review or attestation services for the Company;
2. Compensation of any advisers employed by the Audit and Risk Committee; and
3. Ordinary administrative expenses of the Audit and Risk Committee that are necessary or appropriate in carrying out its duties.

Schedule “I”

Independence Requirement of National Instrument 52-110

A member of the Audit and Risk Committee shall be considered “independent”, in accordance with *National Instrument 52-110 - Audit Committees* (“NI 52-110”), subject to the additional requirements or exceptions provided in NI 52-110, if that member has no direct or indirect relationship with the Company, which could reasonably interfere with the exercise of the member’s independent judgment. The following persons are considered to have a material relationship with the Company and, as such, cannot be a member of the Audit and Risk Committee:

- (a) an individual who is, or has been within the last three years, an employee or executive officer of the Company;
- (b) an individual whose immediate family member is, or has been within the last three years, an executive officer of the Company;
- (c) an individual who:
 - (i) is a partner of a firm that is the Company’s internal or external auditor;
 - (ii) is an employee of that firm; or
 - (iii) was within the last three years a partner or employee of that firm and personally worked on the Company’s audit within that time;
- (d) an individual whose spouse, minor child or stepchild, or child or stepchild who shares a home with the individual:
 - (i) is a partner of a firm that is the Company’s internal or external auditor;
 - (ii) is an employee of that firm and participates in its audit, assurance or tax compliance (but not tax planning) practice, or
 - (iii) was within the last three years a partner or employee of that firm and personally worked on the Company’s audit within that time;
- (e) an individual who, or whose immediate family member, is or has been within the last three years, an executive officer of an entity if any of the Company’s current executive officers serves or served at the same time on the entity’s compensation committee; and
- (f) an individual who received, or whose immediate family member who is employed as an executive officer of the Company received, more than \$75,000 in direct compensation from the Company during any 12 month period within the last three years, other than as remuneration for acting in his or her capacity as a member of the Board of Directors or any Board committee, or the receipt of fixed amounts of compensation under a retirement plan (including deferred compensation) for prior service for the Company if the compensation is not contingent in any way on continued service.

In addition to the independence criteria discussed above, for Audit and Risk Committee purposes, any individual who:

- (a) has a relationship with the Company pursuant to which the individual may accept, directly or indirectly, any consulting, advisory or other compensatory fee from the Company or any subsidiary entity of the Company, other than as remuneration for acting in his or her capacity as a member of the board of directors or any board committee; or as a part-time chair or vice-chair of the board or any board or committee, or

- (b) is an affiliated entity of the Company or any of its subsidiary entities,

is deemed to have a material relationship with the Company, and therefore, is deemed not to be independent.

The indirect acceptance by an individual of any consulting, advisory or other fee includes acceptance of a fee by:

- (a) an individual's spouse, minor child or stepchild, or a child or stepchild who shares the individual's home; or
- (b) an entity in which such individual is a partner, member, an officer such as a managing director occupying a comparable position or executive officer, or occupies a similar position (except limited partners, non-managing members and those occupying similar positions who, in each case, have no active role in providing services to the entity) and which provides accounting, consulting, legal, investment banking or financial advisory services to the Company or any subsidiary entity of the Company.

Independence Requirement of NYSE Rules

A director shall be considered “independent” in accordance with NYSE Rules if that director has no material relationship with the Company that may interfere with the exercise of his/her independence from management and the Company.

In addition:

- (a) A director who is an employee, or whose immediate family member is an executive officer, of the Company is not independent until three years after the end of such employment relationships.
- (b) A director who receives, or whose immediate family member receives, more than \$120,000 per year in direct compensation from the Company, other than director or committee fees and pension or other forms of deferred compensation for prior service (provided such compensation is not contingent in any way on continued service), is not independent until three years after he or she ceases to receive more than \$120,000 per year in such compensation.
- (c) A director who is (i) a current partner or employee of the Company’s internal or external auditor, (ii) was within the last three years a partner or employee of the auditor and personally worked on the Company’s audit during that time or (iii) whose immediate family member is a current partner of the Company’s auditor, a current employee of the auditor and personally works on the Company’s audit or was within the last three years a partner or employee of the auditor and personally worked on the Company’s audit during that time is not “independent”.
- (d) A director who is employed, or whose immediate family member is employed, as an executive officer of another company where any of the Company’s present executives serve on that company’s compensation committee is not “independent” until three years after the end of such service or the employment relationship.
- (e) A director who is an employee, or whose immediate family member is an executive officer, of a company that makes payments to, or receives payments from, the Company for property or services in an amount which, in any single fiscal year, exceeds the greater of \$1 million, or 2% of such other company’s consolidated gross revenues, is not “independent” until three years after falling below such threshold.

A member of the Audit and Risk Committee must also satisfy the independence requirements of Rule 10A-3(b)(1) adopted under the *Securities Exchange Act of 1934* as set out below:

In order to be considered to be independent, a member of an audit committee of a listed issuer that is not an investment company may not, other than in his or her capacity as a member of the audit

committee, the board of directors, or any other board committee:

- (a) Accept directly or indirectly any consulting, advisory, or other compensatory fee from the issuer or any subsidiary thereof, provided that, unless the rules of the national securities exchange or national securities association provide otherwise, compensatory fees do not include the receipt of fixed amounts of compensation under a retirement plan (including deferred compensation) for prior service with the listed issuer (provided that such compensation is not contingent in any way on continued service); or
- (b) Be an affiliated person of the issuer or any subsidiary thereof.

An “affiliated person” means a person who directly or indirectly controls Kinross, or a director who is an employee, executive officer, general partner or managing member of an entity that directly, or indirectly through one or more intermediaries, controls, or is controlled by, or is under common control with, Kinross.

Financial Literacy Under National Instrument 52-110

“Financially literate”, in accordance with NI 52-110, means that the director has the ability to read and understand a set of financial statements that present a breadth and level of complexity of accounting issues that are generally comparable to the breadth and complexity of the issues that can reasonably be expected to be raised by the Company’s financial statements.

Financial Expert under SEC Rules

An audit committee financial expert is defined as a person who has the following attributes:

- (a) an understanding of generally accepted accounting principles and financial statements;
- (b) the ability to assess the general application of such principles in connection with the accounting for estimates, accruals and reserves;
- (c) experience preparing, auditing, analyzing or evaluating financial statements that present a breadth and level of complexity of accounting issues which are generally comparable to the breadth and complexity of issues that can reasonably be expected to be raised by the registrant’s financial statements, or experience actively supervising one or more persons engaged in such activities;
- (d) an understanding of internal controls and procedures for financial reporting; and
- (e) an understanding of audit committee functions.

An individual will be required to possess all of the attributes listed in the above definition to qualify as an audit committee financial expert and must have acquired such attributes through one or more of the following means:

- (a) education and experience as a principal financial officer, principal accounting officer, controller, public accountant or auditor, or experience in one or more positions that involve the performance of similar function;
- (b) experience actively supervising a principal financial officer, principal accounting officer, controller, public accountant, auditor or person performing similar functions;
- (c) experience overseeing or assessing the performance of companies or public accountants with respect to the preparation, auditing or evaluation of financial statements; or
- (d) other relevant experience.

Exceptions to Independence Requirements of NI 52-110 for Audit Committee Members

Every Audit and Risk Committee member must be independent, subject to certain exceptions relating to (i) controlled companies; (ii) events outside the control of the member; (iii) the death, disability or resignation of the member; and (iv) the occurrence of certain exceptional circumstances.