



Delivering Value.

May 2026

Cautionary Statement on Forward-Looking Information

All statements, other than statements of historical fact, contained in this presentation including, but not limited to, any information as to the future financial or operating performance of Kinross, constitute “forward-looking information” or “forward-looking statements” within the meaning of certain securities laws, including the provisions of the Securities Act (Ontario) and the provisions for “safe harbor” under the United States Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995 and are based on expectations, estimates and projections as of the date of this presentation. Forward-looking statements contained in this presentation include, without limitation, statements with respect to: our guidance for production, cost guidance, including production costs of sales, all-in sustaining cost of sales, mill throughput and average grades, and capital expenditures; anticipated returns of capital to shareholders, including the declaration, payment, increase and sustainability of the Company’s dividends; the size, scope and execution of the proposed share buybacks and the anticipated timing thereof, including the Company’s statement targeting dividends and share buybacks for 2026 of 40% of free cash flow; statements with respect to our forecasts cash flow, free cash flow and estimated free cash flow yield; identification of additional resources and reserves or the conversion of resources to reserves; the forecast project schedules, production and economics of the Great Bear, Phase X, Curlew and Redbird 2 projects, including total production, production profile, margins, taxes, average annual production, the net present value of each project, the internal rate of return on each project, project payback period, average yearly free cash flow, average grade, life of mine unit costs and production, projected mine life, asset value and capital requirements; the schedules, budgets, and forecast economics for the Company’s other development projects; budgets for and future plans for exploration, development and operation at the Company’s operations and projects; planned timing for the submission of permits and impact statements; the Company’s sustainability goal and commitments including its greenhouse gas reduction initiatives and targets; planned timing for the submission of permits; potential mine life extensions at the Company’s operations; the Company’s balance sheet, debt levels and liquidity outlook, as well as references to other possible events including, the future price of gold and silver, costs of production, operating costs; price inflation; capital expenditures, costs and timing of the development of projects and new deposits, estimates and the realization of such estimates (such as mineral or gold reserves and resources or mine life), success of exploration, development and mining, currency fluctuations, capital requirements, project studies, government regulation, permit applications, environmental risks and proceedings, and resolution of pending litigation. The words “2026E”, “advance”, “anticipate”, “approach”, “beyond”, “commit”, “conceptual”, “continue”, “could”, “estimate”, “expect”, “focus”, “forecast”, “forward”, “future”, “growth”, “guidance”, “initial”, “milestone”, “on schedule”, “on track”, “opportunity”, “option”, “optionality”, “outlook”, “pending”, “plan”, “path”, “phase”, “plan”, “pipeline”, “potential”, “priority”, “proceed”, “progress”, “prospective”, “target” and “upside” or variations of or similar such words and phrases or statements that certain actions, events or results “may”, “could”, “will” or “would” occur, and similar expressions identify forward-looking statements. Forward-looking statements are necessarily based upon a number of estimates and assumptions that, while considered reasonable by Kinross as of the date of such statements, are inherently subject to significant business, economic and competitive uncertainties and contingencies. The estimates, models and assumptions of Kinross referenced, contained or incorporated by reference in this presentation, which may prove to be incorrect, include, but are not limited to, the various assumptions set forth herein and in our Annual Information Form dated March 26, 2026 and our full-year 2025 Management’s Discussion and Analysis as well as: (1) there being no significant disruptions affecting the activities of the Company whether due to extreme weather events and other or related natural disasters, labour disruptions, supply disruptions, power disruptions, damage to equipment or otherwise; (2) permitting and development of the projects being consistent with the Company’s expectations; (3) political and legal developments in the U.S., Canada and globally being consistent with its current expectations; (4) the accuracy of the current mineral resource and mineral reserve estimates of the Company (including but not limited to tonnage and grade estimates); (5) certain price assumptions for gold and silver and foreign exchange rates; and (6) inflation and prices for diesel, natural gas, fuel oil, electricity and other key supplies being approximately consistent with anticipated levels. Known and unknown factors could cause actual results to differ materially from those projected in the forward-looking statements. There can be no assurance that forward-looking statements will prove to be accurate, as actual results and future events could differ materially from those anticipated in such statements. Forward-looking statements are provided for the purpose of providing information about management’s expectations and plans relating to the future. All of the forward-looking statements made in this presentation are qualified by this cautionary statement and those made in our other filings with the securities regulators of Canada and the United States including, but not limited to, the cautionary statements made in the “Risk Analysis” section of our Management’s Discussion and Analysis for the year ended December 31, 2025, and the “Risk Factors” set forth in the Company’s Annual Information Form dated March 26, 2026, and the “Cautionary Statement on Forward-Looking Information” in our news release dated April 29, 2026, to which readers are referred and which are incorporated by reference in this presentation, all of which qualify any and all forward-looking statements made in this presentation. These factors are not intended to represent a complete list of the factors that could affect Kinross. Kinross disclaims any intention or obligation to update or revise any forward-looking statements or to explain any material difference between subsequent actual events and such forward-looking statements, except to the extent required by applicable law.

Cautionary Statement on Forward-Looking Information Continued

Certain forward-looking statements in this presentation may also constitute a “financial outlook” within the meaning of applicable securities laws. A financial outlook involves statements about the Company’s prospective financial performance, financial position or cash flows and is based on and subject to the assumptions about future economic conditions and courses of action and the risk factors described above in respect of forward-looking information generally, as well as any other specific assumptions and risk factors in relation to such financial outlook noted in this presentation. Such assumptions are based on management’s assessment of the relevant information currently available, and any financial outlook included in this presentation is provided for the purpose of helping viewers understand the Company’s current expectations and plans for the future. Viewers are cautioned that reliance on any financial outlook may not be appropriate for other purposes or in other circumstances and that the risk factors described above, or other factors may cause actual results to differ materially from any financial outlook. The actual results of the Company’s operations will likely vary from the amounts set forth in any financial outlook and such variances may be material.

Other information

Where we say “we”, “us”, “our”, the “Company”, or “Kinross” in this presentation, we mean Kinross Gold Corporation and/or one or more or all of its subsidiaries, as may be applicable.

The technical information about the Company’s mineral properties contained in presentation has been prepared under the supervision of Mr. Nicos Pfeiffer who is a “qualified person” within the meaning of National Instrument 43-101.

The studies and economic analyses referenced in this presentation, including the Great Bear PEA, are preliminary in nature and are based, in part, on inferred mineral resources. Inferred mineral resources are considered too geologically speculative to have the economic considerations applied to them that would enable them to be categorized as mineral reserves. There is no certainty that the economic forecasts on which the studies and economic analyses are based will be realized.

This presentation references attributable production cost of sales per equivalent ounce sold, attributable all-in sustaining cost per equivalent ounce sold, adjusted net earnings per share, attributable free cash flow, attributable capital expenditures, free cash flow and all-in sustaining cost, which are non-GAAP financial measures and ratios, with no standardized meaning under IFRS and therefore, may not be comparable to similar measures presented by other issuers.

All dollar amounts are expressed in U.S. dollars, unless otherwise noted.

Table of Contents

Company Overview	5
Great Bear	23
Operations & Projects	32
Sustainability	58
Appendix	63

Kinross: A Top Performing Senior Gold Producer



OPERATIONAL EXCELLENCE

- **Forecast Production:**
 - ~2Moz per year¹
 - **6 mines** across **attractive jurisdictions**
 - **Consistent execution** underpinned by **operational and technical excellence**
- **Sizeable Gold Reserve² & Resource² base:**
 - ~21Moz of P&P Reserves,
 - ~27Moz of M&I,
 - ~17Moz of Inferred
- **Pipeline** of projects including **world class Great Bear and Lobo-Marté**



FINANCIAL STRENGTH

- **Rigorous Cost and Capital discipline**
- **Record Margins, Free Cash Flow, and Strong Outlook**
- **Robust Investment Grade balance sheet – \$1.4B Net Cash**
- **2025: ~\$1.5 billion returned**
 - \$700 million to debtholders
 - \$752 million to shareholders
- **2026: targeting 40% of Free Cash Flow in return of capital** (dividends & buybacks)
 - ~\$350 million YTD⁸
 - >\$1 billion since Q1 2025
 - 33% increase to annual dividend³ since Q3/25
- **Growing per share metrics**



COMPELLING VALUE

- **Peer leading leverage to gold and shareholder returns**
- **Compelling relative valuation and strong Free Cash Flow yield** of ~10%⁴
- **Buy Recommendation** from Majority of Research Analysts⁵
- **Highly liquid stock** trading >US\$500M⁶ of daily volume across NYSE: KGC & TSX:K
- **2025 TSX Top 30** ranking for 3-year Total Shareholder Returns



LEADING SUSTAINABILITY PERFORMANCE

- **Consistent top performer** in Sustainability by key rating agencies
- On track for **30% reduction** in GHG intensity by 2030
- **99% of employees** hired within the host countries
- **\$54 billion** in Cumulative Benefit Footprint since 2010⁷
- Strong independent Board of Directors:
 - **7 new directors since 2019:** Proactive Board Refresh Program

1) Gold equivalent ounces (Au Eq. oz) through guidance timeframe out to 2028. Refer to endnote #1

2) Mineral Reserves & Resources as of December 31, 2025. See Appendix B.

3) Annual dividend of \$0.16 per share as announced on February 18th, 2026

4) FCF Yield Calculated as 2026E Free Cash Flow Per Share estimate per consensus / Share Price. Source: S&P Capital IQ (incl. Kinross) of May 6th, 2026. Value for Free Cash Flow Per Share based on 2026E consensus as of May 6th, 2026

5) As per Broker ratings available on Bloomberg as of May 6th, 2026. See Pg. 8

6) Average daily dollar (USD) volume traded over last 30-days as of May 6th, 2026, across both TSX and NYSE

7) Spending on procurement, payments to governments, wages and benefits and community investments Kinross-wide (see 2024 Sustainability Report on www.kinross.com)

8) As of April 29th, 2026

Q1 Highlights – on track to achieve 2026 guidance

Continued Strong Performance

- ✓ Production on plan
- ✓ Robust cost management driving record operating margins
- ✓ Delivered 4th consecutive quarter of record Free Cash Flow¹ of \$838 million

Disciplined Capital Allocation

- ✓ Excellent financial position and Free Cash Flow¹ outlook
- ✓ Added \$440 million to the balance sheet
- ✓ Returned approximately \$350 million to shareholders year-to-date²

Operations Delivering


- ✓ **Paracatu**: outstanding quarter with record recoveries and significant Free Cash Flow¹
- ✓ **Tasiast** – increased production delivering substantial Free Cash Flow¹
- ✓ On track to meet guidance

Project Pipeline Advancing

- ✓ **Brownfields** – US projects progressing
- ✓ **Greenfields** – Great Bear and Lobo-Marte advancing well; key permitting milestones achieved
- ✓ Extensive resource inventory with significant optionality

High Quality Portfolio of Assets

Backed by Top Tier¹ Mines and World Class Development Projects in Attractive Jurisdictions



Mauritania
2026E
Production: 505koz
Cost of Sales⁶: \$1,050/oz

Chile
2026E
Production: 210koz
Cost of Sales⁶: \$1,320/oz

USA
2026E
Attr. Production²: 685koz
Attr. Cost of Sales^{2,3}: \$1,700/oz

	FY2025 Results (+/- 5%)	FY2026 Guidance (+/- 5%)	FY2027 Guidance (+/- 5%)	FY2028 Guidance (+/- 5%)
Attr. Production²	2.0 Moz	2.0 Moz	2.0 Moz	2.0 Moz
Attr. Production Cost of Sales^{2,3}	\$1,135/oz	\$1,360/oz	-	-
Attr. All-in Sustaining Cost^{2,3}	\$1,571/oz	\$1,730/oz	-	-
Attr. Capital Expenditures^{2,3}	\$1,175M	\$1,500M	\$1,500M ⁴	\$1,500M ⁴

Operations ●
Development Projects ●

1) Top tier defined as assets with Life of Mine (LOM) into the next decade and annual production averaging greater than 500koz and cash costs in the lower half of the industry cost curve
 2) Forecast 2026-2028 production, costs and capital expenditures is attributable and includes Kinross' share of Manh Choh (70%). Refer to endnote #1. See Appendix A for 2025 Actuals.
 3) Refer to endnote #3.

4) Subject to ongoing inflationary impacts and project opportunities currently under study, which have the potential to contribute in the 2030s
 5) See Appendix B
 6) See endnote #2.
 7) Per metrics outlined in PEA published on September 10th, 2024
 8) See endnote #6.

Exceptional Operating Track Record

Kinross has a longstanding track record of meeting or exceeding annual guidance metrics


	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025	Kinross Avg.	Peer ¹ Avg.
Production	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	-	-	✓	✓	✓	~86%	~72%
Cost	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	-	✓	✓	✓	✓	~93%	~63%
Capital	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	100%	-

Kinross has a robust annual planning and guidance setting process



2026 Guidance: On Track


Production
(Au eq. Moz)
2.0Moz (+/-5%)




Cost of Sales
(\$/oz)
\$1,360/oz (+/-5%)



All-in Sustaining Cost
(\$/oz)
\$1,730/oz (+/-5%)




Capital
(\$ millions)
\$1,500M (+/-5%)



Guidance Range

Portfolio & Grade Enhancement Strategy

Long term vision of portfolio optimization stretching into the 2030s



1) Per the Manh Choh proceeding with development announcement on July 27, 2022

2) Open Pit (OP), Underground (UG)

3) All-in sustaining cost (AISC) is a Non-GAAP measure. Refer to endnote #6.

4) Economics at \$4,500/oz. Refer to Endnote #8 on Project Economics

5) Economics at \$4,500/oz. Based on Great Bear PEA. The PEA is preliminary in nature and is based, in part, on Inferred Mineral Resources. Inferred Mineral Resources are considered too geologically speculative to have the economic considerations applied to them that would enable them to be categorized as Mineral Reserves. There is no certainty that the economic forecasts on which the PEA is based will be realized.

6) Over the first 8 years

Significant Resource Optionality




Significant resource base for potential extensions at existing operations

New growth projects that potentially contribute to the production profile through the end of the decade and beyond

	\$2,000/oz Au		\$2,500/oz Au		Growth Opportunities	Potential 2027-30 Impact	Potential 2030+ Impact
	Reserves	Resources					
	2P	M&I	Inferred				
Extensions at Current Operations	Paracatu, Brazil	4.8	3.5	0.0	Resource extensions via NW layback and footwall extensions		✓
	Tasiast, Mauritania	4.4	2.4	2.4	West Branch 6, Satellite Open Pits, Underground Optionality		✓
	Round Mt., U.S.A	1.9	1.4	2.0	Phase X plus extensions at depth, Other Open Pit Optionality	✓	✓
	Fort Knox, U.S.A	1.4	2.4	0.6	Phase 11 Open Pit Extension; Gil Extensions		✓
	Bald Mt., U.S.A	1.2	2.5	0.8	Redbird, Top Pit, and other Satellite Pits	✓	✓
	La Coipa, Chile	0.4	2.1	0.2	Oxide Open Pit Extensions	✓	✓
Growth Project Optionality	Curlew, U.S.A.	-	0.4	0.8	Curlew project; Roadrunner, Stealth and other UG extensions	✓	✓
	Great Bear, Canada	-	2.7	4.3	Expected to drive meaningful production and cash flow through the 2030s	✓	✓
	Lobo-Marte, Chile	6.7	2.8	0.7	Open Pit Heap Leach with strong heap leach grade (1.3 g/t) and low strip ratio (2:1)		✓
	Maricunga, Chile	-	7.1	4.9	Open Pit Heap Leach with significant scale and potential margin at current gold prices		✓
	Total Gold^{1,2}(Moz)	20.9	27.5	16.6			
Δ vs 2024	-0.9	+1.6	+3.4	2P: substantially replaced depletion due to mine plan optimizations, near mine exploration and progression of project studies M&I and Inferred: growth due to exploration success and leveraging higher gold prices for open pit growth			

US Project Highlights

Three US-based organic growth projects yielding significant incremental value

	Round Mt. Phase X <i>Nevada, USA</i>	Curlew <i>Washington, USA</i>	Bald Mt. Redbird 2 <i>Nevada, USA</i>	Highlights ¹
				
NPV <small>5% \$3,200² \$4,500²</small>	\$1.0 B \$2.0 B	\$0.5 B \$1.3 B	\$0.5 B \$1.0 B	Cumulative addition of \$4.3B at \$4,500 Au
IRR <small>\$3,200² \$4,500²</small>	40% 70%	24% 47%	32% 62%	Combined IRR of 59% at \$4,500 Au
Payback <small>\$3,200² \$4,500²</small>	3.0 yrs 1.8 yrs	3.2 yrs 2.0 yrs	2.4 yrs 1.7 yrs	Quick payback across all three projects
Initial Capital	\$400 M	\$485 M	\$490 M	Manageable Initial Capital to drive high-grade growth
Cost of Sales⁴ <small>\$3,200² \$4,500²</small>	\$1,485/oz \$1,593/oz	\$1,445/oz \$1,495/oz	\$1,300/oz \$1,371/oz	Average incremental cost of sales ⁴ of ~\$1,515/oz
AISC³ <small>\$3,200² \$4,500²</small>	\$1,590/oz \$1,697/oz	\$1,684/oz \$1,734/oz	\$1,406/oz \$1,477/oz	Average incremental AISC ³ of ~\$1,660/oz
LOM Production <small>Au. Eq.²</small>	1.4 Moz	0.9 Moz	0.6 Moz	Total contribution of nearly 3Moz
Initial Mine Life	2028 – 2038 (11 yrs)	2028 – 2038 (11 yrs)	2028 – 2032 (5 yrs)	Extending mine lives into the 2030s
Avg. Production/Yr <small>Au. Eq.²</small>	~130 koz	~85 koz	~130 koz	Total contribution of nearly 3Moz
Average Grade	3.0 g/t	5.8 g/t	0.5 g/t	Grade enhancement strategy (Phase X & Curlew)
Mine / Process	Underground; Mill	Underground; Mill	Open-pit; Heap Leach	Leveraging existing infrastructure
Upside Potential	Exploration down-dip	Exploration down-dip	Large Open-Pit Resource, including Top Pit	Significant extension potential across all three assets

Attractive Project Pipeline

Potential 2029+ Production Impact

Great Bear Ontario, Canada



Lobo-Martel Chile



Tasiast UG and Satellites Mauritania



Maricunga Chile



Additional Open Pit Laybacks All



Project Overview

World-class resource
Highly prospective PEA
518koz/yr¹
\$865/oz AISC²

Potential long-life, low-
cost open pit
Strong heap leach grade
(1.3 g/t) and
Low strip ratio (2:1)

Bulk-tonnage
underground and open
pit satellite potential to
extend Tasiast mine life

Historical open pit heap
leach operation
Potential for restart
Large resource base
(7.1 Moz M&I plus 4.9
Moz Inf.)

Further open pit
extension opportunity in
our resource at Paracatu,
Fort Knox, La Coipa &
Bald Mountain

2026 Focus

- Advanced exploration decline development
- Main project detailed engineering and permitting
- Continue exploration from underground and on broader land package
- Main project construction



- Project study update in H2 2026
- Baseline studies
- Progress permitting
- Progress technical and engineering work

- Exploration drilling of UG target extensions
- Exploration for additional OP satellite opportunities
- Progress technical studies for both UG and OP extensions

- Refresh mine plan and economics
- Progress technical studies
- Progress baseline and water studies

- Exploration drilling targeting optimization of next layback
- Progress technical studies
- Environmental baseline studies

Cost Discipline Driving Strong Cash Flow



1) 2026 AISC guidance based on mid-point value of Company guidance ranges. Newmont AISC is on a co-product basis. Refer to Appendix A for Kinross' 2025 Actuals


2) Refer to endnote #7

3) FCF represents Attributable Free Cash Flow as reported. Financial figures are non-GAAP financial measures or ratios, as applicable, with no standardized meaning under IFRS and therefore, may not be comparable to similar measures presented by other issuers. Refer to endnote #3. "Attributable" includes Kinross' 70% share of Manh Choh cash flow,

4) Per S&P Capital IQ calculations: Annualized NOPAT / Average Total Capital (i.e., Total Common Equity + Minority Interest + Long Term Debt + Long Term Leases)


Strong Free Cash Flow Metrics

2025 Free Cash Flow¹ vs. Total Gold Production²



2025 Free Cash Flow¹ Per Ounce of Total Gold Production^{2,3}

US\$/oz



1) Free Cash Flow calculated as Operating Cash Flow (OCF) minus Capital Expenditures (CAPEX). Source: S&P Capital IQ (incl. Kinross). Value for Operating Cash Flow and Capital Expenditures based on calendar twelve months ended December 31st, 2025 period values per S&P Capital IQ (incl. Kinross).


2) "Total Gold Production" defined as Total Gold Equivalent (GEO) Production as per Total Reported GEO sales for calendar twelve months ended December 31st, 2025 S&P Capital IQ values and as per reported Company Disclosure (incl. Kinross).

3) Free Cash Flow per Ounce of Production calculated as Free Cash Flow divided by Total Gold Equivalent Production (GEO) based on calendar twelve months ended December 31st, 2025 period values per S&P Capital IQ (incl. Kinross) and as per reported Company Disclosure (incl. Kinross)

Strengthening Financial Position

Financial Strength


- As of March 31, 2026:
 - \$2.2 billion** of cash and cash equivalents
 - \$440 million cash** added in Q1/26
 - \$3.9 billion** of total liquidity¹
 - \$1.4 billion of Net Cash**²
- \$1.5 billion revolving credit facility renewed** in 2025, restoring the 5-year term



Reduced Debt Profile

- No near-term debt maturities**
- In 2025:
 - \$700 million debt repaid**
 - \$200 million** – remaining term loan used to fund the Great Bear acquisition
 - \$500 million** – 4.50% Senior Notes due in 2027
- Moody's upgrade:** Baa3 to Baa2 credit rating
- S&P update:** Stable to positive outlook

Agency	Rating
Moody's	Baa2 (Stable)
Fitch	BBB (Stable)
S&P	BBB- (Positive)



1) "Total liquidity" is defined as the sum of cash and cash equivalents, as reported on the interim condensed consolidated balance sheet as at March 31, 2026, and available credit under the Company's credit facilities (as calculated in Section 6 Liquidity and Capital Resources of Kinross' MD&A for the three months ended March 31, 2026).
 2) Net Cash / (Debt) is a non-GAAP financial measure with no standardized meaning under IFRS and therefore, may not be comparable to similar measures presented by other issuers. Refer to endnote #5.

Disciplined Capital Allocation Strategy

1 REINVESTING IN OUR BUSINESS

2025 ACTUAL

2026 TARGET

Q1/26 ACTUAL

- **Sustaining Capex¹** – maintaining operations safely and to world-class standards
- **Growth Projects¹** – pursuing attractive returns by leveraging our infrastructure and experience
- **Exploration** – capitalizing on opportunities to extend or grow production

\$1,175M

\$1,500M

\$279M

\$174M

\$185M

\$26M

2 MAINTAINING FINANCIAL STRENGTH

- In a strong financial position with three investment grade credit ratings

\$1.0B
Net Cash^{2,3}

Strengthening the
balance sheet

\$1.4B
Net Cash^{3,4}

3 RETURNING CAPITAL TO SHAREHOLDERS

- **Sustainable Quarterly Dividend**
- **Share Repurchases** – to improve per share metrics

\$152M
(+17% in Q4/25)

~\$190M
(+14% in Q1/26)

~\$50M

\$600M
(2.5% of shares o/s)

Ongoing share
buybacks

\$250M
(0.6% of shares o/s)

Total: \$752M⁵Total: 40% of FCF⁶Total: ~\$300M⁵

1) See Capital Expenditure Guidance table in Appendix A.

2) As of December 31, 2025.

3) Net Cash / (Debt) is a non-GAAP financial measure with no standardized meaning under IFRS and therefore, may not be comparable to similar measures presented by other issuers. Refer to endnote #5.


4) As of March 31, 2026

5) Sum of dividends and share buybacks in USD from January 1st, 2025 to December 31st, 2025 for 2025 Actuals, and January 1, 2026 to March 31, 2026 for Q1/26 Actuals

6) Free Cash Flow ("FCF") is equivalent to attributable free cash flow, which is a non-GAAP financial measure with no standardized meaning under IFRS and therefore, may not be comparable to similar measures presented by other issuers. Refer to endnote #3.

Strong Capital Markets Presence

Ownership Summary¹



Top 10 Shareholders¹

Investor	% of S/O
Van Eck Associates Corporation	8.7%
BlackRock Investment Management (U.K.), LTD	5.8%
The Vanguard Group, Inc.	4.3%
BlackRock Fund Advisors	3.2%
Arrowstreet Capital, L.P.	3.1%
Boston Partners Global Investors, Inc.	3.0%
Fidelity Investments Canada ULC	2.4%
RBC Global Asset Management, Inc.	2.2%
Dimensional Fund Advisors, L.P. (U.S.)	2.0%
Renaissance Technologies, LLC	1.9%
Total	36.6%

- 1) Ownership as at March 31st, 2026 per S&P Global
- 2) As at March 31st, 2026
- 3) Average daily dollar (USD) volume traded over last 30-days across TSX and NYSE
- 4) As of May 6th, 2026
- 5) Total Return of Capital Yield calculated as 40% of 2026E Free Cash Flow Per Share estimate per consensus / Share Price. Source: S&P Capital IQ as of May 6th, 2026. Value for Free Cash Flow Per Share based on 2026E consensus as of May 6th, 2026.
- 6) As per Broker ratings available on Bloomberg as of May 6th, 2026

Equity and Debt Information

New York Stock Exchange	NYSE:KGC
Toronto Stock Exchange	TSX:K
Number of Common Shares Outstanding (million)	1,194 ²
Average Daily Trading Volume (TSX & NYSE)	>\$500 million ³
Market Capitalization Enterprise Value (billion USD)	\$36.8 \$35.5 ⁴
Total Return of Capital Yield (consensus)	4.0% ⁵
Debt Rating (S&P, Moody's, and Fitch)	Investment Grade


Strong Equity Research Coverage⁶

Firm	Analyst	Rating
1 Bank of America	Lawson Winder	BUY
2 BMO Capital Markets	Matthew Murphy	BUY
3 Beacon Securities	Michael Curran	BUY
4 Canaccord Genuity	Carey MacRury	BUY
5 CIBC Capital Markets	Anita Soni	BUY
6 Cormark Securities	Richard Gray	BUY
7 Desjardins	Bryce Adams	BUY
8 Jefferies	Fahad Tariq	BUY
9 National Bank Financial	Shane Nagle	BUY
10 Raymond James	Judith Elliot	HOLD
11 RBC Capital Markets	Josh Wolfson	BUY
12 Scotiabank GBM	Tanya Jakusconek	BUY
13 Stifel Canada	Ralph Profiti	BUY
14 TD Securities	Steven Green	BUY
15 UBS	Daniel Major	BUY
	Average Rating	BUY


Peer Leading Leverage to Gold

Superior Leverage to Gold

2025 Revenue by Commodity
(% of Total)¹




2-Year Beta to Gold & GD²




Leading Value Accretion Per Share and Shareholder Returns

2-Year Consensus Net Asset Value Per Share Growth³



2-Year Total Shareholder Return⁴




1) Per RBC Equity Research
 2) Per Bloomberg data
 3) Calculated as % change of consensus Net Asset Value per share estimates. Source: monthly Net Asset Value per share consensus data per S&P Capital IQ (incl. Kinross) – last month April 2026.
 4) 2-Year Total Shareholder Return (TSR) or price increase as of May 6th, 2026. Source S&P Capital IQ (incl. Kinross)


Attractive Valuation

Trading at Compelling Valuation Multiples & FCF Yield Against Peers


Price / Net Asset Value¹



EV / EBITDA 2026²



2026E FCF Yield %³





1) Calculated as Share Price / Net Asset Value (NAV) Per Share. Source: S&P Capital IQ (incl. Kinross) of May 6th, 2026. Values for NAV Per Share based on consensus as of May 6th, 2026
 2) Calculated as Enterprise Value / 2026E EBITDA. Source: S&P Capital IQ (incl. Kinross) of May 6th, 2026. Values for EBITDA based on 2026E consensus as of May 6th, 2026
 3) FCF Yield Calculated as 2026E Free Cash Flow Per Share estimate per consensus / Share Price. Source: S&P Capital IQ (incl. Kinross) of May 6th, 2026. Value for Free Cash Flow Per Share based on 2026E consensus as of May 6th, 2026.
 4) Per most recent available broker research NAV sensitivities at published spot gold prices (Brokers include Canaccord, CIBC, National Bank, & RBC)

Attractive Valuation Cont'd

Trading at Compelling Multiples Against Main Market Sectors

Price to NTM Earnings¹

EV / NTM EBITDA²



1) Calculated as Share Price / Next Twelve Months (NTM) Earnings Per Share (EPS) estimates. Source S&P Capital IQ Forward Multiples (incl. Kinross) as of May 6th, 2026.
 2) Calculated as Enterprise Value / Next Twelve Months (NTM) EBITDA estimates. Source S&P Capital IQ Forward Multiples (incl. Kinross) as of May 6th, 2026.
 3) Gold Miners represents average multiple of the top 10 miners held in the VanEck GDX ETF (incl. Kinross) as of May 6th, 2026

Expected Milestones¹

2026

US Projects approval and economic update; Construction progress updates	Great Bear Submit the Final Phase of IS, AEX Construction, and Detailed Eng.
Tasiast Exploration update for satellite and UG mining opportunities	Paracatu Updated Technical Report extending mine life
Return of Capital Targeting to return 40% of Free Cash Flow	Lobo-Marte Submission of EIA and Project Study Update
Balance Sheet Further strengthening of the balance sheet	Progress updates on resource optionality across portfolio

2027 - 2030

Great Bear Construction and Production	Round Mt. Phase X Construction and Production
Bald Mountain Redbird Phase 2 Construction and Production	La Coipa Transition to Oxide Extensions
Lobo-Marte Progress Permitting and Initiate Construction	Curlew Construction and Production
Balance Sheet Repay 2027 \$500M Senior Notes	Tasiast Study for UG mining opportunity

Ongoing Greenfield and Brownfield Exploration to Bring in New Projects and Mine Life Extensions

Investment Case: Why Kinross?

Delivering Shareholder Value

Consistent Operational Excellence ✓

Rigorous Cost & Capital Discipline ✓

Strong Margins & Record Free Cash Flow ✓

Robust Investment Grade Balance Sheet ✓

Attractive Dividend & Share Buyback ✓

Growing per Share Metrics ✓

Compelling Valuation ✓


Highly Liquid Stock ✓

Attractive Organic Growth Pipeline ✓


Significant Resource Inventory ✓

Compelling Value

2026E Free Cash Flow Yield %¹



Share Price Outperformance





Great Bear

National Indigenous Peoples Day

Kinross' Great Bear team partnered with the First Nations to participate in a learning opportunity on land-based teachings and knowledge sharing.

Great Bear – Project Location

Premier Mining Jurisdiction with Strong Infrastructure

Highly Attractive Jurisdiction

- 24 km southeast of town of Red Lake, Ontario
- Stable operating jurisdiction

Established Existing Footprint


- Host to several existing mining operations
- Close to strong skilled labour pool

Significant Regional Infrastructure

- Site accessible via paved Highway 105
- Existing provincial transmission powerline and Enbridge Natural gas line

Strong Culture

- Continued engagement with local stakeholders and Indigenous partners



Great Bear – Current Update

AEX and Main Project Progressing Well



AEX Site Overview – October 2025



Portal Boxcut – October 2025

On schedule for **first production in late 2029**, subject to permitting

PERMITTING:

Advanced Exploration (“AEX”):

- Received all remaining permits

Main Project:

- Federal and Provincial permitting **continues to advance**
- Federally, the **Final Phase** of the Impact Statement **submitted in Q1/26**
- Provincially, **advancing the permitting** process **under** One Project, One Process **designation**

PROJECT UPDATE:

Advanced Exploration:

- Target to commence construction of the **underground decline this summer**; surface works substantially complete
- **Decline to provide access** for exploration and extension of the underground resource, as well as delineation drilling

Main Project:

- Detailed engineering advancing well with **~45% complete**
- **Initial procurement** for process plant and surface infrastructure **is progressing well**

Great Bear – PEA Highlights

PEA⁴ Highlights Both, Strong Economics and Positive Technical Attributes

Positive Technical Attributes

- ✓ Clean metallurgy – no deleterious elements
- ✓ High metallurgical recoveries of 95.7%
- ✓ Straightforward conventional mill circuit
- ✓ Right-sized 10ktpd mill reducing project risk
- ✓ Highly competent geotechnical conditions
- ✓ Strong underground widths for high-productivity long-hole mining
- ✓ Significant production flexibility from high-grade open pit combined with underground
- ✓ Robust tailings and water management strategy
- ✓ >500km of drilling to date including ~16km of geotechnical drilling and 35km of RC drilling increasing resource confidence

518koz / Year
Avg. Annual Production
over the first 8 Years

\$1.4B FCF^{1,2}/Year
@ \$4,500/oz

\$865/oz AISC¹
Life of Mine
\$645/oz LOM
Cash Cost³

**12 Year Initial
Mine Life**
Strong Underground
Expansion Potential

\$7,974M NPV
@ \$4,500/oz

62.7% IRR
@ \$4,500/oz

<1 Year Payback
@ \$4,500/oz

**Manageable
Capex**
\$1.4B Initial Capital
including contingencies

Note: Metrics calculated after-tax at \$4,500/oz and are discounted at 5% where applicable; All dollar amounts are expressed in U.S. dollars;

1) Free cash flow (FCF) and All-in sustaining cost (AISC) are Non-GAAP measures. Refer to endnote #6.



2) Average FCF over the first 8 years of production excluding stump years.

3) Refer to endnote #2.

4) The PEA is preliminary in nature and is based, in part, on Inferred Mineral Resources. Inferred Mineral Resources are considered too geologically speculative to have the economic considerations applied to them that would enable them to be categorized as Mineral Reserves. There is no certainty that the economic forecasts on which the PEA is based will be realized.

Great Bear PEA Production Profile¹


Top Tier Production Profile of ~500koz per year production from the combined Open Pit and Underground operations >4 g/t Mill Grade



1) Per Great Bear PEA published September 10th, 2024 subject to permitting

Great Bear – High Grade Intercepts at Depth

Drilling Beyond the PEA Inventory Shows Upside Potential for Resource Additions



Recent deep drilling from surface shows continued high grade mineralization at depth, highlighting potential for resource additions


Great Bear Exploration

- Positive results validating a view of potential for a multi-decade high grade operation
- Continuing to explore along the **18km LP Structural Corridor**
- New mineralization identified at the **Strider Zone**
- Drilling is active, on strike and down dip to define the extents of this new zone


Regional Exploration

Where we see opportunity for growth

- **Extensions along Strike**
- Red Lake style mineralization
- Zones parallel to LP Fault




New Strider Zone




Great Bear – AEX Decline

Enables Efficient Drilling of Underground Material

Conceptual Exploration Timeline



Advanced Exploration Underground Design




Advanced Exploration Surface Design



Great Bear – Targeted Path to Production

Conceptual Project Development Timeline



- Kinross Activities
- Baseline Study Requirements
- Government Activities

(1) Three phased submission of the Impact Statement completed on time. Phase 1, 2, and 3 submitted in September 2025, December 2025, and March 2026, respectively.
 (2) Average timelines consider Impact Assessment Agency of Canada ("IAAC") process. Permitting timelines are estimated and subject to Government process, consultation and engagement.
 (3) Remaining construction and operating permits are to be obtained in the ordinary course during construction prior to First Production.




Operations & Projects

Mauritania



2026E Gold Equivalent Production¹



Asset


Highlights

Tasiast

- Top-tier² asset
- Low-cost mine
- Strong production profile supported by a large gold reserve in a prospective district
- Expected to deliver low-cost production and significant cash flow into the next decade
- Solar power facility supplying ~20% of site power

Mauritania – A World Class Mining Jurisdiction

- Politically stable democracy which gained independence in 1960
- Safe, secure jurisdiction with positive regional relations and strong US, French, and NATO presence
- Actively promotes foreign investment with pro-mining policies and a competitive fiscal and regulatory framework
- Foreign investment is robust and steadily increasing, with investments from BP, Total and First Quantum among others
- Mining is a major industry and critical to the economy alongside fishing and oil & gas, with the mining sector contributing:
 - 19% to national GDP in 2024
 - 25% of government revenue in 2024
- Tasiast is a major contributor to the national economy through royalties and taxes, strengthening the economic benefits in country
- Kinross continues to maintain a strong relationship with the Government of Mauritania and local communities around the Tasiast mine



Tasiast

Low-cost Mine Backed by a Substantial Reserve and Exploration Potential

- **Strong Q1/26** due to higher grades with consistent recoveries
- Lowest cost operation driving **robust free cash flow**
- Another **outstanding year expected in 2026**
- Successfully **finalized a 5-year CLA**⁴
- **Ongoing exploration** highlighting the potential to **extend mine life** via satellite pits, open-pit extensions or underground



[Link to Tasiast VRIFY Model on Kinross Website](#)

Operating Results


	2024A	2025A	Q1/26A	2026E ¹
Production (Au eq. oz.)	622,394	503,429	130,014	505,000
Production cost of sales (\$/oz.) ²	\$681	\$884	\$990	\$1,050

2025 Gold Reserve & Resource Estimates³

	Tonnes (kt)	Grade (g/t)	Ounces (koz)
2P Reserves	102,765	1.3	4,401
M&I Resources	79,067	0.9	2,396
Inferred Resources	35,950	2.1	2,377

Tasiast – Production Profile – 2P Reserves Only


- Tasiast life-of-mine plan has been updated on the back of the 2024 reserve update
- Updated mine plan reflects continued success increasing 2025-2027 production profile via operational improvements, mine design, and satellite mining opportunities
 - **2026 & 2027:** Expected to be around 500koz/yr due to ongoing mine plan optimization
- Drilling at depth continues to show continuity of mineralization and potential for underground production in the longer term at Tasiast
- Production profile **excludes M&I resources of 2.4 Moz plus an additional 2.4 Moz** of Inferred resources



Tasiast West Branch Exploration

Tasiast West Branch – Strong Underground Potential


- 2025 deep drilling shows mineralization continues at least 1.8km along strike and down plunge
- Confirms strong continuity beyond current resource boundaries



Brazil



2026E Gold Equivalent Production¹



Asset

Highlights

Paracatu

- Top-tier² asset
- Largest gold mine in Brazil, and one of the world's largest gold mining operations
- Attractive cost structure and stable long mine life extending into the next decade
- Track record of strong operating performance with eight consecutive years >500koz of production
- Two hydro-electric power plants supplying ~70% of site power

Paracatu

Top-tier Gold Mine with Production extending into the Next Decade

- **Largest producer in Q1/26**
- **Record recoveries** driven by continuous improvement
- **Over 600koz produced in 2025** – highest producer driving substantial cash flow
- **8th consecutive year producing over 500koz**
- Another **exceptional year expected in 2026**



Operating Results


	2024A	2025A	Q1/26A	2026E ¹
Production (Au eq. oz.)	528,574	601,318	160,583	600,000
Production cost of sales (\$/oz.) ²	\$1,039	\$978	\$1,119	\$1,240

2025 Gold Reserve & Resource Estimates³

	Tonnes (kt)	Grade (g/t)	Ounces (koz)
2P Reserves	399,642	0.4	4,839
M&I Resources	329,127	0.3	3,522
Inferred Resources	6,383	0.2	44

Paracatu – Production Profile – 2P Reserves Only


- Paracatu life-of-mine plan updated, extending mine life to 2034
- ~760koz added to the 2026-2034 window vs. the 2020 Technical Report
- Recoveries improved from ~75% in 2020 to ~80% in 2025
- Production profile **excludes Measured & Indicated resources of 3.5 Moz:**
 - Drilling and mine plan optimization continues to further extend the production profile



Chile



2026E Gold Equivalent Production¹



Asset

Highlights

La Coipa

- High-margin production with strong cash flow
- La Coipa oxide extensions show promising opportunities to extend mine life

Lobo-Marte

- World Class Development Project with excellent long-life production potential

Maricunga

- Past producer with significant resource

La Coipa – On track for 2026 guidance

- **Q1/26 production** was according to plan
- **Successfully completed** a 16-day planned mill maintenance shutdown
- **Higher grades** from Phase 7 Ore **expected in Q2 and Q3**
- Successfully **finalized a 2-year Collective Labour Agreement**
- **Oxide extensions** expected to extend production at La Coipa into **early 2030s**

Operating Results

	2024A	2025A	Q1/26A	2026E ¹
Production (Au eq. oz.)	246,131	231,770	54,211	210,000
Production cost of sales (\$/oz.) ²	\$959	\$1,208	\$1,526	\$1,320



2025 Gold Reserve & Resource Estimates³

	Tonnes (kt)	Grade (g/t Au)	Ounces (koz Au)	Grade (g/t Ag)	Ounces (koz Ag)
2P Reserves	7,342	1.8	436	45.9	10,839
M&I Resources	46,001	1.4	2,128	35.3	52,143
Inferred Resources	4,799	1.2	188	41.2	6,358


Chile – Strong Production Outlook

Mined in succession, La Coipa & Lobo-Marte could enable production in Chile beyond 2040

- La Coipa and Lobo-Marte are located in Chile's Atacama (Region III), approximately 650 km north of Santiago
- Both deposits are in the Maricunga Belt with access to infrastructure, and just 50km apart.
- Lobo-Marte has the potential to be a long-life asset with a sizeable production profile
- Opportunity to share infrastructure and equipment from Kinross' projects in the region (e.g., water source, processing equipment and camp)

2025 Chile Gold Reserve & Resource Estimates¹

	2P Reserves	M&I Resources	Inferred Resources
La Coipa	0.4	2.1	0.2
Lobo-Marte	6.7	2.8	0.7
Maricunga	-	7.1	4.9
Total Chile (Moz)	7.1	12.0	5.8



[Link to Chile VRIFY Model on Kinross Website](#)

Lobo-Marte

Potential to be a long-life, low cost, cornerstone asset with significant production

- Offers excellent long-life production potential in Chile
- Significant mineral reserve estimate¹, with attractive grades and a low estimated strip ratio
- Planned to be an open pit heap leach operation
- Environmental Baseline studies completed
- Submitted Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) in April 2026
- Project update in H2 2026

2025 Reserve & Resource Estimates¹

	Tonnes (thousands)	Grade (Au g/t)	Ounces (thousands)
Probable Reserves	160,702	1.3	6,733
Indicated Resources	120,762	0.7	2,752
Inferred Resources	32,911	0.6	670

Metric


Kinross Estimates² (Approximate)

Life of mine production (Au eq. oz.)	4.7 million
Annual production (Au eq. oz.)	~300 koz
Life of mine ore processed (tonnes)	160 million
Average grade processed (Au)	1.3 g/t
Strip ratio	2.0
Average recovery rate Au	70%

United States



2026E Gold Equivalent Production¹



Asset

Highlights

Fort Knox, Alaska

- High-grade production contribution from Manh Choh

Round Mountain, Nevada

- Established production plan to 2038 with the bulk tonnage Phase X UG operations

Bald Mountain, Nevada

- Open-pit mining with a large mineral resource base

Curlew, Washington

- High-grade, UG operations restart producing into late 2030s


United States Total	2024A	2025A	Q1/26A	2026E ¹
Attributable ² production (Au eq. oz.)	730,953	675,589	147,755	685,000
Production cost of sales (\$/oz.) ³	\$1,295	\$1,417	\$2,005	-
Attributable ² production cost of sales (\$/oz.) ⁴	\$1,313	\$1,426	\$1,982	\$1,700

1) Refer to endnote #1
 2) "Attributable" includes Kinross' 70% share of Manh Choh production and costs, as applicable.
 3) Refer to endnote #2.
 4) Refer to endnote #3

Fort Knox

High grade production contribution from Manh Choh

- **Strong contribution** from both Fort Knox and Manh Choh in Q1/26
- **2025 production higher relative to 2024**, given stronger and full-year contribution from Manh Choh
- Current **production life** is expected to extend to **2030**
 - **Further optionality** at Phase 11 with **~2.0Moz** indicated resources
- Significant mill capacity **provides optionality** for **future production growth**



Operating Results


	2024A	2025A	Q1/26A
Attributable¹ production (Au eq. oz.)	334,519	353,018	93,994
Production cost of sales (\$/oz.) ²	\$1,205	\$1,339	\$1,817
Attributable¹ production cost of sales (\$/oz.) ³	\$1,233	\$1,344	\$1,761

2025 Gold Reserve & Resource Estimates⁴

	Tonnes (kt)	Grade (g/t)	Ounces (koz)	
Fort Knox	2P Reserves	82,940	0.4	976
	M&I Resources	233,082	0.3	2,400
	Inferred Resources	47,909	0.4	599
Manh Choh	2P Reserves	2,033	6.8	444
	M&I Resources	703	2.0	46
	Inferred Resources	0	3.2	0

Round Mountain

Planned Future of Open-pit Mining, Underground Phase X Approved



- Currently **mining Phase S open pit**
- **Phase X underground project** approved in Q1 2026
 - **High margin, bulk tonnage** UG opportunity
 - Extends operations **to 2038**
 - **Received all major operational permits**
- Production decreased over prior quarter due to processing of lower-grade, lower-recovery stockpile feed
- **Continue to transition** towards higher-grade, higher-recovery Phase S ore later in the year

Operating Results


	2024A	2025A	Q1/26A
Production (Au eq. oz.)	215,387	143,402	26,200
Production cost of sales (\$/oz.) ¹	\$1,527	\$1,918	\$2,776

2025 Gold Reserve & Resource Estimates²

	Tonnes (kt)	Grade (g/t)	Ounces (koz)
2P Reserves	45,055	1.3	1,888
M&I Resources	81,275	0.6	1,446
Inferred Resources	61,269	1.0	1,960

Round Mt. Phase X – Production and Cost profile


Significant incremental production at an average AISC¹ of \$1,697/oz at \$4,500 Au



1) Refer to endnote #6

Round Mt. Phase X – Strong exploration potential

Further upside potential via proximal growth and down-dip extensions




• Mine Plan Inventory:

- ~7,200 metres of development completed to date
- ~35,000 metres of infill drilling completed to date
- Driving strong initial reserve and resource

• Further Extension Potential:

- Intersected strong grades and widths outside the mine plan inventory; 220m down-dip
- Highest potential for further resource and mine plan extensions along the Caldera margin



Bald Mountain – Extending Production

- Mine plan currently **optimized for near term cash flow**
- Redbird Phase 1 mining **continued to ramp up** in Q4/25
- **Redbird Phase 2 approved** and optimized via satellite pits; extends production to 2032
- **Substantial mineral resource base** offers optionality for mine life extension across multiple open pit pushbacks



Operating Results


	2024A	2025A	Q1/26A
Production (Au eq. oz.)	181,047	179,169	27,561
Production cost of sales (\$/oz.) ¹	\$1,205	\$1,198	\$1,934

2025 Gold Reserve & Resource Estimates²

	Tonnes (kt)	Grade (g/t)	Ounces (koz)
2P Reserves	66,306	0.6	1,225
M&I Resources	144,944	0.5	2,548
Inferred Resources	78,862	0.3	790


Bald Mt. Redbird 2 – Pits Overview

Combines the Redbird 2 anchor pit with five satellite pits, leveraging infrastructure



Bald Mt. Redbird 2 – Production and Cost Profile


High-productivity, low-cost additions extend Bald Mountain production to 2032




Incremental Mine Plan Stats¹

	Units	Metric
Tonnes Mined	Mtonne	251
Strip Ratio	x	3.0x
Tonnes Processed	Mtonne	63
Grade Processed	g/t	0.5
Peak Mining Rate	ktpd	229
Unit Mining Cost	\$/t mined	2.36

Estimated Bald Mt. Production (koz Au Eq.)




Estimated Redbird 2 Incremental AISC² Profile (\$/oz)



Bald Mt. – Longer-term Optionality

Prolific Land Package with >40 historically mined pits with further potential extensions

>40 Pits mined since 1870



5km


- ★ Historic Anchor Pit
- ★ Current Anchor Pit (Redbird)
- Historic Mining Area
- Current Mining Areas

Next Focus on large Resource at Top Pit

Top Pit Resource

	Tonnes (000's)	Grade (g/t Au)	Contained Ounces (000's)
Indicated	68,445	0.5	1,109
Inferred	28,762	0.3	235

- Top is a **large indicated resource (>1Moz)** of leachable material, which could act as next “anchor pit” after Redbird
- Potential stripping in the 2030s could be complemented by further satellites and exploration targets in the area
- **Top Pit is already permitted**
- Top was initially mined in late 1800s by underground and again by open pit starting in 1985. Top was last mined in 2023.
- Studies are planned to **determine optimal approach / sequence to extract the large resource**




Curlew – District Overview

Leveraging existing infrastructure for a quick restart in Washington State

District Map

2.8Moz produced through the Kettle River Mill



Curlew Mine Restart

- Leverages historic K2 mine (mined 1996-2005) portal and underground infrastructure
- Adjacent to the historic K1 mine (mined 1990-93)




Kettle River Mill

- Significant historical production
- Put into active care & maintenance in 2017
- Conversion to filtered tailings underway



Curlew – Production and Cost Profile

Steady, long-life asset with further upside potential to enhance in mid-to-late 2030s



Curlew – Mill & Tailings Overview

Simple process flowsheet; leveraging existing infrastructure

Process plant summary



- **Transport:**
 - Material from the Curlew mine to be transported ~40km by road to the mill
 - Consistent with past operations
- **Mill:**
 - 1,800tpd mill capacity
 - Conventional crushing, grinding, and carbon-in-leach gold recovery circuit
- **Metallurgy:**
 - Comparable to historical ore processed
- **Recovery:**
 - 80% average LOM recovery anticipated
- **Tailings:**
 - Upgrade to dry-stack tailings
 - Addition of a tailings dewatering plant
- **Processing Cost:**
 - Estimated at \$47 per tonne

Curlew – Exploration Upside

Targeting high-grade resource extension along the productive paleosurface

- **Development to Priority Targets:**


- Focused on developing to Priority Targets at Roadrunner and Stealth zones

- **Drilling underway:**

- Drilling commenced at Stealth and Roadrunner in Q4

- **Stealth and Roadrunner:**

- High-grade targets at Stealth and Roadrunner could defer upper zone and enhance production profile in the mid-to-late 2030s





Sustainability

Top Performer in Sustainability⁽¹⁾

Environment

GHG INTENSITY

- **GHG intensity 677 kg CO₂e/Au eq.oz** in 2024; on track towards 2030 target
- Scope 3 emissions for 2024 were 1.79 Mt CO₂e; ongoing engagement program with suppliers on sustainability including emissions reduction

NATURAL CAPITAL

- **95 ha of land reclaimed in 2024**

WATER MANAGEMENT

- **75% water recycled** of total water withdrawn, representing approximately 227 million m³ of water
- **Zero fresh water** consumed at sites in water stress regions (Tasiast and La Coipa)

COMPLIANCE

- **Strong record** with zero significant spills; strengthened governance with modernized environment management system

Social

99%

Host Country Workforce
\$4.0 billion
Benefit footprint

0.33

TRIFR (employees & contractors per 200,000 hrs worked)

22%

Women in junior and mid-management


14%

Females in workforce

\$13 million

Social investment (monetary and in kind)

EMPLOYEE TURNOVER %



Governance

BOARD SUCCESSION

- **Strong Board Succession** has brought in seven new directors since 2019⁽²⁾
- **New Independent Chair** effective May 2025

STRONG ESG SCORES

- External **Board governance** ratings (e.g., Globe & Mail) and **ESG assessments** (e.g., S&P CSA, MSCI, LSEG)

ALIGNED WITH RESPONSIBLE MINING

- UN Guiding Principles; UN Global Compact; Voluntary Principles on Security & Human Rights
- **Responsible Gold Mining Principles**; Conflict-free gold (World Gold Council)
- Cyanide Code, MAC tailings standard and GISTM
- Limited assurance for 50 ESG metrics

Our approach to Sustainability⁽¹⁾

“Sustainability and mining responsibly are at the core of our Kinross culture... you need an “on-the-ground,” people-focused approach, along with a clear strategy, strong governance and visible leadership at all levels of the company.”

J. Paul Rollinson, CEO.

Strong Foundations

- **Kinross DNA** - Values, policies, and systems; people
- **Sustainability is embedded in our business** – we consider impact, risk, and opportunity across:
 - **Governance**
 - **Environment**
 - **Social**
- **Two-way perspective to assess risk, impact and opportunity** - enterprise value and society / environment (“double materiality”)

Strategy

- **Partner of choice** – trust-based relationships
- **Priority focus areas** – workforce, communities, nature, climate and energy
- **Robust governance**
- **Forward-looking**

Our responsibilities

- **Commitments** (e.g., Cyanide Code, etc.)
- **Membership obligations** (e.g., World Gold Council’s Responsible Gold Mining Principles)
- **Reporting obligations** to our stakeholders

Sustainability report

- Balanced and comprehensive reporting, adapting to evolving requirements
- Rigor - independent assurance of ~ 50 key metrics



Consistently Earning Top-Quartile ESG Results

Our performance depends on our people, united by our four core values, and who are the heart of our success

Environment – Strong track record on environmental compliance: water management, tailings stewardship, closure and reclamation

Social – Putting people first for workplace safety and inclusive culture, human capital development, and local community development

Governance – Robust corporate programs to ensure supply chain integrity, anti-corruption, tax, Board accountability and transparency & disclosure

Kinross Performance on Key ESG Ratings

Year	S&P Global	Sustainalytics*	MSCI	LSEG	ISS ESG	CDP Climate	CDP Water
2020	65	29.1	A	79.4	C	C	C
2021	71	34.2	A	78.5	C	C	C
2022	74	24.9	A	80.9	C+	C	C
2023	70	26.3	A	83.6	C+	C	C
2024	67	25.9	A	81.0	C+	C	B-
2025	72	25.9	A	80.0	C+	C	B-

* Low score represents positive assessment of ESG and risk management

Reducing our Carbon Footprint

We are making green investments and constantly seeking efficiencies to help reduce our emissions profile



LOW CARBON FOOTPRINT

- Committed to 30% GHG intensity reduction in Scope 1 and Scope 2 emissions by 2030⁽¹⁾

67%

renewable

- Of energy in 2024 that is sourced from the grid and self-generation⁽²⁾

45,000

tCO₂e reduction
in emissions in 2024

- Implemented 30 energy efficiency projects across the Company in 2025, leading to 1.5% emissions reduction

2

Hydro-electric
power plants
in Brazil

1

Solar Power
Plant in
Mauritania

- Solar plant at Tasiast performing well, providing 20% of annual power needs at the site

100%

renewable

- Secured renewable power for La Coipa operations.

- **More than 80% of our Scope 1 and Scope 2 emissions come from the fleets we deploy in our operations and the power grids we rely on:**
 - We are committed to our GHG reduction targets and will work with our host governments, equipment manufacturers, and power utilities to help achieve these goals
- **Our estimated Scope 3** emissions are similar in scale to our combined Scope 1 and 2 emissions. We are engaging with suppliers to seek quantity-based emission factors and opportunities for greenhouse gas reduction.
- We have been reporting on our climate performance to CDP since 2005 and in line with the Task Force for Climate Related Financial Disclosures since 2021.
- Our **2025 Sustainability Report** will continue our alignment with the European Union's Corporate Sustainability Reporting Directive (CSRD) and underscores our longstanding commitment to the principles of completeness, balance and transparency in our reporting.



Appendix

Fuel Sensitivities

2026 fuel sensitivities ¹	Impact on cost of sales per ounce per \$10/barrel change in oil price	Impact on full-year guidance of \$100/barrel Apr 1 Forward
Direct crude oil impact on refined fuel products (2026 Sensitivity)	\$3/oz	
Refining, distribution, taxes impact on refined fuel products	\$7/oz	
Total fuel cost sensitivity	\$10/oz	\$20/oz (~1% of AISC^{2,3})
Potential additional secondary cost sensitivity (Impacts related to freight and other consumables)	+ ~\$4/oz	+ ~\$10/oz (~0.5% of AISC ^{2,3})

2026 Cost Guidance⁴ is based on \$70 per barrel oil price

Oil Hedges⁵ as of March 31, 2026

2026 Maturities

2027 Maturities

WTI Oil Swap Contracts (bbls)	816,300	681,996
Average Price (\$/bbl)	\$61.54	\$61.43

1) Taking into account existing oil hedges.

2) "AISC" represents attributable all-in sustaining cost per equivalent ounce sold.


3) Financial figures are non-GAAP financial measures or ratios, as applicable, with no standardized meaning under IFRS and therefore, may not be comparable to similar measures presented by other issuers. Refer to endnote #3. "Attributable" includes Kinross' 70% share of Manh Choh production, costs, cash flow, and capital expenditures, as applicable.

4) Refer to Appendix A for 2026 Guidance.

5) Refer to Section 6 Liquidity and Capital Resource of Kinross' Management's Discussion and Analysis for the three months ended March 31, 2026.

Kinross Exploration Highlights

Kinross Exploration – Recent Spend History



Recent Exploration Successes is Driving Future Production Potential

- ✓ **Reserve additions** – recent high margin reserve additions at **Tasiast** and **Paracatu** through drilling
- ✓ **La Coipa Phase 7** – restart of operation on back of high grade exploration discovery
- ✓ **Round Mountain Phase X** – exploration efforts unlocked opportunity to transition to higher grade UG
- ✓ **Curlew** – significantly expanded resource in higher grade, wider areas of mineralization
- ✓ **Great Bear** – extensive directional drill program outlined robust 12 year high grade mine life (per '24 PEA)

2026 Exploration Priorities

	Property / Jurisdiction	Exploration Thesis
Brownfields	Great Bear, Ontario, Canada	Exploring for new zones of mineralization on the extensive ~120 sq. km land package
	Curlew Basin, Washington, USA	Exploring potential extensions of wide, high-grade mineralization to augment mine life
	Round Mountain, Nevada, USA	Targeting proximal and down dip extensions of mineralization to potentially add mine life and production scale
	Alaska, USA	Exploring proximal to Fort Knox main pit and Gil satellite pit for potential to augment medium term mine plan and future laybacks
	Bald Mountain, Nevada, USA	Prolific land package with numerous historical open pits and significant resource base
	Tasiast, Mauritania	Determine extent of mineralization at depth for potential future underground scenario, and exploring satellite targets
	Chile	Oxide Extensions at existing La Coipa pits and testing new nearby targets
	Paracatu, Brazil	Testing high priority targets on the extensive land package for similar style grade and mineralization
Greenfields	Snow Lake, Manitoba, Canada	Actively exploring multiple properties with high-grade narrow-veining, similar to nearby past producer
	Nevada, USA	Targeting low sulphidation epithermal, Carlin, Skarn and Porphyry-style deposits
	Finland	High-grade orogenic gold targets on underexplored Central Lapland Greenstone Belt
Expanding greenfields exploration budget based on strong early results in Canada and Nevada		

Board of Directors and Executive Team

Independent Directors



Kelly J. Osborne

Independent
Chair



George Albino

Director



Glenn A. Ives

Director

Audit & Risk
Committee
(Chair)



Ave G. Lethbridge

Director



Michael A. Lewis

Director

Corporate
Governance
and
Nominating
Committee
(Chair)



Candace MacGibbon

Director



Elizabeth D. McGregor

Director



George Paspalas

Director

Human
Resources &
Compensation
(Chair)



David A. Scott

Director

Corporate
Responsibility
& Technical
Committee
(Chair)

Senior Leadership Team



J. Paul Rollinson*

CEO



Geoffrey P. Gold

President



Andrea S. Freeborough

EVP,
CFO



Ryan Latinovich

EVP,
Corporate
Development



Claude Schimper

EVP,
COO



William D. Dunford

EVP,
CTO



Kathleen Grandy

EVP, Human
Resources



David Shaver

EVP, Investor
Relations and
Communications


Senior Leadership Team Incentives⁽¹⁾

Total Direct Compensation


Executive Compensation has four key objectives:

- **Align executive interests** with Kinross’ long-term strategy and those of shareholders
- **Reinforce** Kinross’ operating performance and execution of strategic objectives
- **Enable** Kinross to attract and retain high performing executives
- **Align** pay and performance in a way that is transparent and understood by all stakeholders
- Our **“Say on pay” results reached 93%** support in 2026, and an average of 90% over the last ten years

At Risk Pay: ~80% ⁽¹⁾ of CEO and aggregate NEO Total Direct Compensation is “at risk” and tied to company performance



Short-Term Incentive Plan – One Year



Key Strategic Area	Metric	% Weight ²
Safety & Sustainability	Corporate Responsibility Performance	20%
	Sustainability Initiatives	5%
Operational & Financial Performance	Delivering against guidance	15%
	Total cost	10%
Balance Sheet	Attributable free cash flow per share	10%
Shareholder Returns	Relative total shareholder returns (TSR)	10%
Building for the Future	Deliver targeted strategic accomplishments	30%

Long-Term Incentive Plan – Three Year

- **At least 50%** of Total Direct Compensation is Equity with:
 - **55%** RPSUs and **45%** RSUs
- Large equity component, heavy weighting on RPSUs, and inclusion of TSR in the vesting conditions aligns with the four key objectives to create long-term value for the shareholders

Key Strategic Area	Metric (3-year period)	% Weight ³
Shareholder Returns	Relative total shareholder returns	50%
Operational & Financial Performance	Production	25%
	All-in sustaining cost	25%

Minimum Share Ownership

CEO Equity Ownership is approximately **70x his salary**⁽⁴⁾



1) For in-depth details refer to the “Executive Compensation” section of the 2026 Management Information Circular as published on www.kinross.com
 2) Each measure is evaluated between 0-200% to determine the final “Company Performance Multiplier” for the 75% weighting of the Senior Leadership Team’s Short-Term Incentive
 3) Each measure is evaluated between 0-200% to determine the “RPSU vesting multiplier”, which determines the number of RPSU’s that will vest
 4) The CEO’s ownership reflects the value on December 31, 2025

Endnotes

- 1) Kinross' outlook, which is reported on an attributable basis including Kinross' share of Manh Choh (70%), represents forward-looking information and users are cautioned that actual results may vary. Please refer to the Cautionary Statement on Forward-Looking Information on pages 2 and 3.
- 2) Production cost of sales, cost of sales, or cash cost per equivalent ounce sold is calculated as production cost of sales, as reported on the Company's consolidated statements of operations for the periods ended March 31, 2026, December 31, 2025, and 2024 divided by total gold equivalent ounces sold, as applicable.
- 3) Attributable production cost of sales per equivalent ounce sold, attributable all-in sustaining cost per equivalent ounce sold, attributable adjusted operating cash flow, attributable free cash flow and attributable capital expenditures are non-GAAP financial measures and ratios, as applicable, with no standardized meaning under IFRS and therefore, may not be comparable to similar measures presented by other issuers. Attributable production cost of sales per equivalent ounce sold is calculated as attributable production cost of sales divided by attributable gold equivalent ounces sold. Attributable production cost of sales or attributable cost of sales is a non-GAAP financial measure and attributable gold equivalent ounces sold includes Kinross' share of Manh Choh (70%) sales. Attributable all-in sustaining cost per equivalent ounce sold is calculated as attributable all-in sustaining cost divided by attributable gold equivalent ounces sold. Attributable all-in sustaining cost is a non-GAAP financial measure. Attributable adjusted operating cash flow, attributable free cash flow and attributable capital expenditures include Kinross' share of Manh Choh (70%) adjusted operating cash flow, free cash flow and capital expenditures, respectively. For definitions, purpose and reconciliations of these non-GAAP financial measures and ratios, please refer to Section 11 - *Supplemental Information* of Kinross' MD&A for the periods ended March 31, 2026, December 31, 2025, September 30, 2025, June 30, 2025, March 31, 2025, and December 31, 2024, which sections are incorporated by reference herein and as filed on the Company's website at www.kinross.com, on SEDAR+ at www.sedarplus.ca and on EDGAR at www.sec.gov.
- 4) "Capital expenditures" for the twelve months ended December 31, 2025 is as reported on the Company's consolidated statements of cash flows for the twelve months ended December 31, 2025 as "Additions to property, plant and equipment".
- 5) "Net Cash/(Debt)", is a non-GAAP financial measures and ratio, as applicable, with no standardized meaning under IFRS and therefore, may not be comparable to similar measures presented by other issuers. "Net Cash/(Debt)" is calculated as cash and cash equivalents less long-term debt, as reported on the Company's consolidated balance sheet as at March 31, 2026, December 31, 2025, 2024, 2023, and 2022.
- 6) Free cash flow and all-in sustaining cost are non-GAAP financial measures and ratios that have the same definition and purpose as attributable free cash flow and attributable all-in sustaining cost, respectively, as Great Bear, Round Mountain, Bald Mountain, and Curlew are 100% owned by Kinross. Refer to endnote #3.
- 7) AISC margin, defined as attributable average realized gold price per ounce less attributable all-in sustaining cost per equivalent ounce sold, is a non-GAAP financial measure. Non-GAAP financial ratios and measures have no standardized meaning under IFRS and therefore, may not be comparable to similar ratios or measures presented by other issuers. For definitions, purpose and reconciliation of these ratios, please refer to the Company's MD&A for the periods ended March 31, 2025, June 30, 2025, September 30, 2025, December 31, 2025, and March 31, 2026.
- 8) Project Economics:
 - 1) The internal studies and economic analyses for the projects in this deck are preliminary in nature and is based, in part, on Inferred Mineral Resources. Inferred Mineral Resources are considered too geologically speculative to have the economic considerations applied to them that would enable them to be categorized as Mineral Reserves. There is no certainty that the economic forecasts on which the internal studies economic analyses are based will be realized.
 - 2) Average production cost of sales and average AISC represent costs for projected production for the life of mine.
 - 3) The economic analysis of the projects were carried out using a discounted cash flow approach on a pre-tax and after-tax basis, based on a long-term gold prices \$4,500/oz and \$3,200/oz in USD.
 - 4) The IRR on total investment that is presented in the economic analysis was calculated assuming 100% equity financing
 - 5) The NPV was calculated from the after-tax cash flow generated by the project, based on a discount rate of 5% and a valuation date of January 1, 2026.

2026 Guidance Summary⁽¹⁾ – Appendix A

Attributable⁽²⁾ Production Guidance

Annual attributable ⁽²⁾ gold equivalent production guidance (+/- 5%)	
2026	2.0 million oz.
2027	2.0 million oz.
2028	2.0 million oz.

Attributable⁽²⁾ Production and Cost Guidance

Gold equivalent basis	2026 Guidance ⁽¹⁾ (+/- 5%)	2025 Actual
Production (Au eq. oz.)	2.0 million	2.01 million
Production cost of sales per Au eq. oz. sold ⁽⁵⁾	-	\$1,140
Attributable production cost of sales per Au eq. oz. sold ⁽³⁾	\$1,360	\$1,135
Attributable all-in sustaining cost per Au eq. oz. sold ⁽³⁾	\$1,730	\$1,571

2026 Attributable⁽²⁾ Production and Cost Guidance by Country

Country	2026 attributable production guidance (Au eq. oz.) ⁽¹⁾ (+/-5%)	Percentage of total forecast production ⁽⁴⁾	2026 attributable production cost of sales guidance (per Au eq. oz. sold) ⁽¹⁾⁽³⁾ (+/-5%)	2025 production cost of sales (per Au eq. oz. sold) ⁽⁵⁾	2025 attributable production cost of sales (per Au eq. oz. sold) ⁽³⁾
Mauritania	505,000	25%	\$1,050	\$884	\$884
Brazil	600,000	30%	\$1,240	\$978	\$978
Chile	210,000	11%	\$1,320	\$1,208	\$1,208
United States	685,000	34%	\$1,700	\$1,417	\$1,426
TOTAL	2.0 million	100%	\$1,360	\$1,140	\$1,135

1) Refer to endnote #1

2) Attributable guidance includes Kinross' share (70%) of Manh Choh production and costs

3) Attributable production cost of sales per equivalent ounce sold and attributable all-in sustaining cost per equivalent ounce sold are non-GAAP ratios with no standardized meaning under IFRS and therefore, may not be comparable to similar measures presented by other issuers. Refer to endnote #3.

4) The percentages are calculated based on the mid-point of country 2026 forecast production

5) Refer to endnote #2.

2026 Guidance Summary⁽¹⁾ – Appendix A

Attributable Capital Expenditures⁽²⁾ Guidance Breakdown

Country	Forecast 2026 Sustaining Capital (1)(2) (+/-5%) (attributable million)	Forecast 2026 Non- Sustaining Capital (1)(2) (+/-5%) (attributable million)	Total 2026 Forecast Capital (1) (+/-5%) (attributable million)	2025 Sustaining Capital (2) (million)	2025 Non- Sustaining Capital (2) (million)	2025 Total Capital (2) (consolidated million)	2025 Total Capital (2) (attributable million)
Mauritania	\$80	\$195	\$275	\$113	\$239	\$352	\$352
Brazil	\$190	\$45	\$235	\$189	\$-	\$189	\$189
Chile	\$40	\$50	\$90	\$91	\$19	\$110	\$110
United States	\$140	\$500	\$640	\$214	\$224	\$438	\$419
Canada & Other	\$-	\$260	\$260	\$-	\$105	\$105	\$105
TOTAL	\$450	\$1,050	\$1,500	\$607	\$587	\$1,194	\$1,175

2026 sustaining capital⁽²⁾ includes the following forecast spending estimates

Mine development:	\$15 million (United States), \$15 million (Chile), \$20 million (Mauritania)
Mobile equipment:	\$55 million (United States), \$95 million (Brazil), \$30 million (Mauritania)
Mill facilities:	\$10 million (United States), \$25 million (Brazil), \$10 million (Chile), \$10 million (Mauritania)
Leach facilities:	\$15 million (United States)
Tailings facilities:	\$55 million (Brazil), \$10 million (Chile), \$10 million (Mauritania)

2026 non-sustaining capital⁽²⁾ includes the following forecast spending estimates

Great Bear AEX construction, detailed engineering and other	\$260 million
Round Mountain Phase X	\$100 million
Curlew	\$180 million
Bald Mountain Redbird 2	\$150 million
Tasiast West Branch Stripping	\$180 million

1) Refer to endnote #1

2) Forecast 2026 sustaining, non-sustaining and total capital expenditures are on an attributable basis and include Kinross' share of Manh Choh (70%) capital expenditures. Actual results as reported for the year ended December 31, 2025, for sustaining, non-sustaining and total capital expenditures (which are reported as "Additions to property, plant and equipment" on the consolidated statements of cash flows) are on a total basis and include 100% of Manh Choh capital expenditures. Sustaining, non-sustaining and attributable capital expenditures are non-GAAP financial measures. Refer to endnote #3

2026 Guidance Summary⁽¹⁾ – Appendix A

Material assumptions used to forecast 2026 guidance, most notably relating to production cost of sales, are as follows:

- a gold price of \$4,500 per ounce;
- a silver price of \$65 per ounce;
- an oil price of \$70 per barrel;
- foreign exchange rates of:
 - 5.25 Brazilian reais to the U.S. dollar;
 - 940 Chilean pesos to the U.S. dollar;
 - 40 Mauritanian ouguiyas to the U.S. dollar; and
 - 1.38 Canadian dollars to the U.S. dollar;

Taking into account existing currency and oil hedges:

- a 10% change in foreign currency exchange rates would be expected to result in an approximate \$30 impact on attributable production cost of sales per equivalent ounce sold⁽²⁾⁽³⁾;
- specific to the Brazilian real, a 10% change in this exchange rate would be expected to result in an approximate \$50 impact on Brazilian attributable production cost of sales per equivalent ounce sold⁽³⁾;
- specific to the Chilean peso, a 10% change in this exchange rate would be expected to result in an approximate \$50 impact on Chilean attributable production cost of sales per equivalent ounce sold⁽³⁾;
- a \$10 per barrel change in the price of oil would be expected to result in an approximate \$10 impact on fuel consumption costs on attributable production cost of sales per equivalent ounce sold⁽³⁾; and
- a \$100 change in the price of gold would be expected to result in an approximate \$5 impact on attributable production cost of sales per equivalent ounce sold⁽³⁾ as a result of a change in royalties.

1) Refer to endnote #1

2) Refers to all of the currencies in the countries where the Company has mining operations, fluctuating simultaneously by 10% in the same direction, either appreciating or depreciating, taking into consideration the impact of hedging and the weighting of each currency within our consolidated cost structure.

3) Refer to endnote #3

MINERAL RESERVE AND MINERAL RESOURCE STATEMENT – Appendix B

MINERAL RESERVE AND MINERAL RESOURCE STATEMENT												GOLD
PROVEN AND PROBABLE MINERAL RESERVES (1,2,3,4,5,6)												
Kinross Gold Corporation's Share at December 31, 2025												
	Location	Kinross Interest (%)	Proven			Probable			Proven and Probable			
			Tonnes (kt)	Grade (g/t)	Ounces (koz)	Tonnes (kt)	Grade (g/t)	Ounces (koz)	Tonnes (kt)	Grade (g/t)	Ounces (koz)	
NORTH AMERICA												
Bald Mountain	USA	100%	0	0.0	0	66,306	0.6	1,225	66,306	0.6	1,225	
Fort Knox	USA	100%	1,846	0.8	46	81,094	0.4	930	82,940	0.4	976	
Manh Choh	USA	70%	368	4.0	47	1,665	7.4	396	2,033	6.8	444	
Round Mountain	USA	100%	5,365	0.3	59	39,690	1.4	1,829	45,055	1.3	1,888	
SUBTOTAL			7,579	0.6	153	188,754	0.7	4,380	196,334	0.7	4,533	
SOUTH AMERICA												
La Coipa	Chile	100%	591	2.5	47	6,750	1.8	388	7,342	1.8	436	
Lobo Marte	Chile	100%	0	0.0	0	160,702	1.3	6,733	160,702	1.3	6,733	
Paracatu	Brazil	100%	287,864	0.4	3,897	111,778	0.3	943	399,642	0.4	4,839	
SUBTOTAL			288,455	0.4	3,944	279,231	0.9	8,065	567,686	0.7	12,008	
AFRICA												
Tasiast	Mauritania	100%	55,584	1.0	1,806	47,181	1.7	2,595	102,765	1.3	4,401	
SUBTOTAL			55,584	1.0	1,806	47,181	1.7	2,595	102,765	1.3	4,401	
TOTAL GOLD			351,618	0.5	5,903	515,166	0.9	15,040	866,785	0.8	20,942	

MINERAL RESERVE AND MINERAL RESOURCE STATEMENT												SILVER
PROVEN AND PROBABLE MINERAL RESERVES (1,2,3,4,5,6)												
Kinross Gold Corporation's Share at December 31, 2025												
	Location	Kinross Interest (%)	Proven			Probable			Proven and Probable			
			Tonnes (kt)	Grade (g/t)	Ounces (koz)	Tonnes (kt)	Grade (g/t)	Ounces (koz)	Tonnes (kt)	Grade (g/t)	Ounces (koz)	
NORTH AMERICA												
Manh Choh	USA	70%	368	11.8	139	1,665	11.3	604	2,033	11.4	743	
SUBTOTAL			368	11.8	139	1,665	11.3	604	2,033	11.4	743	
SOUTH AMERICA												
La Coipa	Chile	100%	591	37.6	714	6,750	46.7	10,124	7,342	45.9	10,839	
SUBTOTAL			591	37.6	714	6,750	46.7	10,124	7,342	45.9	10,839	
TOTAL SILVER			959	27.7	853	8,415	39.7	10,728	9,374	38.4	11,581	

MINERAL RESERVE AND MINERAL RESOURCE STATEMENT – Appendix B

MINERAL RESERVE AND MINERAL RESOURCE STATEMENT											GOLD
MEASURED AND INDICATED MINERAL RESOURCES											(2,3,4,5,6,9,10,11)
Kinross Gold Corporation's Share at December 31, 2025											
	Location	Kinross Interest (%)	Measured			Indicated			Measured and Indicated		
			Tonnes (kt)	Grade (g/t)	Ounces (koz)	Tonnes (kt)	Grade (g/t)	Ounces (koz)	Tonnes (kt)	Grade (g/t)	Ounces (koz)
NORTH AMERICA											
Bald Mountain	USA	100%	5,678	1.0	188	139,266	0.5	2,360	144,944	0.5	2,548
Fort Knox	USA	100%	0	0.0	0	233,082	0.3	2,400	233,082	0.3	2,400
Great Bear	CAN	100%	2,578	2.3	189	28,155	2.8	2,523	30,733	2.7	2,713
Curlew Basin	12 USA	100%	0	0.0	0	1,993	6.4	409	1,993	6.4	409
Manh Choh	USA	70%	435	2.0	27	268	2.1	18	703	2.0	46
Round Mountain	7 USA	100%	0	0.0	0	81,275	0.6	1,446	81,275	0.6	1,446
SUBTOTAL			8,691	1.4	405	484,039	0.6	9,156	492,730	0.6	9,561
SOUTH AMERICA											
La Coipa	8 Chile	100%	6,440	1.7	356	39,561	1.4	1,772	46,001	1.4	2,128
Lobo Marte	Chile	100%	0	0.0	0	120,762	0.7	2,752	120,762	0.7	2,752
Maricunga	Chile	100%	71,946	0.7	1,602	278,454	0.6	5,538	350,400	0.6	7,140
Paracatu	Brazil	100%	145,708	0.5	2,123	183,489	0.2	1,399	329,197	0.3	3,522
SUBTOTAL			224,093	0.6	4,081	622,266	0.6	11,460	846,360	0.6	15,542
AFRICA											
Tasiast	Mauritania	100%	21,277	0.7	446	57,790	1.0	1,950	79,067	0.9	2,396
SUBTOTAL			21,277	0.7	446	57,790	1.0	1,950	79,067	0.9	2,396
TOTAL GOLD			254,062	0.6	4,932	1,164,095	0.6	22,567	1,418,157	0.6	27,499

MINERAL RESERVE AND MINERAL RESOURCE STATEMENT											SILVER
MEASURED AND INDICATED MINERAL RESOURCES											(2,3,4,5,6,9,10,11)
Kinross Gold Corporation's Share at December 31, 2025											
	Location	Kinross Interest (%)	Measured			Indicated			Measured and Indicated		
			Tonnes (kt)	Grade (g/t)	Ounces (koz)	Tonnes (kt)	Grade (g/t)	Ounces (koz)	Tonnes (kt)	Grade (g/t)	Ounces (koz)
NORTH AMERICA											
Manh Choh	USA	70%	435	11.9	166	268	6.6	57	703	9.8	222
SUBTOTAL			435	11.9	166	268	6.6	57	703	9.8	222
SOUTH AMERICA											
La Coipa	8 Chile	100%	6,440	28.5	5,909	39,561	36.3	46,234	46,001	35.3	52,143
SUBTOTAL			6,440	28.5	5,909	39,561	36.3	46,234	46,001	35.3	52,143
TOTAL SILVER			6,875	27.5	6,075	39,829	36.1	46,291	46,704	34.9	52,365

MINERAL RESERVE AND MINERAL RESOURCE STATEMENT – Appendix B

MINERAL RESERVE AND MINERAL RESOURCE STATEMENT					GOLD	
INFERRED MINERAL RESOURCES (2,3,4,5,6,9,10,11)						
Kinross Gold Corporation's Share at December 31, 2025						
	Location	Kinross Interest (%)	Inferred			
			Tonnes (kt)	Grade (g/t)	Ounces (koz)	
NORTH AMERICA						
Bald Mountain	USA	100%	78,862	0.3	790	
Fort Knox	USA	100%	47,909	0.4	599	
Great Bear	CAN	100%	32,396	4.1	4,291	
Curlew Basin ¹²	USA	100%	4,151	6.3	838	
Round Mountain ⁷	USA	100%	61,269	1.0	1,960	
SUBTOTAL			224,586	1.2	8,478	
SOUTH AMERICA						
La Coipa ⁸	Chile	100%	4,799	1.2	188	
Lobo Marte	Chile	100%	32,911	0.6	670	
Maricunga	Chile	100%	284,711	0.5	4,876	
Paracatu	Brazil	100%	6,383	0.2	44	
SUBTOTAL			328,805	0.5	5,778	
AFRICA						
Tasiast	Mauritania	100%	35,950	2.1	2,377	
SUBTOTAL			35,950	2.1	2,377	
TOTAL GOLD			589,341	0.9	16,633	

MINERAL RESERVE AND MINERAL RESOURCE STATEMENT					SILVER	
INFERRED MINERAL RESOURCES (2,3,4,5,6,9,10,11)						
Kinross Gold Corporation's Share at December 31, 2025						
	Location	Kinross Interest (%)	Inferred			
			Tonnes (kt)	Grade (g/t)	Ounces (koz)	
NORTH AMERICA						
Round Mountain ⁷	USA	100%	36,648	6.9	8,117	
SUBTOTAL			36,648	6.9	8,117	
SOUTH AMERICA						
La Coipa ⁸	Chile	100%	4,799	41.2	6,358	
SUBTOTAL			4,799	41.2	6,358	
TOTAL SILVER			41,448	10.9	14,475	

MINERAL RESERVE AND MINERAL RESOURCE STATEMENT NOTES – Appendix B

- 1) Unless otherwise noted, the Company's mineral reserves are estimated using appropriate cut-off grades based on an assumed gold price of \$2,000 per ounce and a silver price of \$23.53 per ounce. Mineral reserves are estimated using appropriate process recoveries, operating costs and mine plans that are unique to each property and include estimated allowances for dilution and mining recovery. Mineral reserve estimates are reported in contained units based on Kinross' interest and are estimated based on the following foreign exchange rates:
 - Canadian Dollar to \$US 1.38
 - Chilean Peso to \$US 940.00
 - Brazilian Real to \$US 5.25
 - Mauritanian Ouguiya to \$US 40.00
- 2) The Company's mineral reserve and mineral resource estimates as at December 31, 2025 are classified in accordance with the Canadian Institute of Mining, Metallurgy and Petroleum ("CIM") "CIM Definition Standards For Mineral Resources and Mineral Reserves" adopted by the CIM Council (as amended, the "CIM Definition Standards") in accordance with the requirements of National Instrument 43-101 "Standards of Disclosure for Mineral Projects" ("NI 43-101"). Mineral reserve and mineral resource estimates reflect the Company's reasonable expectation that all necessary permits and approvals will be obtained and maintained.
- 3) Cautionary note to U.S. investors concerning estimates of mineral reserves and mineral resources. These estimates have been prepared in accordance with the requirements of Canadian securities laws, which differ from the requirements of United States' securities laws. Unless otherwise indicated, mining terms used herein and in any document incorporated by reference but not otherwise defined have the meanings set forth in NI 43-101. The terms "mineral reserve", "proven mineral reserve", "probable mineral reserve", "mineral resource", "measured mineral resource", "indicated mineral resource" and "inferred mineral resource" are Canadian mining terms as defined in accordance with NI 43-101 and the CIM Definition Standards. These definitions differ from the definitions in subpart 1300 of Regulation S-K ("Subpart 1300"). While the definitions in Subpart 1300 are similar to the definitions in NI 43-101 and the CIM Definitions Standard, the definitions in Subpart 1300 differ from the requirements of, and the definitions in, NI 43-101 and the CIM Definition Standards. U.S. investors are cautioned that while the above terms are "substantially similar" to CIM Definitions, there are differences in the definitions in Subpart 1300 and the CIM Definition Standards. Accordingly, there is no assurance any mineral reserves or mineral resources that the Company may report as "proven mineral reserves", "probable mineral reserves", "measured mineral resources", "indicated mineral resources" and "inferred mineral resources" under NI 43-101 would be the same had the Company prepared the mineral reserve or mineral resource estimates under the standards set forth in Subpart 1300. U.S. investors are also cautioned that while the United States Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC") recognizes "measured mineral resources", "indicated mineral resources" and "inferred mineral resources" under Subpart 1300, investors should not assume that any part or all of the mineralization in these categories will ever be converted into a higher category of mineral resources or into mineral reserves. Mineralization described using these terms has a greater amount of uncertainty as to its existence and feasibility than mineralization that has been characterized as reserves. Accordingly, investors are cautioned not to assume that any measured mineral resources, indicated mineral resources, or inferred mineral resources that the Company reports are or will be economically or legally mineable. Further, "inferred mineral resources" have a greater amount of uncertainty as to their existence and as to whether they can be mined legally or economically. Therefore, U.S. investors are also cautioned not to assume that all or any part of the "inferred mineral resources" exist. Under Canadian securities laws, estimates of "inferred mineral resources" may not form the basis of feasibility or pre-feasibility studies, except in rare cases. As a foreign private issuer that files its annual report on Form 40-F with the SEC pursuant to the multi-jurisdictional disclosure system, the Company is not required to provide disclosure on its mineral properties under the Subpart 1300 provisions and will continue to provide disclosure under NI 43-101 and the CIM Definition Standards. If the Company ceases to be a foreign private issuer or loses its eligibility to file its annual report on Form 40-F pursuant to the multi-jurisdictional disclosure system, then the Company will be subject to reporting pursuant to the Subpart 1300 provisions, which differ from the requirements of NI 43-101 and the CIM Definition Standards.
 - For the above reasons, the mineral reserve and mineral resource estimates and related information herein may not be comparable to similar information made public by U.S. companies subject to the reporting and disclosure requirements under the United States federal securities laws and the rules and regulations thereunder.
- 4) The Company's mineral resource and mineral reserve estimates were prepared under the supervision of and verified by Mr. Nicos Pfeiffer, who is a qualified person as defined by NI 43 101.
- 5) The Company's normal data verification procedures have been used in collecting, compiling, interpreting and processing the data used to estimate mineral reserves and mineral resource.
- 6) Rounding of values to the 000s may result in apparent discrepancies.
- 7) Round Mountain refers to the Round Mountain project, which includes the Round Mountain deposit and the Gold Hill deposit. The Round Mountain deposit does not contain silver and all silver resources at Round Mountain are contained exclusively within the Gold Hill deposit. Disclosure of gold mineral reserves and mineral resources reflect both the Round Mountain deposit and the Gold Hill deposit. Disclosure of silver mineral reserves and mineral resources reflect only the Gold Hill deposit.
- 8) Includes mineral resources and mineral reserves from the Puren deposit in which the Company holds a 65% interest; as well as mineral resources from the Catalina deposit, in which the Company holds a 50% interest.
- 9) Mineral resources are exclusive of mineral reserves.
- 10) Unless otherwise noted, the Company's mineral resources are estimated using appropriate cut-off grades based on a gold price of \$2,500 per ounce and a silver price of \$29.41 per ounce. Mineral resource estimates are reported in contained units based on Kinross' interest. Foreign exchange rates for estimating mineral resources were the same as for mineral reserves.
- 11) Mineral resources that are not mineral reserves do not have to demonstrate economic viability. Mineral resources are subject to infill drilling, permitting, mine planning, mining dilution and recovery losses, among other things, to be converted into mineral reserves. Due to the uncertainty associated with inferred mineral resources, it cannot be assumed that all or any part of an inferred mineral resource will ever be upgraded to indicated or measured mineral resources, including as a result of continued exploration.
- 12) The mineral resource estimates for Curlew assume a \$2,000 per ounce gold price.



www.kinross.com

Kinross Gold Corporation (TSX: K; NYSE: K)

Investor Relations

(+1) 416-365-2854

InvestorRelations@Kinross.com